# **Project Development & Environment Study**

S.R. 401 Bridge Replacement Project

# **Project Traffic Analysis Report**

FM Number: 444787-1-22-01 ETDM Number: 14397 Brevard County

Prepared for the

# Florida Department of Transportation District Five

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. & 327 and Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

October 2022

Mary McGehee FDOT Project Manager

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION 1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
SECTION 2	INTRODUCTION	
SECTION 3	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR PROJECT	
SECTION 4	AREA OF INFLUENCE	
SECTION 5	EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	
5.2 TRAFF	FIC CHARACTERISTICS	
SECTION 6	TRAVEL DEMAND FORECASTING	
SECTION 7 7.1 EXIST	OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS PROCEDURES ING YEAR LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS	
SECTION 8 8.1 ALTER	FUTURE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS RNATIVE ANALYSIS	
8.2 OPERA 8.3 YEAR 8.4 YEAR	2030 LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS 2050 LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS	
SECTION 9	SAFETY ANALYSIS	
SECTION 10	CONCLUSION	

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

		Page
Figure 2-1	Project Location Map and Study Area	2-2
Figure 5-1	Existing Lane Geometry	
Figure 5-2	Traffic Count Locations	
Figure 6-1	Annual Average Daily Traffic	6-2
Figure 6-2	AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	6-3
Figure 6-3	MD Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	6-4

#### LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 7-1	Existing Year AM/MD HCS Freeway & Ramp Summary	
Table 7-2	Existing Year AM/MD HCS Multilane Summary	
Table 8-1	Year 2030 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Freeway & Ramp Summary	
Table 8-2	Year 2030 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Multilane Summary	
Table 8-3	Year 2050 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Freeway & Ramp Summary	
Table 8-4	Year 2030 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Multilane Summary	
Table 9-1	Five Year Crash Summary	9-1
Table 9-2	Summary of Safety Benefits	9-2

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Approved Traffic Methodology
- Appendix B Approved Forecast Memorandum
- Appendix C Existing HCS Analysis
- Appendix D Alternatives Layouts
- Appendix E 2030 No Build/Build HCS Analysis
- Appendix F 2050 No Build/Build HCS Analysis
- Appendix G Crash Analysis
- Appendix H FDOT Comments-Responses

# SECTION 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to evaluate alternative improvements for SR 401 Bridge Replacement Project in Brevard County. The total project length is approximately 3,700 feet (**Figure 2-1**). Study objectives included the evaluation of the three existing bascule bridges along SR 401 for retrofit improvements or replacement with either a medium-level movable span bridge or a new High-Level Fixed Span Bridge over the Canaveral Barge Canal. Improvement alternatives were identified which enhance safety and meet future transportation demand. After an evaluation process was completed, the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative is the Alternative which will negate any queues on SR 401 as existing conditions do with the existing bascule bridge.

S.R. 401 is designated as a Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) connector, providing access to Cape Canaveral, a SIS Seaport. Port Canaveral's operations include major cruise terminals, cargo terminals, and substantial tanker truck traffic. Additionally, S.R. 401 is classified as a part of the State Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET) connector by the Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command as a connection to an ocean terminal to deploy and sustain U.S. forces on a global basis. The two southbound bridges (700030 and 700031) were constructed in 1963 and the northbound bridge (7000117) was constructed in 1972. The bridges are the primary access to Cape Canaveral Air Force Station and Space Florida operations, Naval Ordinance Test Unit, facilities for the U.S. Coast Guard, and access to Space Florida operations. The maximum weight limits of the existing bridges restrict heavy loads. The 2011 Spaceport Area Transportation Infrastructure Assessment by the Space Coast TPO identified the weight limit as an impediment to expanding port freight operations and maximizing military uses.

This *Project Traffic Analysis Report* (PTAR) has been prepared for the proposed project. Analysis was performed as a part of this study for the existing year (2021) and the future years – opening year (2030), and design year (2050) with the existing and the projected future traffic volumes. The operational analysis was performed for existing conditions with the existing lane geometry and 2019 traffic. The acceptable FDOT LOS Procedure on LOS targets for the study is 'LOS D'. The existing analysis showed that most of the study area roadways operate at an acceptable level of service during the morning (AM) and midday (MD) peak periods. The afternoon (PM) volumes were less than the MD that is why the analysis included the MD peak as provided in the Approved Forecast Memorandum. The existing roadway Freeway and ramp junction analyses showed that they operate at an acceptable level of service during the AM and MD peaks.

Operational analyses of future conditions for years 2030 and 2050 were conducted for both the No-Build and Build conditions. The Build condition is the proposed new High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative. The future geometry along SR 401 is the same for all alternatives with different type of bridge structures. SR 528 is proposed to be improved from four lanes to six lanes within the project limits under FM #407402-4-52-01 and funding years was not determined yet for this improvement and this was reflected in the future No-Build and Build analyses. The same set of traffic projections and volumes were used for both conditions No-Build and Build. The No-Build Alternative considered the existing lane geometry, and the Build Alternative. The analysis showed that the roadway segments and ramp junctions will still operate at acceptable levels of service "LOS D" or better during the future years under the No-Build and Build conditions.

The operational analysis for Build Alternative was conducted to assess the traffic operational impact of the bridge and to operate at an acceptable level of service. The Build Alternative only consolidated the ramps along SR 401 northbound and southbound. The Build analysis performed in this study showed that the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative will result in improved traffic operations and safety along the SR 401 roadway segments within the project limits to meet the demands of future travelers while minimizing project costs and impacts. The safety analysis also indicated that the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative yielded the greatest number of reduced crashes per year.

# SECTION 2 **INTRODUCTION**

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study to consider the proposed improvements to the SR 401 Bridge Project, located in Brevard County. The traffic analysis was performed in accordance with guidance from the 2020 FDOT PD&E Manual, 2021 FDOT Traffic Analysis Handbook, and 2019 FDOT Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook. This analysis includes the Project Study Area to be analyzed, and method and assumptions that will be used to analyze existing and future traffic conditions. Owing to the special generator nature of Port Canaveral, which contributes almost wholly to the traffic volumes on SR 401, including at the interchange with SR 528, the travel forecasts are largely data-driven for each of the different travel markets serving the Port with guidance from the current regional travel demand model: CFRPM v 7.0. The methodology is detailed in Section 6 Travel Demand Forecasting.

Capacity analysis was based on the latest Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 7<sup>th</sup> Edition procedures. Data has been gathered in accordance with the Traffic Analysis Handbook.

Traffic analysis includes an approach or procedure to evaluate the safety performance of the project alternatives.

This report documents the alternatives analyzed and evaluation metrics regarding traffic for the alternatives. The Project Traffic Analysis Report (PTAR) focuses on analysis and documentation for the alternative High-Level Fixed Span Bridge.

The total study project length is 3,700' along SR 401. The SR 401 corridor begins approximately 500' south of the SR 528 overpass bridges and continues beyond the SR 528 overpass bridges to approximately 3,200' north to the Charles M. Rowland Drive as shown in **Figure 2-1**. Within the project limits, the existing roadway is a principal arterial and urban minor arterial. The proposed improvement will provide one High-Level Fixed Span Bridge with six lanes.

The Traffic Methodology for this analysis was approved by FDOT District Five in February 2022 and is included in **Appendix A** of this report.



Figure 2-1 Project Location Map and Study Area

#### **Project Description:**

The project involves the evaluation of the three existing bascule bridges for retrofit improvements or replacement with either a medium-level movable span bridge or a new high-level fixed span bridge over the Canaveral Barge Canal. The alternatives evaluated replacing the existing bridges with two separate bridge structures. The project length is 3,700' and begins approximately 500' south of the SR 528 overpass bridges over SR 401 and continues beyond approximately 3,200' north to the Charles M. Rowland Dr. (Cruise Terminal Exit) gore area to account for the various bridge profile touchdown locations anticipated. Alternative concepts included evaluation of all ramps on the SR 528 and SR 401 interchange to determine how they connect to the canal bridge.

#### **Purpose and Need:**

The primary need for the project is based on system linkage, modal interrelationship, improve traffic and pedestrian flows and safety enhancements to accommodate future growth.

S.R. 401 is designated as a Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) connector, providing access to Cape Canaveral, a SIS Seaport. Port Canaveral's operations include major cruise terminals, cargo terminals, and substantial tanker truck traffic. Additionally, S.R. 401 is classified as a part of the State Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET) connector by the Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command as a connection to an ocean terminal to deploy and sustain U.S. forces on a global basis. The two southbound bridges (700030 and 700031) were constructed in 1963 and the northbound bridge (7000117) was constructed in 1972. The bridges are the primary access to Cape Canaveral Air Force Station and Space Florida operations, Naval Ordinance Test Unit, facilities for the U.S. Coast Guard, and access to Space Florida operations. The maximum weight limits of the existing bridges restrict heavy loads. The 2011 Spaceport Area Transportation Infrastructure Assessment by the Space Coast TPO identified the weight limit as an impediment to expanding port freight operations and maximizing military uses.

## SECTION 4 AREA OF INFLUENCE

The study area is in Brevard County, Florida at approximate Milepost 12.933. The study includes the interchange with SR 528 (due to the proximity to the SR 401 Bridge) which is approximately 1.84 miles east of N. Banana River Dr interchange and 0.87 miles west of George King Boulevard interchange. **Figure 2-1** shows the project location and area of influence. The land uses around the study area is predominantly commercial and transportation related uses. The study area along SR 401 and SR 528 includes the following:

#### SR 528 Mainline:

- i. Between North Banana River Drive and SR 401 interchanges
- ii. Between SR 401 and George King Boulevard interchanges

#### SR 401:

i. Northbound off ramp to Charles Rowland Drive (access to Terminals 8 and 10)

ii. "Fly-over" ramp from Charles Rowland Drive to southbound SR 401

iii. Between SR 528 and the signalized intersection with Charles Rowland Drive (providing access to Terminals 5 and 6)

#### SR 528/SR 401 Interchange Ramps:

- i. Westbound SR 528 to northbound SR 401
- ii. Eastbound SR 528 to northbound SR 401
- iii. Southbound SR 401 to westbound SR 528
- iv. Southbound SR 401 to eastbound SR 528

Safety needs were also identified due to the number of crashes with the drawbridge verses projected crashes with the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge. This analysis is included in Section 9 of this report.

#### 5.1 ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

Within the project limits, SR 401 currently is a 6-lane divided urban minor arterial to the north of SR 528 and a 4-lane urban collector to the north of Charles Rowland Drive with a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour. Within this segment of SR 528, it is currently a 4-lane, east-west urban principal arterial expressway limited access facility. SR 528 in the study area has a posted speed limit of 55 miles per hour. Charles Rowland Drive is minor collector road arterial to the east of SR 401 serving the Cruise Terminals. Existing lane geometry are shown on **Figure 5-1**.

#### 5.2 TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS

Existing year 2021 vehicle classification counts on roadway segments and ramps was collected in September and October 2021 by Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. for 72-hours at 10 locations along SR 401, and at the SR 528 interchange and Charles Rowland Drive during a typical weekday(s). Traffic counts were collected at the following locations shown on **Figure 5-2** and included in the approved Forecast Memorandum in **Appendix B** of this report:

- 1. SR 528 west of SR 401
- 2. SR 528 to SR 401 NB
- 3. SR 401 SB to SR 528 EB
- 4. SR 528 East of SR 401
- 5. SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB
- 6.1 Charles Rowland Dr NB (Provisioning Vehicles)
- 6.2 Charles Rowland Dr. NB (CT 8 & CT10)
- 7.0 Charles Rowland Dr. SB (Fly-Over Ramp)
- 8.0 SR 401 north of Charles Rowland Dr
- 9.0 SR 401 SB to SR 528 WB

Pedestrian and bicycle data was also collected for eight hours at the bridge between a location north of the bridge and Mullet Road south of the bridges. One pedestrian was observed who crossed the western bridge. As both SR 401 and SR 528 are limited access facilities, bicycle and pedestrian modes are not allowed and are not proposed for either facility.





# SECTION 6 TRAVEL DEMAND FORECASTING

Study area volumes are forecast for the project opening year 2030, and design year 2050. Separate volume forecasts are prepared for port-related and non-port related activities and then added together, as will be discussed in the "Forecasting Approach" section below. The primary sources of information for the port-related trips are traffic counts, Canaveral Port Authority (CPA) provided information on past and present cruise ship and cargo activity, and information contained in the Canaveral Port Authority's 30 Year Strategic Vision Plan. This document is available at the following link:

https://www.portcanaveral.com/PortCanaveral/media/Recreation/JPC/PORT-CANAVERAL-30-YEAR-VISION-PLAN 1.pdf

The Central Florida Regional Planning Model (CFRPM) v. 7.0 is a primary source for forecast of weekday non-port-related volumes. It has a 2015 base year and planning horizons in five-year increments out to 2045. The 2030 project opening year forecast is rooted in the CFRPM 2030 forecast. The 2050 design year forecast volumes are prepared by trendline analysis of the CFRPM post-processed forecast volumes between its 2015 base year and 2045. The 2019 Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook was followed, where applicable.

Volume forecasts are prepared for the segments in which traffic counts are collected. The ten locations are shown on **Figures 6-1**, **6-2**, and **6-3**. In addition, forecasts are prepared for roadway segments located in-between the numbered segments. These include the critical SR 401 bascule bridge segment (each direction) and SR 528 between the SR 401 on- and off-ramps (each direction). The detail analysis for developing the traffic volumes was presented in the approved Traffic Forecast Memorandum by FDOT D5 in March 2022 which is included in **Appendix B** of this report. This also included the recommended AM and MD hourly peak traffic volumes, peak hour factors, and truck percentages for the project which are also shown in **Figures 6-1**, **6-2**, and **6-3**. The approved Traffic Analysis Methodology included analysis for AM and PM peak hours.



Figure 6-1 Annual Average Daily Traffic



Figure 6-2 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Figure 6-3 MD Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

SR 401 Bridge Replacement Project Project Traffic Analysis Report

# SECTION 7 OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

The traffic volumes developed in Section 6 were used to run the capacity analyses utilizing the latest Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 7<sup>th</sup> Edition for the following AM & MD peak hour periods as shown below.

- Existing Year 2021 No-Build
- Opening Year 2030 No-Build & Preferred Build Alternative
- Design Year 2050 No-Build & Preferred Build Alternative

Highway Capacity Software (HCS) have been used for the analysis of the freeway segments, multilane and the ramp junctions. In this context it should be noted that HCS methodology have been used for specific modules like freeways, multilane, and ramp merge/diverge analyses that are acceptable. The operational analysis includes SR 528 mainline through movements, SR 401 mainline and ramps from/to SR 401 and SR 528.

The FDOT LOS criteria used in this analysis was in accordance with Procedure No. 525-000-006, Level of Service Targets and Highway Capacity Analysis for the State Highway System (Urbanized areas) as summarized below:

- SR 401: LOS D
- SR 528 Mainline and Ramps: LOS D
- Charles M Rowland Dr.: LOS D

The operational analysis compared defined Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) for the analysis of the No-Build alternative with the Build alternative to quantify potential betterment or non-significant degradation of the Build alternative improvements.

MOEs LOS and Density used to evaluate and compare the Build and No-Build alternatives will be as follows:

- Ramps Merge/Diverge Density
- Freeway Segments Density

The existing, opening, and design years AM and MD peak hour volumes are shown in **Figures** 6-2 and 6-3.

#### 7.1 EXISTING YEAR LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

The existing year (base year 2019) lane geometry and approved existing AM and MD peak hour traffic volumes, were used for the existing analysis. The acceptable LOS targets for the study area is 'LOS D'. LOS was estimated from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 7<sup>th</sup> Edition software. The existing year LOS and density results for all the study areas are summarized in **Tables 7-1 and 7-2**. The existing LOS analysis details (HCS output worksheets) are provided in **Appendix C**.

Based on the existing analysis, all the mainline roadway segments, the ramp merge/diverge junctions and multilane within the study area operate within the acceptable level of service "LOS D" or better as shown on **Tables 7-1 and 7-2**.

		Freeway		Merge/Diverge Area			
Location ID	Freeway Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	Ramp Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	
1. SR 528 EB Freeway Segment from N Banana to SR 401	2300/1600	24.3/16.6	C/B				
2. SR 528 EB off Loop Ramp to SR 401 NB	2300/1600			650/250	26.4/18.5	C/B	
3. SR 528 EB on Ramp from SR 401 SB	1650/1350			1/200	19.3/15.6	B/B	
4. SR 528 EB Freeway Segment from SR 401 to George King Blvd	1650/1550	19.9/15.4	C/B				
4. SR 528 WB Freeway Segment from George King Blvd to SR 401	1200/1200	12.1/11.9	B/B				
5. SR 528 WB off Ramp to SR 401 NB	1200/1200			350/200	3.3/3.0	A/A	
9. SR 528 WB on Ramp from SR 401 SB	850/1000			150/200	12.3/13.4	B/B	
1. SR 528 WB Freeway Segment from SR 401 to N Banana	1000/1200	10.9/12.0	A/B				
6.1 & 6.2 NB off Ramp from SR 401 to Charles NB	1000/450			60/120	0.9/0.0	A/A	
7. SB on Ramp from Charles to SR 401	100/300			50/100	1.6/3.8	A/A	

 Table 7-1
 Existing Year AM/MD HCS Freeway & Ramp Summary

#### Table 7-2Existing Year AM/MD HCS Multilane Summary

		NB		SB			
Location ID	Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	
8. SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	1000/450	8.9/4.6	A/A	150/400	1.6/3.8	A/A	
8. SR 401 from North of Charles M Rowland Dr	940/330	12.9/5.3	B/A	100/300	1.8/4.4	A/A	

#### 8.1 ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS

The three alternatives bridge layout with the existing and the SR 528 proposed improvements from four lanes to six lanes within the project limits under FM #407402-4-52-01 are included in **Appendix D**, which includes the existing bascule bridges for retrofit improvements or replacement with either a medium-level movable span bridge or a new High-Level Fixed Span Bridge over the Canaveral Barge Canal. All bridge alternatives have the same geometry layouts with the only difference is the type of bridge.

The evaluation process took into consideration traffic, physical, natural, social, and cost criteria. In terms of roadway traffic delays and safety, the fixed bridge alternative has the best ranking because it provides free flow traffic. From a marine navigational standpoint, the drawbridge alternative ranks highest since it offers no limitation on vessel height. From the bridge alternatives, the following was noted:

- The drawbridge alternative ranks lowest for utility impacts because the size of the abutments may result in impacts to nearby overhead power lines and buried utilities. In terms of effects on the natural and social environment, all three build alternatives are expected to result in relatively equal impacts.
- From a cost perspective, the no build alternative's operations and maintenance over the 75-year design life is expected to exceed \$80 million because the bridge is more than 40 years old and will require resurfacing and repairs. The fixed bridge has the lowest operations and maintenance costs overall because it does not have mechanical or electrical components like the lift bridge and drawbridge.

The alternative was the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative. The analysis included evaluation of access management in relation to traffic safety and operational efficiency within the Study Area. Operational effectiveness of No-Build and Build Alternatives was evaluated using the agreed upon performance MOEs LOS and density.

#### 8.2 OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Operational analysis was conducted for the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative for opening and design years 2030 and 2050 for the Build and No-Build. The future geometry along SR 401 is the same for all alternatives with different type of bridge structures. SR 528 is proposed to be improved from four lanes to six lanes within the project limits, and this was reflected in future No-Build and Build analyses.

A detailed operational analysis was performed for all analysis years for No-Build and Build scenarios. The operational analysis considered all the relevant FDOT design standards and determination of the (LOS) by using the latest version of Highway Capacity Software (HCS). The HCS future year analysis was performed for the mainline, ramps for years 2030, and 2050 using the traffic volumes shown in **Figures 6-2 and 6-3**. These figures show the AM and MD peak hour volumes for, opening year (2030) and design year (2050), respectively. The Build and No-Build volumes are the same for 2030 and 2050 as shown in the approved Traffic Forecast Memorandum.

#### 8.3 YEAR 2030 LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

The 2030 No-Build condition includes the existing geometry shown in **Figure 5-1**. The levels of service (LOS) for the study roadway segments and ramp junctions have been analyzed using the design hour volumes shown in **Figures 6-2 and 6-3** for the AM and MD peak hours. The Build condition is the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative, and the geometry is included in **Appendix D**. The proposed geometry is the same for the HCS analysis along SR 401 and along the ramps except for the future SR 528 mainline proposed to be six lanes instead of four lanes within the project limits. These improvements along SR 528 will be implemented by year 2030 so year 2030 No-Build and Build calculated LOS results for roadway segments, ramp junctions and multilane within the project limits are the same and are summarized in **Tables 8-1 and 8-2**. The 2030-year LOS analysis details and HCS analysis worksheets are provided in **Appendix E**.

		Freeway	Merge/Diverge Area			
Location ID	Freeway Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	Ramp Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS
1. SR 528 EB Freeway Segment from N Banana to SR 401	2400/2500	17.0/16.8	B/B			
2. SR 528 EB off Loop Ramp to SR 401 NB	2400/2500			650/1100	21.0/21.9	C/C
3. SR 528 EB on Ramp from SR 401 SB	1750/1400			400/450	17.3/13.1	B/B
4. SR 528 EB Freeway Segment from SR 401 to George King Blvd	2150/1850	16.8/12.2	B/B			
4. SR 528 WB Freeway Segment from George King Blvd to SR 401	1400/1900	9.7/12.5	A/B			
5. SR 528 WB off Ramp to SR 401 NB	1400/1900			450/800	2.6/4.5	A/A
9. SR 528 WB on Ramp from SR 401 SB	950/1100			450/550	13.9/14.4	B/B
1. SR 528 WB Freeway Segment from SR 401 to N Banana River Dr	1400/1650	10.2/11.4	A/B			
6.1 & 6.2. NB off Ramp from SR 401 to Charles NB	1100/1900			290/820	2.7/11.8	A/B
7. SB on Ramp from Charles to SR 401	300/450			550/550	11.2/10.1	B/B

Table 8-1Year 2030 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Freeway & Ramp Summary

#### Table 8-2Year 2030 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Multilane Summary

		NB	SB			
Location ID	Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS
8. SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	1100/1900	10.5/18.4	A/C	850/1000	8.0/9.7	A/A
8. SR 401 from North of Charles M Rowland Dr	810/1080	11.6/16.2	B/B	300/450	4.8/7.2	A/A

Based on the results of the analysis shown in the **Tables 8-1 and 8-2**, all roadway segments, ramp junctions and multilane operate at an acceptable level of service.

#### 8.4 YEAR 2050 LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

The 2050 No-Build condition includes the existing geometry shown in **Figure 5-1**. The levels of service (LOS) for the roadway segments and ramp junctions have been calculated using the design hour volumes shown in **Figures 6-2 and 6-3** for the AM and MD peak hours. The Build condition is the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative, and the geometry as included in **Appendix D**. The proposed geometry is the same for the HCS analysis along SR 401 and along the ramps except for the future proposed SR 528 mainline is proposed to be six lanes instead of four lanes within the project limits. These improvements along SR 528 will be implemented by year 2030.Year 2050 No-Build and Build calculated LOS for roadway segments, ramp junctions and multilane within the project limits are the same and are summarized in **Tables 8-3 and 8-4**. The design year No-Build and Build LOS analyses details HCS analysis worksheets are provided in **Appendix F**.

		Freeway	Merge/Diverge Area			
Location	Freeway Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	Ramp Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS
1. SR 528 EB Freeway Segment from N Banana to SR 401	2800/3000	20.4/20.5	C/C			
2. SR 528 EB off Loop Ramp to SR 401 NB	2800/3000			750/1500	24.4/26.5	C/C
3. SR 528 EB on Ramp from SR 401 SB	2050/1500			600/550	22.9/18.8	C/B
4. SR 528 EB Freeway Segment from SR 401 to George King Blvd	2650/2050	20.6/13.5	C/B			
4. SR 528 WB Freeway Segment from George King Blvd to SR 401	1500/2300	10.6/15.3	A/B			
5. SR 528 WB off Ramp to SR 401 NB	1500/2300			450/1100	0.8/8.3	A/A
9. SR 528 WB on Ramp from SR 401 SB	1050/1200			600/750	16.9/18.0	B/B
1. SR 528 WB Freeway Segment from SR 401 to N Banana	1650/1950	12.3/14.0	B/B			
6.1 & 6.2. NB off Ramp from SR 401 to Charles NB	1200/2600			350/1090	3.8/20.0	A/B
7. SB on Ramp from Charles to SR 401	400/600			800/700	16.0/13.5	B/B

Table 8-3Year 2050 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Freeway & Ramp Summary

		NB	SB			
Location ID	Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS	Volume (veh/hr)	Density (pc/mi/ln)	LOS
8. SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	1200/2600	12.2/25.7	B/C	1200/1300	11.3/12.9	B/B
8. SR 401 from North of Charles M Rowland Dr	850/1510	13.2/23.4	B/C	400/600	6.5/10.1	A/A

Table 8-4Year 2030 No Build & Build AM/MD HCS Multilane Summary

Based on the results of the analysis shown in the **Tables 8-4 and 8-5**, all roadway segments, ramp junctions and multilane operate at an acceptable level of service.

## SECTION 9 SAFETY ANALYSIS

Crash data for the five (5) year period from January 1, 2016, to December 31, 2020, was obtained from the Florida Department of Transportation's CARS database and the University of Florida's Signal Four Analytics for the 0.5-mile segment of S.R. 401 from approximately 950 feet north of SR 528 to the overpass for Charles M Rowland Drive, to analyze the crash reduction implications of proposed alternatives. In addition to CARS data, bridge opening data was used from the Study Navigation Report and FDOT data. The focus of this analysis was the bridge leading to Port Canaveral and the adjacent segment, where improvements are planned and will ultimately tie into planned future improvements for the interchange of SR 528 and S.R. 401. As summarized in the Crash Summary **Table 9-1**, 13 crashes occurred throughout the segment. Due to a general lack of crash modification factors (CMFs) for replacing drawbridges or bridge replacements, a combination of crash reduction calculations was performed based on the proposed alternative using engineering judgment and CMFs for other improvements, such as lighting along the corridor.

Crash Year		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	Percentage
	Fixed Object	0	0	0	4	1	5	38.46%
	Object-in-Road	0	0	1	1	0	2	15.38%
Cresh Tura	Off-Road	0	0	1	0	0	1	7.69%
Crash Type	Rear-End	0	2	1	0	1	4	30.77%
	Sideswipe	0	1	0	0	0	1	7.69%
	Total	0	3	3	5	2	13	100.00%
	Daytime	0	3	1	4	2	10	76.92%
Light Conditions	Night	0	0	2	1	0	3	23.08%
	Total	0	3	3	5	2	13	100.00%
G (	Dry Pavement	0	3	1	3	1	8	61.54%
Surface	Wet Pavement	0	0	2	2	1	5	38.45%
Conditions	Total	0	3	3	5	2	13	100.00%
	Property Damage Only	0	2	2	4	2	10	76.92%
Crash Sourity	Sustained Injury	0	1	1	1	0	3	23.08%
Crash Severity	Fatality	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
	Total	0	3	3	5	2	13	100.00%

Table 9-1Five Year Crash Summary

High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative: The High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative will have a fixed clearance of 65 feet and will be high enough to where lifting or opening the bridge will not be necessary to let vessels pass underneath the bridge. Six (6) crashes over the five (5) years occurred because of the drawbridge being opened, which means 1.2 crashes per year will be eliminated just by converting it into a High-Level Fixed Span Bridge. Out of the remaining seven (7) crashes, a lighting CMF with a value of 0.68 was applied to the three (3) nighttime crashes, which resulted in 0.19 crashes being reduced per year. Two grade change CMFs, one for positive grade change  $e^{(-0.0535*(G_2 - G_1))}$  and another for negative grade change  $e^{(-0.0396*(G_2-G_1))}$  were considered for the seven (7) crashes that occurred within the graded portion of the study segment to both approaches in both directions, as the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge will have a 6% grade vs. the current 4% grade for the drawbridge. The positive grade change CMF formula yields a CMF of 0.89 which was applied to six (6) crashes whereas the negative grade change CMF formula yields a CMF of 1.08 which was applied to one (1) crash. Based on the calculations, positive grade change will reduce 0.13 crashes per year and the negative grade change will lead to 0.02 more crashes per year. All factors and CMFs combined, 1.5 crashes (1.2 + 0.19 + 0.13 - 0.02) will be reduced per year after changing the drawbridge to a High-Level Fixed Span Bridge. Safety benefits are summarized in Table 9-2 below and calculations are shown in the Appendix G.

Benefit	CMF	Number of Applicable Crashes	Number of Crashes Reduced Per Year
Replace Drawbridge with HLFS Bridge	N/A*	6	1.2
Provide Lighting	0.68	3	0.19
Positive Grade Change	0.89	6	0.13
Negative Grade Change	1.08	1	-0.02
	1.5		

Table 9-2Summary of Safety Benefits

\*A CMF was not available, but the six (6) crashes occurred due to motorists stopping for the drawbridge, and which will not occur with the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge alternative.

A collision summary sheet, collision diagrams, and relevant CMFs are provided in the **Appendix G**.

## SECTION 10 CONCLUSION

The results from the operational analysis for the existing and future conditions with the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative showed that the study area roadways and ramps operate at an acceptable level of service "D" or better during AM and MD in the future. The safety analysis also indicated that the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative yielded the greatest number of reduced crashes per year. In addition to providing enhanced safety, the high-level fixed maintenance cost is significantly less than the existing Bascule No Build, which requires more maintenance. Operational analyses of future conditions for years 2030 and 2050 were conducted for both the No-Build and the Build conditions as a part of this study. The No-Build condition considered the existing lane geometry. The proposed geometry is the same for the HCS analysis along SR 401 and along the ramps except for the future SR 528 mainline is proposed to be six lanes instead of four lanes within the project limits. The year for these improvements along SR 528 has not been determined yet. SR 528 is proposed to be improved from four lanes to six lanes within the project limits under FM #407402-4-52-01. The Build condition considered for the SR 401 project is the High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative.

**APPENDICES** 

APPENDIX A

Approved Traffic Methodology

# TRAFFIC ANALYSIS REPORT SR 401 BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

FM Number: 444787-1-22-01

# FINAL TRAFFICANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

### FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT 5

**Brevard County, Florida** 

January 2022

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR PROJECT	1
3.0	PROJECT SCHEDULE	2
4.0	PROJECT LOCATION AND ANTICIPATED AREA OF INFLUENCE	2
5.0	ANALYSIS YEARS	3
6.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS	3
7.0	TRAVEL DEMAND FORECASTING	4
8.0	DATA COLLECTION AND SOURCES	7
9.0	DATA COLLECTION METHODOLOGY	7
10.0	OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	10
11.0	SAFETY ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	11
12.0	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS REPORT	11

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This document serves as the Traffic Analysis Methodology which describes the procedures for the preparation of traffic analysis for the SR 401 Bridge Replacement Project in Brevard County.

The traffic analysis will be performed in accordance with guidance from the 2020 PD&E Manual, 2021 Traffic Analysis Handbook, and 2019 Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook. The forecast will be prepared and agreed upon by the DEPARTMENT prior to beginning any analysis. This methodology will include the Project Study Area to be analyzed, and method and assumptions that will be used to analyze existing and future traffic conditions. Owing to the special generator nature of Port Canaveral, which contributes almost wholly to the traffic volumes on SR 401, including at the interchange with SR 528, the travel forecasts are largely data-driven for each of the different travel markets serving the Port with guidance from the current regional travel demand model: CFRPM v 7.0. The methodology is detailed in Section 7 Travel Demand Forecasting.

Capacity analysis will be based on the latest Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) procedures. Use of microsimulation traffic analysis software such as SimTraffic, CORSIM, and/or VISSIM is not required for this Project. Data will be gathered in accordance with the Traffic Analysis Handbook.

Traffic analysis methodology will include an approach or procedure to evaluate the safety performance of the project alternatives.

All traffic analysis documentation will be written in plain language and in a format that can be easily followed. All traffic analysis files assumptions, inputs, outputs, network data, calculations, and results will be submitted to the DEPARTMENT.

The report will document the alternatives analyzed and evaluation matrix metrics regarding traffic for the alternatives. The PTAR will then focus on analysis and documentation for the recommended alternative only.

#### 2.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR PROJECT

The project involves the evaluation of the three existing bascule bridges for retrofit improvements or replacement with either a medium-level movable span bridge or a new fixed span bridge over the Canaveral Barge Canal. The project length is 3,700' and begins approximately 500' south of the SR 528 overpass bridges over SR 401 and continues beyond approximately 3,200' north to the Charles M. Rowland Dr. (Cruise Terminal Exit) gore area to account for the various bridge profile touchdown locations anticipated. Alternative concepts will include evaluation of all ramps on the SR 528 and SR 401 interchange to determine how they will connect to the canal bridge. The ETDM Summary Report lists system linkage and modal interrelationships for the need will be included in this section.

One alternative with no-build and build scenarios will be evaluated as part of this traffic analysis, to meet the demands of future travelers while minimizing project costs and impacts.

#### 3.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

Notice To Proceed (NTP) was on April 6, 2021, and completion date will be in in April 6, 2023 which is twenty four months from NTP.

#### 4.0 PROJECT LOCATION AND ANTICIPATED AREA OF INFLUENCE

The study area is in Brevard County, Florida at approximate Milepost 12.933. The study includes the interchange with SR 528 (due to the close proximity to the SR 401 Bridge) which is approximately 1.84 miles east of N. Banana River Dr interchange and 0.87 miles west of George King Boulevard interchange. **Figure 1** shows the project location and proposed area of influence.

The total study project length is 3,700' along SR 401. The SR 401 corridor begins approximately 500' south of the SR 528 overpass bridges and continues beyond the SR 528 overpass bridges to approximately 3,200' north to the Charles M. Rowland Dr.

For this Traffic Analysis Report, the study area along SR 401 and SR 528 will include the following segments:

- SR 528 Mainline:
  - i. Between North Banana River Drive and SR 401 interchanges
  - ii. Between SR 401 and George King Boulevard interchanges
- SR 401:
  - i. Northbound off ramp to Charles Rowland Drive (providing access to Terminals 8 and 10)
  - ii. "Fly-over" ramp from Charles Rowland Drive to southbound SR 401
  - iii. Between SR 528 and the signalized intersection with Charles Rowland Drive (providing access to Terminals 5 and 6)
- SR 528/SR 401 Interchange Ramps:
  - i. Westbound SR 528 to northbound SR 401
  - ii. Eastbound SR 528 to northbound SR 401
  - iii. Southbound SR 401 to westbound SR 528
  - iv. Southbound SR 401 to eastbound SR 528

The land use around the study area is predominantly commercial and transportation related uses.



#### 5.0 ANALYSIS YEARS

The analysis years for this project are identified below.

- Existing Year 2021
- Opening Year 2030
- Design Year 2050

#### 6.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

SR 528: This segment of SR 528 is currently a 4-lane, east-west urban principal arterial expressway limited access facility. SR 528 in the study area has a posted speed limit of 55 miles per hour.

SR 401: SR 401 is a 6-lane urban minor arterial to the north of SR 528 and a 4-lane urban collector to the north of Charles Rowland Drive with a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour.

Charles Rowland Drive: Charles Rowland Drive is minor collector road arterial to the east of SR 401 serving the Cruise Terminals.

The existing operating conditions will be analyzed, and the existing operational deficiencies will be identified and documented in the report.

#### 7.0 TRAVEL DEMAND FORECASTING

Forecast design hour traffic volumes will be developed for two horizons-2030 opening year and 2050 design year. The set of demand volumes produced in this section will be used in the alternative analysis.

There are four distinct travel markets utilizing the bascule bridges. Parsons' approach to forecasting traffic patterns across the SR 401 bridge involves analyzing each market separately and then combining the individual market forecasts. The following markets are identified:

- Cruise Ships this market encompasses the cruise ship passenger operations including the passengers and their means of transportation to/from the cruise ship terminals, truck movements servicing the ships and terminal support employment. While the CFRPM does account for the Port Canaveral area as a special generator, the trip ends generated are not consistent with the Port Master Plan and do not directly account for truck movements. A more data-driven approach is used to estimate trip-ends associated with this market segment.
- **Port Employment** This relatively small market represents employees who travel to/from the north side Port area to begin/end their workday and are captured by the CFRPM. It does not include cruise ship terminal support personnel or truck drivers engaging in drayage operations at any of the cargo-related facilities. These are accounted for under the cruise passengers and cargo operations markets, respectively.
- **Cargo Operations** In 2019, there were more than 3,000 truck movements per week (on average) across the bascule bridges (each way) associated with the various cargo operations at the Port. Weekly truck movements by operator were provided by the Canaveral Port Authority and this activity was allocated to weekdays and weekends based on each company's operating hours. Horizon year truck activity is tied in part to the forecast growth in cargo tonnage represented by the Port Authority's Master Plan.
- Other Access to the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station area is achieved via the SR 401 bascule bridges and require security clearance to enter the restricted area. No information about existing or future activity is readily available or verifiable. Weekday demand activity is sourced directly from the CFRPM. A review of available retail operations supporting personnel working in the restricted area indicate they are open weekdays only.

Owing to the special generator nature of Port Canaveral directly accessing the study area roadways, volume development is data driven to the extent possible, utilizing traffic count data specifically collected for the project along with historical (2016-2020) FDOT weekday daily traffic count data to facilitate post-processing of CFRPM-produced volumes, current and historical ground transportation and parking data and cargo truck volumes obtained from the Canaveral Port Authority (CPA), and Master Plan documents pertaining to future Port operations.

The CFRPM serves as the basis for the non-Port traffic in the study area. A "select zone" assignment is performed for the model's base and horizon years. This procedure traces traffic volumes to and from the selected zone (in this case, the north side Port area) through the study area's roadway system,

allowing the calculation of shares of north side Port trips arriving and departing by direction. These trips are therefore easily identifiable and are removed from the model-based volume forecasts for the study area roadway segments to create a temporary baseline without Port-based trips. The data-driven estimates of autos and trucks serving the Port are then added to the temporary baseline to yield the forecast volumes for each roadway segment using the distributions produced by the "select zone" assignment. The forecasts are prepared for autos and trucks separately, and then combined to provide "total" volume.

The basic steps in developing the forecast volumes are outlined as follows:

- 1. Data collection
  - a. Conduct traffic counts (weekdays, September 2021), including vehicle classification.
  - b. Gather and organize cruise ship-related activity by terminal coinciding with days traffic counts are collected, plus a representative day in March 2019 when cruise ship activity is robust.
    - i. Passengers embarking
    - ii. Passengers debarking
    - iii. Parking garage counts
    - iv. Ground transportation demand servicing the cruise ships
  - c. Gather and organize cargo-related activity (number of trucks) by day
- 2. Develop 2021 weekday traffic volume control totals from traffic count data collected September 2021 (Step 1a).
  - a. All roadway segments in study area
  - b. By hour
  - c. By vehicle classification
- 3. Leverage the 2019 cruise ship data (Step 1b) to estimate average vehicle occupancies among passenger cars utilizing the terminal garages plus the mix of vehicles comprising ground transportation. The 2019 data is thought to be more representative of ground transportation characteristics in the future, as the pandemic's impact on cruise passenger willingness to utilize public transport or the supply of such transport during the September 2021 data collection timeframe might have skewed the data.
- 4. Apply the average vehicle occupancy information (Step 3) along with the traffic counts (Step 1a) and known cruise ship activity (Step 1b) and cargo activity (Step 1c) on the day representing the control total to remove the Port North-related traffic volumes from the control totals (Step 2). The result is an assumed 2021 control total without cruise ship activity or cargo.
- 5. Convert the Step 4 result to a 2019 control total, without cruise ship activity or cargo, by adjusting the SR 528 mainline volumes based on published 2019 AADT information on either side of the SR 401 interchange.

- 6. Specify a set of future year cruise ship scenarios whereby the user indicates if the berth is active for the assumed design day and if so, the passenger carrying capacity of the ship. The *30 Year Strategic Vision Plan* developed for the Port suggests planning on 5,000 to 7,000 passenger capacity ships in the future (Presently, most ships calling Port Canaveral home are in the 4,000-passenger capacity range). For 2030, it is assumed 5,000 passenger capacity ships will be the norm. By 2050, the capacity is assumed to increase to 7,000. Furthermore, it is assumed each ship will operate at 97% of capacity, but this parameter can be adjusted. As a benchmark, ships homeporting at Port Canaveral averaged around 95% occupancy for the Port's last fiscal year prior to the pandemic. The use of a slightly higher occupancy recognizes some daily (or monthly) variation from the annual average and is intended to represent a peak condition.
- 7. Compute the Port North-related future trips.
  - a. For the cruise ship market, the number of future embarking and debarking passengers at each cruise terminal as represented by the various Step 6 scenarios are translated into vehicle trips by access mode assuming the ground transportation modal shares and vehicle occupancies remain unchanged from the 2019 data. This demand is distributed by time of day consistent with the observed passenger car entries/exits at the cruise terminal garages. Thus, it is assumed future cruise ship operations will have passenger unloading and loading times similar as existing operations.
  - b. For the cargo market, the forecast increase in number of trucks is assumed proportional to the forecast increase in freight tonnage at the Port. The forecast truck demand is assumed to maintain the same hourly distribution observed during the September 2021 traffic counts (specifically, the vehicle classification counts for vehicle types 8-15, which are heavy trucks).
- 8. Compute the non-Port North-related future trips.
  - a. The CFRPM v 7.0 was run for all forecast years, including a select zone assignment of the Port North area (the zone encompasses the entirety of Port North). This facilitated the separation of Port North trips from the non-Port trips to/from the secured area that pass-through the Port on SR 401 to access SR-528. This was done for both auto and truck classes within the CFRPM.
  - b. The modeled growth in non-Port trips (auto and truck kept separate) are applied to the base year non-port trips using the Furness methods proscribed in the *FDOT Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook*, and then rebalanced as necessary to preserve volume continuity between adjacent segments.
  - c. The resulting forecast non-Port trips are distributed by time of day using the hourly distributions associated with the 2019 control totals for non-Port trips.
- Combine the Port North future trips (Step 7) with the non-Port North future trips (Step 8) to yield final volumes for all segments by vehicle class by hour for each scenario specified in Step 6.
The forecasting procedures are programmed into a series of Excel workbooks utilizing macros to automate the computations and improve quality control. This facilitates easy changing of basic inputs, such as assumed future passenger capacities of the ships serving Port Canaveral (and therefore future cruise passenger demand) or cruise passenger occupancies of vehicles providing ground transportation to/from the cruise ships.

## 8.0 DATA COLLECTION AND SOURCES

The data sources within the project study area will include, but are not limited to:

- Transportation System Data Data sources include FDOT Straight Line Diagrams and Roadway Characteristic (RCI) as well as field observations.
- Existing Traffic Data Existing Turning Movement Counts (TMC), machine counts, classification counts and information from the automatic traffic recorder (ATR) sites will be collected for roadway systems within the area of influence. Data from the Florida Traffic Online database, existing traffic from other studies in the area. Other sources of available data, including local government counts, will also be reviewed.
- Traffic crash data for the five (5) year period from January 1,2016 to December 31, 2020, was obtained from FDOT and is summarized in Table 1 and will be included in the report.
- Existing plans, programs and project lists will be obtained from FDOT and Brevard County.

Cr	rash	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Tota	Percentage
Y	ear						I	
	Fixed-Object	1	0	1	4	3	9	15.00%
	Head-On	0	0	0	2	0	2	3.33%
	Object-in-Road	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.33%
	Off-Road	2	1	4	2	0	9	15.00%
	Rear-End	3	9	4	3	3	22	36.67%
Crash Type	Rollover	2	0	1	2	3	8	13.33%
	Pedestrian	0	0	0	1	0	1	1.67%
	Sideswipe	0	1	2	2	2	7	11.67%
	Total	8	11	13	17	11	60	100.00%
	Daytime	7	7	6	14	6	40	66.67%
Light Conditions	Night	1	4	7	3	5	20	33.33%
Light Ochaitiono	Total	8	11	13	17	11	60	100.00%
	Dry Pavement	6	8	10	13	8	45	75.00%
Surface	Wet Pavement	2	3	3	4	3	15	25.00%
Conditions	Total	8	11	13	17	11	60	100.00%
	Property Damage Only	4	8	10	11	8	41	68.33%
Crash Severity	Sustained Injury	4	3	3	4	3	17	28.33%
	Fatality	0	0	0	2	0	2	3.33%
	Total	8	11	13	17	11	60	100.00%

Table 1 - Five–Year Crash Summary

### 9.0 DATA COLLECTION METHODOLOGY

Existing year 2021 vehicle classification counts on roadway segments and ramps was collected in September and October 2021 by Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. for 72-hour at 10 locations

SR 401, SR 528 interchange and along Charles Rowland Dr during a typical weekday(s). Traffic counts are to be performed at the following locations and are shown on **Figure 2**:

### 3 Day Classification Counts:

- 1. SR 528 west of SR 401
- 2. SR 528 to SR 401 NB
- 3. SR 401 SB to SR 528 EB
- 4. SR 528 East of SR 401
- 5. SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB
- 6.1 Charles Rowland Dr NB (Provisioning Vehicles)
- 6.2 Charles Rowland Dr. NB (CT 8 & CT10)
- 7.0 Charles Rowland Dr. SB (Fly-Over Ramp)
- 8.0 SR 401 north of Charles Rowland Dr
- 9.0 SR 401 SB to SR 528 WB

Pedestrian and bicycle data will be collected for 8 hours at 1 midblock location and a summary diagram will be prepared.

Crash data will be collected for the segments of the project corridor limits and the study area. This information will be compiled for the most recent five-year period and summarized. A safety analysis will be performed to identify high crash segments/locations.



Figure 2: Traffic Count Locations

### **10.0 OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS PROCEDURES**

Capacity analyses will be conducted utilizing the latest HCM for the following AM & PM peak hour periods as shown below.

- Existing Year 2021
- Opening Year 2030 No-Build & Preferred Build Alternative
- Design Year 2050 No-Build & Preferred Build Alternative

HCS will be used for the analysis of the freeway segments and the ramp junctions. In this context it should be noted that HCS methodology will be used for specific modules like freeways and ramp merge/diverge analysis that are acceptable.

### Existing Traffic Operational Analysis

An existing (base year 2021) traffic operational analysis will be conducted and report the operational performance measures as agreed upon in the analysis methodology. The count data will be used to obtain the existing design hourly volumes using historical and seasonal adjustments as appropriate. All existing design hourly volumes will be balanced before being used in the analysis. A total of six freeway segments and six ramp junction analyses using the Highway Capacity Software for the locations identified in section 9. Operational effectiveness will be evaluated using agreed upon performance measures of effectiveness (MOEs) level of service (LOS) and volume to capacity (v/c.

### No Build Analysis

Operational analysis will be conducted for the No Build Alternative for the analysis years 2030, and 2050 for one alternative to identify deficiencies related to the purpose and need for the project. Operational effectiveness will be evaluated for the No Build Alternative using agreed upon performance measures of effectiveness (MOEs) LOS and volume to capacity (v/c).

### **Operational Analysis for Build Alternative**

Operational analysis will be conducted for one feasible alternative for opening and design years 2030 and 2050. The analysis will also include evaluation of access management in relation to traffic safety and operational efficiency within the Study Area. Operational effectiveness of Build Alternatives will be evaluated using the agreed upon performance MOEs LOS and volume to capacity (v/c).

A detailed operational analysis will be performed for all analysis years for No-Build and Build scenarios. The operational analysis will consider all the relevant FDOT design standards and determination of the (LOS) by using the latest version of Highway Capacity Software (HCS). The HCS analysis will be performed for the mainline, ramps for existing year (2021), and future years 2030, and 2050.

The following components within the area of influence will be included in the operational analysis: SR 528 mainline through movements, SR 401 mainline and Ramps from/to SR 401 and SR 528.

The FDOT LOS criteria used in this analysis will be in accordance with Procedure No. 525-000-006, Level of Service Standards and Highway Capacity Analysis for the State Highway System (Urbanized areas) as summarized below:

- SR 401: LOS D
- SR 528 Mainline and Ramps: LOS D
- Charles M Rowland Dr.: LOS D

The operational analysis will compare defined MOEs for the analysis of the No-Build alternative with the Build alternative to quantify potential betterment or non-significant degradation of the Build alternative improvements.

Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) LOS and volume to capacity v/c used to evaluate and compare the Build and No-Build alternatives will be as follows:

- Ramps Merge/Diverge Density
- Freeway Segments Density, Travel Speed.

### 11.0 SAFETY ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Crash data has been obtained for the most recent five (5) years of available data (see Table 1) from the DEPARTMENT's crash database and other local sources for this Project. The crash data will include the number and type of crashes, crash locations, number of fatalities and injuries, and estimates of property damage and economic loss.

*Safety Analysis:* Safety analysis will be performed in accordance with Part 2, Chapter 2 of the PD&E Manual. Based on the information obtained from the crash data, project safety needs will be identified that is associated with the existing and future conditions. The Highway Safety Manual (HSM) procedures will be used to estimate the safety performance of the Project alternatives.

Documentation of Safety Analysis: The results of the safety analysis will be documented in the PTAR.

### **12.0 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS REPORT**

The Project Traffic Analysis Report will be prepared as described in Part 2, Chapters 2 and 3 of the PD&E Manual to document development of design traffic volumes and results of the traffic analysis for No Build and Build Alternatives. The results will be shown on diagrams for each alternative and discussed in the report. The Project Traffic Analysis Report will also summarize the comparison of the operational and safety performance of all alternatives evaluated in detail and how they perform against each other. Only one alternative analysis will be documented in the report.

APPENDIX B

Approved Forecast Memorandum



To:	Mary McGehee, AICP, FDOT		·	
	Project Manager		•	
From:	Greg Gaides and Sathya			
	Thyagaraj		·	
Copies T	o: Odalys Delgado, Parsons			
	Project Manager, Jason	•	•	
	Learned, D5 Model Coordinator			
Date:	lanuary 28,2022		•	
Dute.	Junuary 20, 2022			

Subject: SR 401 Bridge Replacement PD&E Study (FPID 444787-1-22-01): Revised Traffic Forecasts

### Introduction

Forecast design hour traffic volumes are developed for two planning horizons—2030 opening year and 2050 design year. An initial forecast was issued July 31, 2021, absent any traffic count data available within the study area. Its issuance was driven by accelerated project timeline requirements juxtaposed against the evolving recovery of the cruise ship industry from the COVID-19 pandemic and its related impact on timing for collecting traffic counts.

This document constitutes the revised forecast, grounded in traffic count data collected September 8-10 and 22-24, 2021. The initial forecast is included as **Attachment A** in this report for comparison purposes. It is recommended the revised forecast be accepted as it represents an overall sounder approach reflective of both actual traffic volumes and observed Port North activity in the study area. It is also noted the revised forecasts do not include weekend conditions whereas the initial forecasts do. It was agreed weekend forecasts would not be updated as part of the revised methodology, owing to resource and schedule constraints. If accepted, the forecast volumes will be used to advance the traffic operations analysis.

### Study Area

**Figure 1** illustrates the study area over which traffic forecasts are prepared. The study area encompasses SR 401 between Charles Rowland Drive and SR 528, and SR 528 mainline between Banana River Drive and George King Boulevard. This includes all ramp movements at SR 401/SR 528.

### Existing Traffic Volume Information

**Figure 1** also illustrates locations where weekday (72-hour) traffic counts, both volume and classification, were collected in September 2021. The count data were collected in two groups representing different days (discussed under the Data Collection and Analysis section). Group 1 was collected September 8-10, 2021 shown in pink on Figure 1, while Group 2 was collected September 22-24, 2021, and is shown in white on Figure 1. Attachment B includes the raw traffic count information. Recent (2019 and 2020) AADT and truck volumes for the interchange area are shown in **Figure 2**.





### Figure 1. Study Area Map and Traffic Count Locations



Note: Pink boxes indicate data collected September 8-10, 2021; white boxes indicate data collected September 22-24, 2021.





### Figure 2. Available Recent (2019 and 2020) AADT in Study Area



Source: Florida Department of Transportation 2019 and 2020 Annual Average Daily Traffic Reports (Florida Traffic Online)



#### **Forecast Volume Specifications**

Study area volumes are forecast for the project opening year 2030, and design year 2050. Separate volume forecasts are prepared for port-related and non-port related activities and then added together, as will be discussed in the "Forecasting Approach" section below. The primary sources of information for the port-related trips are traffic counts, Canaveral Port Authority (CPA) provided information on past and present cruise ship and cargo activity, and information contained in the Canaveral Port Authority's *30 Year Strategic Vision Plan*. The Central Florida Regional Planning Model (CFRPM) v. 7.0 is a primary source for forecast weekday non-port-related volumes. It has a 2015 base year and planning horizons in five-year increments out to 2045. The 2030 project opening year forecast is rooted in the CFRPM 2030 forecast. The 2050 design year forecast volumes are prepared by trendline analysis of the CFRPM post-processed forecast volumes between its 2015 base year and 2045. The *2019 Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook* was followed, where applicable.

Volume forecasts are prepared for the segments in which traffic counts are collected. The ten locations are shown on **Figure 1** (numbered segments 1 through 9). In addition, forecasts are prepared for roadway segments located in-between the numbered segments. These include the critical SR 401 bascule bridge segment (each direction) and SR 528 between the SR 401 on- and off-ramps (each direction).

#### **Forecasting Approach**

In forecasting traffic on study area roadway segments—particularly when directly serving a special generator—it is vital to understand the underlying markets they serve. **Figures 3** and **4** illustrate the travel markets represented by traffic volumes on SR 401 and SR 528, respectively. Travel across the SR 401 bascule bridges constitute trips either having a trip end<sup>1</sup> in the North Port area—which includes cruise ship and cargo-related operations—or trips passing through the North Port area with a trip end (in the restricted access area to the east and north. The mix of traffic on SR 528 in the vicinity of the SR 401 interchange consists of trips either: crossing the SR 401 bascule bridges, entering/leaving the South Port area via George King Boulevard, or passing through the study area and staying on that facility.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A trip end represents a trip origin or destination.



Figure 3. SR 401 Travel Markets



Figure 4. SR 528 Travel Markets



The proposed forecasting approach attempts to model and represent the individual travel markets by leveraging available data and information describing each market, both "now" and in the future. The appropriate market demands are then combined to yield a composite demand on the study area roadway segments for the desired horizon years.



Recognizing the resulting traffic forecasts are partly dependent on other forecasts such as cruise ship passenger activity and cargo tonnage, it was decided to automate the SR 401 traffic forecasting procedure as much as possible and thereby facilitating relatively quick testing of different component demand scenarios. For example, the size of the cruise ship passenger market in the 2050 design year will be dependent in part upon the size of the cruise ships (in terms of the maximum number of passengers they may transport) and the number of berths in active use representative of the design day. Proscribing an operations plan at the Port governing the cruise ship industry 30 years in the future is a tall order to say the least. Having a forecasting approach nimble enough to quickly test a multitude of operating scenarios allows the analyst to efficiently grasp how a range of cruise ship operating scenarios may impact traffic volumes on the SR 401 bridges. The automation was provided through use of Visual Basic scripting within Microsoft Excel workbooks.

The forecasting process is summarized in the following steps:

- 1. Data collection
  - a. Conduct traffic counts (weekdays, September 2021).
  - b. Gather and organize cruise ship-related activity by terminal coinciding with days traffic counts are collected, plus a representative day in March 2019 when cruise ship activity is robust.
    - i. Passengers embarking
    - ii. Passengers debarking
    - iii. Parking garage counts (entering and leaving by time of day)
    - iv. Ground transportation demand servicing the cruise ships
  - c. Gather and organize cargo-related activity (number of trucks) by day
- Develop 2021 weekday traffic volume control totals from traffic count data collected September 2021 (Step 1a).
  - a. All roadway segments in study area
  - b. By hour
  - c. By vehicle classification
- 3. Leverage the 2019 cruise ship data (Step 1b) to estimate average vehicle occupancies among passenger cars utilizing the terminal garages plus the mix of vehicles comprising ground transportation. The 2019 data is thought to be more representative of ground transportation characteristics in the future, as the pandemic's impact on cruise passenger willingness to utilize public transport or the supply of such transport during the September 2021 data collection timeframe might have skewed the data.
- 4. Apply the average vehicle occupancy information (Step 3) along with the traffic counts (Step 1a) and known cruise ship activity (Step 1b) and cargo activity (Step 1c) on the day representing the control total to remove the Port North-related traffic volumes from the control totals (Step 2). The result is an assumed 2021 control total without cruise ship activity or cargo.
- Convert the Step 4 result to a 2019 control total, without cruise ship activity or cargo, by adjusting the SR 528 mainline volumes based on published 2019 AADT information on either side of the SR 401 interchange.



- 6. Specify a set of future year cruise ship scenarios whereby the user indicates if the berth is active for the assumed design day and if so, the passenger carrying capacity of the ship. It is assumed each ship will operate at 97% of capacity, but this parameter can be adjusted. As a benchmark, ships homeporting at Port Canaveral averaged around 95% occupancy for the Port's last fiscal year prior to the pandemic<sup>2</sup>. The use of a slightly higher occupancy recognizes some daily (or monthly) variation from the annual average and is intended to represent a peak condition.
- 7. Compute the Port North-related future trips.
  - a. For the cruise ship market, the number of future embarking and debarking passengers at each cruise terminal as represented by the various Step 6 scenarios are translated into vehicle trips by access mode assuming the ground transportation modal shares and vehicle occupancies remain unchanged from the 2019 data. This demand is distributed by time of day consistent with the observed passenger car entries/exits at the cruise terminal garages. Thus, it is assumed future cruise ship operations will have passenger unloading and loading times similar as existing operations.
  - b. For the cargo market, the forecast increase in number of trucks is assumed proportional to the forecast increase in freight tonnage at the Port. The forecast truck demand is assumed to maintain the same hourly distribution observed during the September 2021 traffic counts (specifically, the vehicle classification counts for vehicle types 8-15, which are heavy trucks).
- 8. Compute the non-Port North-related future trips.
  - a. The CFRPM v 7.0 was run for all forecast years, including a select zone assignment of the Port North area (the zone encompasses the entirety of Port North). This facilitated the separation of Port North trips from the non-Port trips to/from the secured area that passthrough the Port on SR 401 to access SR-528. This was done for both auto and truck classes within the CFRPM.
  - b. The modeled growth in non-Port trips (auto and truck kept separate) are applied to the base year non-port trips using the Furness methods proscribed in the *FDOT Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook*, and then rebalanced as necessary to preserve volume continuity between adjacent segments.
  - c. The resulting forecast non-Port trips are distributed by time of day using the hourly distributions associated with the 2019 control totals for non-Port trips.
- 9. Combine the Port North future trips (Step 7) with the non-Port North future trips (Step 8) to yield final volumes for all segments by vehicle class by hour for each scenario specified in Step 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Source: Port Canaveral 2020 State of the Port presentation.



### Data Collection and Analysis

The original intent of the traffic volume data collection program established for this study was to conduct traffic counts in the study area on September 8-10, 2021 (Wednesday through Friday). This three-day period included a day (Wednesday) when no cruise ship activity was scheduled at the Port and two days (Thursday and Friday) with passenger debarking and embarking activity. At the conclusion of the data collection period, it was discovered counters failed at four of the locations. These four locations were counted again two weeks later, on September 22-24. This three-day period was chosen because it represents the same days of week occurring shortly after the original data collection period and for which forecast cruise ship activity is similar. **Table 1** shows the cruise ship activity for each day of the two data collection periods. Note on Friday, September 24 there were two vessels being served, compared to a single vessel on the corresponding Friday from Group 1. The second ship docks at the Port South and therefore does not directly impact SR 401 volumes.

Collection Period	Day	Date	Vessel	Cruise Terminal (CT)	Embarking Passengers	Debarking Passengers	Total Passengers
	Wed	9/8/2021	-	-	-	-	-
Group 1	Thu	9/9/2021	Carnival Magic	6	2,700	2,600	5,300
	Fri	9/10/2021	Disney Dream	8	1,300	1,300	2,600
	Wed	9/22/2021	Disney Fantasy	8	1,152	0	1,152
	Thu	9/23/2021	Carnival Magic	6	2,552	2,865	5,417
	Fri	9/24/2021	Disney Dream	8	1,167	1,203	2,370
	Fri	9/24/2021	Mariner of the Seas	1	1,022	1,643	2,665

	Table 1.	Cruise Shi	p Activity o	n Days Tr	affic Count	Data wer	e Collected
--	----------	------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	----------	-------------

Source: Canaveral Port Authority

Had the initial data collection program produced valid counts across all locations as originally designed, balancing the counted volumes on the road segments within the study area would have been straightforward. Given the two partial sets of three-day counts available for which cruise passenger demand (as well as normal background traffic) varies among the days, it became difficult to achieve balance for which there is a high degree of confidence.

Compounding the issue was the quality of the count data on eastbound SR 528 through the interchange with SR 401. Locations 1 and 4 provide SR 528 traffic flows approaching and leaving the interchange, and Location 3 provides the on-ramp volumes from SR 401—all collected on the same days (Group 2). Location 2, which is the loop off-ramp to SR 401, was collected in the Group 1 dataset. Location 2 volumes for Group



2 may be directly imputed by the following computation utilizing the Group 2 dataset:

Location 2 = Location 1 + Location 3 – Location 4

The imputed Group 2 hourly counts at Location 2 are shown in **Figure 5**, along with the actual (Group 1) counts for Location 2 for comparison. Clearly, the imputed negative values indicate a problem with any of the three Group 2 count locations on or feeding into eastbound SR 528. Additionally, the spike exhibited on Wednesday, September 22 relative to the following two days suggests something atypical occurred. Attempting to correct for these concerns could introduce significant uncertainty into the overall volumes used in the analysis.



### Figure 5. Count Location 2 Data: Group 1 Actual vs. Group 2 Imputed



#### **Development of Control Totals**

Because the study area traffic count data were collected over two separate three-day periods, it became necessary to balance the volumes among adjacent segments within the study area. The two data collection groupings were initially reviewed to ascertain whether the counts from one grouping's locations could be used to develop counts at the remaining locations. It was concluded this was not possible.

Accordingly, it was then decided to establish traffic volume control totals on the bascule bridges and adjust all volumes leading toward and exiting from these bridges (in each direction) such that they match the established control totals. The bascule bridges were chosen principally for three reasons: (1) they represent the focal point for the study, (2) accurate volumes across the bridges strengthens the vessel delay analysis, and (3) the Group 1 counts exhibited higher overall data quality than Group 2 and the Group 1 counts contribute more directly to the volume across the bridges. Control totals were established by hour of day and by FHWA vehicle class (aggregating some vehicle classes where data were either "thin", or the level of disaggregation was not otherwise beneficial to the study). **Table 2** presents the hourly directional control totals by vehicle type, while **Figure 6** illustrates the **Table 2** flows. **Table 3** shows how the different vehicle classes crossing the bascule bridges are distributed throughout the day.





<b>a</b>	Northbo	und Vehicle Flo	w Across Bascul	e Bridge by Vehi	cle Class	Southbo	und Vehicle Flov	w Across Bascul	e Bridge by Vehi	cle Class
Starting	Classes 1-3	Class 4	Classes 5-7	Classes 8-15	All Classes	Classes 1-3	Class 4	Classes 5-7	Classes 8-15	All Classes
noui	Pass. Car	Bus	SU Truck	Hvy Truck	Total	Pass. Car	Bus	SU Truck	Hvy Truck	Total
00:00	8	0	1	10	19	26	0	1	8	35
01:00	3	0	1	7	11	6	0	0	6	12
02:00	15	0	0	13	28	25	0	0	17	42
03:00	21	0	0	15	36	25	0	0	13	38
04:00	63	0	3	19	85	19	0	0	15	34
05:00	431	0	5	29	465	34	0	5	18	57
06:00	885	4	12	37	938	47	0	4	28	79
07:00	914	2	17	43	976	139	0	7	27	173
08:00	641	3	20	44	708	384	5	12	55	456
09:00	415	5	15	51	486	409	3	18	64	494
10:00	392	1	21	50	464	289	2	13	74	378
11:00	400	4	15	38	457	343	3	19	52	417
12:00	401	3	16	28	448	297	3	11	37	348
13:00	259	4	9	36	308	317	5	7	60	389
14:00	218	1	10	24	253	563	1	11	33	608
15:00	127	0	4	10	141	959	0	8	22	989
16:00	77	1	6	7	91	1,186	0	14	12	1,212
17:00	50	0	2	13	65	442	0	9	16	467
18:00	31	0	2	13	46	237	1	0	11	249
19:00	42	1	0	14	57	233	0	0	23	256
20:00	19	0	0	7	26	33	0	5	6	44
21:00	26	1	0	8	35	25	0	0	13	38
22:00	12	0	0	12	24	106	0	1	15	122
23:00	17	0	0	4	21	59	0	0	7	66
DAY TOTAL	5,467	30	159	532	6,188	6,203	23	145	632	7,003
DAY SHARE	88%	0%	3%	9%	100%	89%	0%	2%	9%	100%

### Table 2. Hourly Vehicle Control Totals Across Bascule Bridges, by Vehicle Class, Thursday, September 9, 2021

7













<i></i>	Northbo	und Vehicle Flo <sup>,</sup>	w Across Bascul	e Bridge by Vehi	icle Class	Southbo	und Vehicle Flor	w Across Basculo	e Bridge by Vehi	cle Class
Starting	Classes 1-3	Class 4	Classes 5-7	Classes 8-15	All Classes	Classes 1-3	Class 4	Classes 5-7	Classes 8-15	All Classes
noui	Pass. Car	Bus	SU Truck	Hvy Truck	Total	Pass. Car	Bus	SU Truck	Hvy Truck	Total
00:00	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
01:00	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
02:00	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%
03:00	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%
04:00	1%	0%	2%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
05:00	8%	0%	3%	5%	8%	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%
06:00	16%	13%	8%	7%	15%	1%	0%	3%	4%	1%
07:00	17%	7%	11%	8%	16%	2%	0%	5%	4%	2%
08:00	12%	10%	13%	8%	11%	6%	22%	8%	9%	7%
09:00	8%	17%	9%	10%	8%	7%	13%	12%	10%	7%
10:00	7%	3%	13%	9%	7%	5%	9%	9%	12%	5%
11:00	7%	13%	9%	7%	7%	6%	13%	13%	8%	6%
12:00	7%	10%	10%	5%	7%	5%	13%	8%	6%	5%
13:00	5%	13%	6%	7%	5%	5%	22%	5%	9%	6%
14:00	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%	9%	4%	8%	5%	9%
15:00	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	15%	0%	6%	3%	14%
16:00	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%	19%	0%	10%	2%	17%
17:00	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	7%	0%	6%	3%	7%
18:00	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	4%	4%	0%	2%	4%
19:00	1%	3%	0%	3%	1%	4%	0%	0%	4%	4%
20:00	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%
21:00	0%	3%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%
22:00	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%
23:00	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
DAY TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### Table 3. Hourly Distribution Across Bascule Bridges, by Vehicle Class, Thursday, September 9, 2021

9



A review of the above tables and figure reveal the following:

- Passenger cars account for about 88% of traffic flowing across the bridges, with heavy trucks accounting for about 9%.
- Traffic volumes peak between 6:00-8:00 AM northbound and 3:00-5:00 PM southbound. These peaks are driven largely by passenger car demand. Truck volumes are a little more uniformly distributed within the 6:00 AM -3:00 PM timeframe, with the highest demands occurring between 8:00-11:00 AM in each direction.
- Single unit trucks (which presumably include provisioning vehicles servicing the cruise ships) and buses account for only 3% of the traffic flowing across the bridges. Their peaks coincide with cruise ship passenger activity.

It is important to keep in mind the above data and findings reflect a September 9, 2021 control date representing a weekday in which cruise ship activity is limited to a single terminal (CT 6) at the Port consisting of 2,600 passengers debarking and 2,700 passengers embarking the Carnival Magic—representing cruise ship activity well below pre-pandemic conditions. Accordingly, the cruise ship portion of the total demand across the bascule bridges is significantly smaller than is typical in pre-pandemic times.

The following generally describes the procedures used to develop the bascule bridge control totals from the count data. Note the calculations are performed by hour and aggregated vehicle class.

1. Leverage the Group 1 dataset to produce, where possible, count volumes at locations counted in Group 2. The only place this was possible was Location 8 northbound. A Group 1-equivalent count could be calculated at this location using the following equation utilizing Group 1 count locations exclusively:

Location 8<sub>northbound</sub> = Location 2 + Location 5 – Location 6.1 – Location 6.2

2. A Group 1-equivalent southbound count at Location 8 was computed by scaling the count in Step 1 by the ratio of actual southbound-to-northbound counts at Location 8 from Group 2, as follows:

Location 8<sub>southbound</sub> = Location 8<sub>northbound</sub> \* (Location 8<sub>southbound-Group2</sub> / Location 8<sub>southbound-Group2</sub>)

3. At this point, the northbound control total volumes across the bascule bridge can be computed directly (sum of Locations 2 and 5). The southbound control total volumes across the bascule bridge may be established by either of the following equations:

Bridge southbound = Location 7 + Location 8 imputed in Step 2, Or

Bridge southbound = Location 9 + Location 3 imputed

The former equation was chosen as the latter must rely on Location 3 which could be contributing to the data quality issues on eastbound SR 528 discussed earlier.

At this point, the only count stations not reflected in the control totals are Locations 1, 3 and 4, which all were a part of the Group 2 dataset and directly speak to traffic on SR 528. Location 3 counts representing the control day were calculated by subtracting the Location 9 count data for the control day from the southbound bridge control total (Step 3). Locations 1 and 4 reflect a combination of traffic to/from SR 401 and through traffic on SR 528. While the SR 401 ramps component control totals (Locations 2, 3, 5 and 9) can be explicitly accounted for, the magnitude of SR 528 through traffic cannot, particularly given the uncertainty with the SR 528 counts. Hence, a second control total is needed on SR 528. It was decided to use the data at Location 4 for the control total on SR 528. Volumes at Location 1 were then adjusted based on the Location 4 control total and the volumes at the SR 401 ramp locations. **Table 4** presents the final set of control totale counts at all the locations in the study area. **Attachment C** contains the **Table 4** information disaggregated by vehicle class.



### Table 4. Hourly Vehicle Control Totals at All Locations, Thursday, September 9, 2021

	SR 528 M	lainline		Northbou	nd Movement	Across Basc	ule Bridge		Sou	thbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	/lainline		Two-Way Volu	me
Starting	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bascule	SR 5	28
noui	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Bridges	West of SR 401	East of SR 401
00:00	97	159	8	11	19	0	0	19	34	1	35	24	11	113	159	54	256	272
01:00	77	59	7	4	11	0	0	11	11	1	12	0	12	70	67	23	144	129
02:00	56	58	22	6	28	0	0	28	42	0	42	7	35	41	87	70	143	99
03:00	49	75	24	12	36	0	0	36	36	2	38	18	20	43	83	74	132	118
04:00	134	111	54	31	85	9	2	74	33	1	34	5	29	85	109	119	243	196
05:00	538	378	291	174	465	34	38	393	52	5	57	9	48	256	252	522	790	634
06:00	1,192	862	613	325	938	51	29	858	72	7	79	27	52	606	589	1,017	1,781	1,468
07:00	1,630	1,066	628	348	976	31	34	911	116	57	173	42	131	1,044	849	1,149	2,479	2,110
08:00	1,380	1,089	419	289	708	33	78	597	155	301	456	126	330	1,087	1,130	1,164	2,510	2,176
09:00	1,105	929	262	224	486	31	67	388	152	342	494	197	297	1,040	1,002	980	2,107	1,969
10:00	1,104	985	261	203	464	24	104	336	225	153	378	158	220	1,001	1,002	842	2,106	1,986
11:00	1,165	1,047	239	218	457	34	88	335	278	139	417	211	206	1,137	1,035	874	2,200	2,184
12:00	1,137	1,021	206	242	448	22	79	347	232	116	348	192	156	1,123	935	796	2,072	2,144
13:00	949	934	172	136	308	15	65	228	307	82	389	174	215	951	1,013	697	1,962	1,885
14:00	880	995	128	125	253	13	22	218	467	141	608	306	302	1,058	1,172	861	2,052	2,053
15:00	805	1,161	70	71	141	14	18	109	848	141	989	454	535	1,189	1,625	1,130	2,430	2,350
16:00	662	1,293	57	34	91	0	8	83	1,127	85	1,212	685	527	1,290	1,786	1,303	2,448	2,583
17:00	1,036	1,095	42	23	65	1	3	61	455	12	467	214	253	1,208	1,325	532	2,361	2,303
18:00	754	735	25	21	46	0	1	45	241	8	249	98	151	827	865	295	1,619	1,562
19:00	469	671	21	36	57	2	2	53	253	3	256	196	60	644	695	313	1,164	1,315
20:00	437	501	17	9	26	0	0	26	43	1	44	16	28	436	520	70	957	937
21:00	269	373	19	16	35	0	0	35	37	1	38	16	22	266	379	73	648	639
22:00	201	243	16	8	24	0	0	24	121	1	122	77	45	262	280	146	481	505
23:00	142	151	17	4	21	0	0	21	65	1	66	41	25	166	172	87	314	317
DAY TOTAL	16,268	15,991	3,618	2,570	6,188	314	638	5,236	5,402	1,601	7,003	3,293	3,710	15,943	17,131	13,191	33,399	31,934



#### Development of Cruise Passenger-Related Vehicle Shares and Occupancies

To measure the impact of the cruise ship market—now and in the future—on SR 401 traffic volumes, it is necessary to understand the associated ground transportation characteristics such as mode of access and egress shares (private vehicle, bus, other shuttle service, etc.) and their average passenger occupancies, as well as the temporal distribution of these modes. The objective is to define these characteristics through observed data, recognizing the current conditions represent a ramp-up from the pandemic and potentially may be different than the pre-pandemic state. To this end, data were requested from the Canaveral Port Authority (CPA) for both pre-pandemic and current conditions. A spreadsheet-based model is developed that when calibrated, provides ground transportation occupancies that reasonably fit the supplied cruise passenger demand data. The occupancy information is assumed to be the same for the 2030 and 2050 planning horizons, although this may easily be changed if desired.

The CPA provided key cruise ship passenger demand data for a busy day in March 2019. This month was requested because it typically represents a peak month for cruise demand during pre-pandemic conditions. All four Port North berths were active on March 16, 2019. The desire for supplying data for a day in which there is significant cruise ship activity is driven by the realization the design day condition could mirror a busy day when there are a significant number of cruise passengers entering and leaving the North Port. That the day of week for which data were supplied was a Saturday is less critical. **Tables 5 and 6** summarize the passenger activity for this peak day.

Day	Date	Vessel	Cruise Terminal (CT)	Embarking Passengers	Debarking Passengers	Total Passengers
Sat	3/16/2019	Carnival Sunshine	5	3,861	3,634	7,495
Sat	3/16/2019	Carnival Breeze	6	4,752	4,460	9,212
Sat	3/16/2019	Disney Fantasy	8	3,899	3,802	7,701
Sat	3/16/2019	Norwegian Epic	10	4,764	4,721	9,485
Sat	3/16/2021	Total	-	17,276	16,617	33,893

Table 5. Cruise Ship Activity on Peak Day in March 2019

Source: Canaveral Port Authority

### Table 6. Cruise Ship Terminal Parking Counts on Peak Day in March 2019

Day	Date	Vessel	Duration	Cruise Terminal (CT)	Vehicles In	Vehicles Out
Sat	3/16/2019	Carnival Sunshine	8 days	5	424	118
Sat	3/16/2019	Carnival Breeze	7 days	6	636	489
Sat	3/16/2019	Disney Fantasy	7 days	8	311	Not Available
Sat	3/16/2019	Norwegian Epic	7 days	10	1,193	1,096

#### Source: Canaveral Port Authority

In addition, the CPA indicated there were 1,691 ground transportation vehicles servicing the four ships that day. Of the 1,691-vehicle total, 252 were buses. A breakdown of the number of ground transportation vehicles serving each cruise terminal is not available.

The CPA also provided similar data coinciding to the initial September 2021 traffic count data collection dates. Passenger embarking and debarking counts for the traffic count collection days were previously shown in **Table 1**. **Table 7** summarizes the available parking garage data provided by CPA for the initial set of dates in September 2021.



Day	Date	Vessel	Duration	Cruise Terminal (CT)	Vehicles In	Vehicles Out
Wed	9/8/2021	-	-	8	8	422
Thu	9/9/2021	Carnival Magic	4 days	6	535	465
Fri	9/10/2021	Disney Dream	3 days	8	218	293

#### Table 7. Cruise Ship Terminal Parking Counts for Select Dates in September 2021

Source: Canaveral Port Authority

The CPA also provided ground transportation vehicle counts entering Port North, as follows:

- Wednesday, September 22, 2021 86 vehicles
- Thursday, September 23, 2021 293 vehicles
- Friday, September 24, 2021 148 vehicles

Further breakdown of these data by mode was not provided.

Prior to collecting the ground transportation data, it was uncertain whether passengers' choices concerning mode of arrival to Port Canaveral are affected by (1) the current state of the pandemic recovery and (2) cruise duration. For example, given reluctance by some to travel (by air) during the pandemic, there might be greater proportions of cruise passengers having local origins such that there is a greater likelihood of passengers parking their private automobiles at the cruise terminal garages. Also, the Port Canaveral ground transportation choices available to the cruise passengers (and the passengers' assessment of their utilities or "worth") during the pandemic recovery may not be the same as they were pre-pandemic. Lastly, cruise duration may affect choice of ground transportation to/from Port Canaveral, regardless of any pandemic influence, as private parking at the cruise terminal garages becomes a less attractive option to the cruise passenger as their days at sea lengthen, because the garage cost is per day. It was decided to try to leverage the March 2019 data to the greatest extent possible, thinking it is more representative of the future than current conditions.

**Table 8** shows the ratio of cruise ship passengers to parking garage counts for the days these data are available.<sup>3</sup> This is intended only to inform as to the variability of the parking garage count data, or more specifically, how uniform is the share of parking private auto among all modal ground transportation options available to cruise passengers. **Table 8** reveals a ratio generally ranging between 4.0 and 9.1. Two data points are outside this range: embarking on the Disney Fantasy and debarking the Carnival Sunshine, both from the 2019 data. The Disney Fantasy's 12.5 ratio is generally higher than the ratios of competing cruise lines recorded in 2019 and higher than Disney Dream's ratio recorded in 2021. That Disney has a high ratio in 2019 could be attributed to the "Disney experience" unique among its cruise peers with respect to bus service from Disney Resort properties. As for a higher ratio in 2019 than 2021, the longer cruise duration (7 days in 2019 vs. 3 days in 2021) and/or influence of the pandemic on ground transportation choices may explain the difference. The 2019 Carnival Sunshine's high ratio (30.8) for debarking passengers cannot be due to cruise duration, as the ship was on an 8-day schedule both arriving and departing that day and the embarking passenger ratio was 9.1. Driving the high ratio is the low number of vehicles exiting the terminal that day, despite having about the same number of passengers disembarking as embarking. It is unclear why the CT 5's exiting count was so low that day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A low ratio indicates garage parking receives a relatively high share of the ship's passengers, whereas a high share indicates garage parking is a less popular option.



Day	Date	Vessel	Cruise Terminal (CT)	Ratio Entering Terminal	Ratio Exiting Terminal
Sat	3/16/2019	Carnival Sunshine	5	9.1	30.8
Sat	3/16/2019	Carnival Breeze	6	7.5	9.1
Sat	3/16/2019	Disney Fantasy	8	12.5	N/A
Sat	3/16/2019	Norwegian Epic	10	4.0	4.3
Thu	9/9/2021	Carnival Magic	6	5.0	5.6
Fri	9/10/2021	Disney Dream	8	6.0	4.4

### Table 8. Ratio of Cruise Ship Passengers to Parking Counts

As stated in the beginning of this section, the goal is to establish ground transportation occupancies that reasonably fit the supplied cruise passenger demand data. Additionally, it is desired to utilize the 2019 data to the greatest extent possible. The strategy going forward for establishing vehicle occupancies for the different ground transportation modes is as follows:

- 1. Accept the 2019 cruise passenger data—both embarking and debarking—at each cruise terminal as control totals. In other words, the average vehicle occupancies established must match the embarking and debarking passenger data at each terminal.
- 2. Try to keep the vehicle passenger occupancies constant across cruise terminals. It is reasonable to expect the passenger occupancies to be about the same across the different cruise ship operators.<sup>4</sup>
- 3. Establish combined terminal control totals for parking garage counts, both entering (embarking passengers) and exiting (debarking passengers) recognizing some of the individual parking count data for 2019 is either missing or suspect. Relationships present within the 2021 data were leveraged to shore-up weaknesses in the 2019 data. For example, the outbound vehicles exiting CT 8 in 2019 were estimated by applying the ratio of outbound-to-inbound vehicles at this terminal in 2021 to the 2019 inbound vehicle counts.
- 4. The vehicle passenger occupancies must satisfy the cruise passenger control totals (Item 1), while also satisfying the parking garage control totals (Item 3), the number of buses and the number of other ground transportation vehicles reported. Additionally, as a check, the resulting cruise ship passenger-to-parking count ratios at each terminal should be reasonable.

The following average occupancies were established to fit the available data discussed in Item 4:

- Passenger cars parking at terminal garages 2.5 passengers per vehicle. This value was assumed for all cruise terminals and applied to both vehicles entering and exiting the garages.
- Buses serving the cruise terminals between 52 and 55 passengers per bus. These are assumed to be over-theroad coaches with capacities upwards of 60 passengers.
- Other ground transportation 3.7 cruise passengers per vehicle. This category comprises all ground transportation smaller than over-the-road coaches such as private shuttles, taxi, and transportation network companies.

The cruise ship passenger-to-parking count ratios are within and near the low end of the range cited earlier by the data, with the exception at CT 8. It is assumed buses are more attractive to Disney Cruise Line passengers than those on competing cruise operators, thereby resulting in ratios slightly higher than the upper end of the range.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It may be asserted Disney cruise passenger occupancies for private transportation are slightly higher on grounds there is a greater likelihood of families with kids than on the other cruise lines. Lacking data to test this assertion and not knowing what the future holds, it is decided to keep the occupancies constant.



The passenger occupancies presented above for the different ground transportation modes are assumed to remain unchanged in the development of future vehicular demand stemming from cruise ship passengers. However, the user may try different occupancy assumptions as a sensitivity test.

### Development of 2019 Control Totals (Without Port Activity)

The objective of this section is to adjust the control totals developed earlier (representing a September 9, 2021 control day in which a single berth in Port North was active) to a pre-pandemic 2019 control day stripped of all Port North activity. Removal of all Port-related activity to the control day in 2019 establishes a base condition upon which future Port activity scenarios characterized by cruise passenger and cargo truck demand may be added.

The following steps are employed to make the conversion from the September 9, 2021 control day to a 2019 control day void of any Port North activity:

- Apply the vehicle passenger occupancies developed in the previous section to the Carnival Magic data for September 9, 2021 to isolate the cruise passenger contribution (in terms of vehicles) traversing the study area segments. Remove this cruise passenger contribution from the control totals.
- 2. Remove 419 cargo trucks across each direction of the SR 401 bridge from the control totals. This is the number of trucks in cargo operations that day, as provided by CPA. The removed trucks are distributed among the study area segments consistent with the proportions of heavy trucks on the affected segments as measured by the September 9 classification counts. An assumption is made that the 2021 cargo-related truck volumes are basically unchanged from 2019.
- 3. Completion of Steps 1 and 2 yield a set of 2021 control day segment volume without any Port North activity. Convert these segment volumes to a 2019 control total, without cruise ship activity or cargo, by adjusting the SR 528 mainline volumes based on published 2019 AADT information on either side of the SR 401 interchange. The result is a 2019 base year without any Port North activity.

### **Future Cruise Ship Operations Scenarios**

Available information concerning future cruise ship passenger demand and number of port calls at Port Canaveral is expressed in annual terms. How this translates to a design weekday in the future is less certain. Berth utilization and ship size (in terms of passenger capacity) on a given day are key drivers in the development of a weekday forecast, and these may change over time. The forecasting approach accounts for a range of scenarios that would undoubtedly impact forecast cruise ship patronage, and in turn, vehicular demand across the SR 401 bridge.

The Canaveral Port Authority's *30 Year Strategic Vision Plan* indicates no new cruise terminals slated for Port North in its planning horizon<sup>5</sup>; all new terminals are planned for Port South. However, the *Plan* identifies remodeling terminals and berths to accommodate larger size ships. Specifically, the *Plan* suggests planning for ships in the 5,000 to 7,000 passenger range, recognizing the timing of this will be driven by the cruise ship industry. Most of the cruise ships currently homeporting at Port Canaveral have a capacity around 4,000 passengers.

For planning purposes, it is assumed cruise ships serving Port Canaveral will increase to 5,000-passenger capacity by 2030 and 7,000-passenger capacity by 2050. It is further assumed the ships will operate at 97% of capacity in the future. Ships homeporting at Port Canaveral averaged around 95% occupancy for the Port's last fiscal year prior to the pandemic. The use of a slightly higher occupancy recognizes some daily (or monthly) variation from the annual average and is intended to represent a peak condition in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The *Plan* does identify future construction of a new berth immediately south of Terminal 10 to support cargo and the Spaceport Program as well as working vessels and lay berths. This analysis assumes no future cruise passenger demand at this new berth.



The maximum number of ships actively using a Port North berth on a given day is four and, based on the *30 Year Strategic Vision Plan,* is assumed to remain unchanged in the future. Thus, the contribution from cruise ship passengers on SR 401 bridge volumes will be a function of the number of berths actively in use on the design day. Scenarios representing two, three and four ships utilizing the four available berths are modeled. **Table 9** summarizes the operating scenarios analyzed.

Number of Ships		20	30		2050				
Operating in Port North	CT 5	CT 6	CT 8	CT 10	CT 5	CT 6	CT 8	CT 10	
2	-	5,000	5,000	-	-	7,000	7,000	-	
3	-	5,000	5,000	5,000	-	7,000	7,000	7,000	
4	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	

### Table 9. Cruise Ship Operating Scenarios on a Given Future Weekday

Note: The number shown is the assumed maximum passenger capacity for the ship at a given terminal, while "-" indicates the berth does not have a ship actively discharging or receiving passengers on the design day. The choice of which terminals are active/inactive are meant to be illustrative and not suggestive of one terminal receiving priority over another.

### Port North-Related Future Trips

Forecasts of vehicles entering and leaving the Port North area are developed for two markets—cruise ships and cargo. Three scenarios are analyzed for the cruise ship market in 2030 and another three for 2050, as presented in **Table 9**. For the cargo market, only one scenario is considered. The development of future year trips for these markets is discussed below.

For the cruise ship market, the number of future embarking and debarking passengers at each cruise terminal as represented by the Table 9 scenarios are translated into vehicle trips by access mode assuming the ground transportation modal shares and vehicle occupancies remain unchanged from the 2019 data. This demand is distributed by time of day consistent with the observed passenger car entries/exits at the cruise terminal garages. Thus, it is assumed future cruise ship operations will have passenger unloading and loading times similar as existing operations.

For the cargo market, the forecast increase in number of trucks is assumed proportional to the forecast increase in freight tonnage at the Port. Per the 30 Year Strategic Vision Plan, Port cargo tonnage is forecast to increase from 4 million in 2015 to 25 million by 2045. This represents a 6.3% compound annual growth rate (CAGR). This growth rate is assumed to continue to 2050, resulting in 28.5 million tons of cargo forecast to be handled at the Port. The number of cargo-related trucks serving the Port on a day in the base year was obtained from CPA. That total, 419 trucks, was the increased proportional to the tonnage increase resulting in 1,592 trucks serving the Port North area by 2050.

The forecast truck demand is assumed to maintain the same hourly distribution observed during the September 2021 traffic counts (specifically, the vehicle classification counts for vehicle types 8-15, which are heavy trucks). The trucks are distributed throughout the study area roadway segments in proportion to the heavy truck counts.

**Table 10** illustrates the Port-related forecast daily volumes by segment. Detailed volume forecasts by hour and vehicle class are included in an Excel workbook titled "*All\_Count\_Locations\_with\_Port\_Trips\_Updated\_Final\_Future.xlsx*" which is a companion to this memorandum. (Additional information about this workbook is found in **Table 13** under the Application of Forecasting Procedures section).



### Non-Port North-Related Future Trips

Within the study area, non-Port North-related trips are those either traversing SR 401 and passing through the security gate or trips passing through on SR 528. The CFRPM v 7.0 was utilized to aid in the forecasting of non-Port North-related travel. Specifically,

- The CFRPM v 7.0 was run for all forecast years, including a select zone assignment of the Port North area (the zone encompasses the entirety of Port North). This facilitated the separation of Port North trips from the non-Port trips to/from the secured area that pass-through the Port on SR 401 to access SR-528. This was done for both auto and truck classes within the CFRPM. The CFRPM assignment results, including the select zone assignment results, are summarized in the worksheet "Model\_Forecasts\_Select\_Daily", which is located in the file "Year\_2015\_2045\_Segment\_Volumes\_By\_Period\_Final..xlsx" and is a companion to this memorandum. (Additional information about this workbook is found in Table 13 under the Application of Forecasting Procedures section). Attachment D provides the CFRPM v. 7.0 model plots and select zone plots.
- 2. The modeled growth in non-Port trips (auto and truck kept separate) are applied to the base year non-port trips using the Furness methods proscribed in the *FDOT Traffic Forecasting Handbook*, and then rebalanced as necessary to preserve volume continuity between adjacent segments.
- 3. The resulting forecast non-Port trips are distributed by time of day using the hourly distributions associated with the 2019 control totals for non-Port trips.

**Table 11** summarizes the non-Port forecast daily volumes (post-processed) by segment. Detailed volume forecasts by hour appear in the file "*Year\_2015\_2045\_Segment\_Volumes\_By\_Period\_Final\_Model\_Volumes\_Postprocessed.xlsx*", which is a companion to this memorandum. (Additional information about this workbook is found in **Table 13** under the Application of Forecasting Procedures section).

Note the forecast daily non-Port two-way volumes on the SR 401 bridge decrease about 7% between the 2019 base year and 2050. The CAGR for non-Port trips on the SR 401 bridge is -0.1% out to 2045, which is the farthest horizon year in the CFRPM. This growth rate is extended to 2050. The socioeconomic data for the zone representing this traffic, which encompasses the restricted area to the north and east of the security gate, was examined. Housing and resident population remains essentially zero over the planning horizon and employment is unchanged. The decrease in volume generated may be attributable to the trip generation balancing procedures internal to the CFRPM, though this is not confirmed.

From the perspective of conducting a conservative analysis it is assumed the base year volume does not decline over time and instead remains constant. On SR 528, the CAGR is 0.5% and is assumed to continue beyond 2045 out to 2050 as well.



#### **Final Forecast Volumes**

The final step is to sum the component Port North and non-Port North future volumes for each scenario. This yields final daily volumes for all segments by vehicle class by hour for each scenario. **Table 12** summarizes the forecast daily volumes by scenario. Detailed volume forecasts by hour (between 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM) and vehicle class for each scenario are included in **Attachment E**. All hours of the day appear in the Excel workbook "Final\_Postprocessed\_Period\_Volumes\_with Port\_Trips\_2030\_2050.xlsx", which is included as a companion to this memorandum. (Additional information about this workbook is found in **Table 13** under the Application of Forecasting Procedures section).

Looking at the two-way SR 401 bridge volumes in Table 12, there is about a 6,200 vehicle per day (vpd) difference in 2030 and an 8,800 vpd difference in 2050 between the 2-ship and 4-ship operating scenarios. Thus, the choice of Port North operating scenario has a measurable impact on the total demand across the SR 401 bridge.

The operating scenario also has an impact on hourly distributions of traffic in the study area and the determination of peak hours. **Figures 7 and 8** illustrate the hourly volume distributions both across the SR 401 bridge and entering the study area on SR 528 for both 2-ship and 4-ship operating scenarios for 2050. On future days when all four Port North berths are active, the cruise passenger demand accounts for a greater share of volume on both SR 401 and SR 528. This will, in effect, "push" the peak hour from a more typical 7:00-8:00 am timeframe to an 11:00 am-to-Noon period. (The typical AM peak period is still stronger than the typical PM peak period (4:00-5:00 pm) on grounds cruise passenger activity subsides later in the afternoon.

**Figure 9** illustrates the average weekday volumes on all the roadway segments for the 2019 base year and the forecast 2030 and 2050 years for the different cruise passenger demand scenarios analyzed. The 2019 base year represents a scenario in which one cruise ship is active at a Port North berth on a weekday. A review of cruise ship operations in March 2019 (provided by the CPA) revealed of the 21 weekdays, nine of the days featured only 1 ship actively using a berth and four days in which two ships were present. The Port North area was without home port cruise ship activity on the remaining eight weekdays that month. **Figures 10 through 12** illustrate the segment volumes for AM, midday and PM peak hours for all the scenarios.

The AM peak hour (**Figure 10**) reveals relatively little growth northbound across the SR 401 bridge between 2030 and 2050 compared to southbound, as well as a markedly different directional split compared to the 2019 base year. This growth differences between the northbound and southbound directions reflect the travel orientation of cruise passengers at that time of day. Passengers are disembarking their ship and leaving the Port; embarking passengers have not yet arrived as boarding time is typically around mid-day at the earliest. The forecast years directional splits differ from the 2019 base year primarily because of the increase from one to four active berths, thereby magnifying the southbound vs. northbound travel imbalance in the AM peak hour.

The mid-day peak hour (**Figure 11**) exhibits significant growth in both 2030 and 2040, compared to 2019. The directional split noticeably changes between the forecast years and the 2019 base year, too. The significant mid-day growth in both directions across the bridge is due to the growth in active berths from one to four (i.e. there are four ships being served in the future rather than one ship in 2019). Cruise passengers arriving the port peaks around mid-day, while passenger activity exiting the port begins to wane, compared to earlier in the morning. As a result, the number of cruise passengers arriving the Port outpaces the number leaving the Port mid-day. This contributes to a higher directional split northbound with each additional berth utilized.

The PM peak hour (**Figure 12**) shows little growth from the 2019 base year. Non-port trips are flat and cruise ship activity has largely subsided. Any growth is primarily cargo-related traffic plus some cruise ship provisioning-related traffic. There are a couple of locations that show a slight drop in volume; these are a result of the rounding and balancing procedures.



Daily	SR 528 Mainline		Northbound Movement Across Bascule Bridge							bound Mov	ement Acro	SR 528 Mainline						
	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bridge	SR528 - Bt	w. Ramps
	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way	EB	WB
Year 2030 - 2Ship	2,172	1,270	2,172	1,270	3,442	111	1,034	2,297	810	2,685	3,495	1,415	2,080	1,415	2,080	6,937	0	0
Year 2030 - 3Ship	3,097	1,962	3,097	1,962	5,059	111	2,651	2,297	810	4,213	5,023	2,128	2,894	2,128	2,894	10,082	0	0
Year 2030 - 4Ship	4,017	2,649	4,017	2,649	6,666	227	2,651	3,787	2,323	4,213	6,536	2,829	3,707	2,829	3,707	13,202	0	0
Year 2050 - 2Ship	3,406	1,872	3,406	1,872	5,278	157	1,441	3,679	1,592	3,759	5,351	2,100	3,251	2,100	3,251	10,629	0	0
Year 2050 - 3Ship	4,705	2,843	4,705	2,843	7,548	157	3,712	3,679	1,592	5,905	7,497	3,101	4,395	3,101	4,395	15,045	0	0
Year 2050 - 4Ship	5,996	3,808	5,996	3,808	9,804	322	3,712	5,770	3,718	5,905	9,622	4,086	5,537	4,086	5,537	19,426	0	0

### Table 10. Forecast Weekday Daily Segment Volumes by Scenario, All Classes (Port Trips Only)

Table 11. Forecast Weekday Daily Segment Volumes by Scenario, All Classes (Non-Port Trips Only)

Daily	SR 528 Mainline		Northbound Movement Across Bascule Bridge							bound Mov	ement Acro	SR 528 I	Vainline					
	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bridge	SR528 - Bt	w. Ramps
	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way	EB	WB
Year 2030	22,882	19,169	2,761	2,064	4,825	228	525	4,072	4,389	1,325	5,714	2,773	2,924	22,895	20,029	10,539	20,121	17,105
Year 2050	25,003	21,024	2,780	1,776	4,556	219	502	3,835	4,238	1,276	5,514	2,564	2,878	24,787	22,126	10,070	22,223	19,248

Note: The number of active berths (ships unloading and loading cruise passengers) do not impact the non-Port trips.

### Table 12. Forecast Weekday Daily Segment Volumes by Scenario, All Classes (Port & Non-Port Trips Combined)

Daily	SR 528 Mainline		Northbound Movement Across Bascule Bridge						South	bound Mov	ement Acro	SR 528 N	Aainline						
	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bridge	1	SR528 - Bt	w. Ramps
	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way	'	EB	WB
Year 2030 - 2 Ship	25,008	20,394	4,933	3,293	8,226	470	1,528	6,228	5,199	3,974	9,173	4,151	5,022	24,226	22,123	17,399	)	20,075	17,101
Year 2030 - 3 Ship	25,929	21,087	5,858	3,986	9,844	360	3,150	6,334	5,199	5,500	10,699	4,863	5,836	24,934	22,937	20,543	8	20,071	17,101
Year 2030 - 4 Ship	26,848	21,770	6,776	4,677	11,453	643	3,150	7,660	6,715	5,500	12,215	5,567	6,648	25,639	23,741	23,668	8	20,072	17,093
Year 2050 - 2 Ship	28,360	22,850	6,181	3,605	9,786	542	1,920	7,324	5,826	5,004	10,830	4,630	6,200	26,809	25,445	20,616	5	22,179	19,245
Year 2050 - 3 Ship	29,657	23,822	7,485	4,580	12,065	397	4,182	7,486	5,826	7,149	12,975	5,630	7,345	27,802	26,587	25,040	)	22,172	19,242
Year 2050 - 4 Ship	30,951	24,788	8,769	5,551	14,320	798	4,182	9,340	7,953	7,149	15,102	6,614	8,488	28,796	27,725	29,422	2	22,182	19,237

Note: Tables 10 and 11 do not sum exactly to Table 12 because of rounding of individual trips at the vehicle class and hourly level of detail, and the need for balancing.















### Figure 9. Weekday Daily Volumes





#### Figure 10. Weekday AM Peak Hour Volumes





#### Figure 11. Weekday Midday Peak Hour Volumes





#### Figure 12. Weekday PM Peak Hour Volumes





### **Application of Forecasting Procedures**

Port Canaveral is a special generator having multiple complex travel markets serving the study area. It would therefore be short-sighted to prepare a single traffic forecast based on one specific condition which may or may not be completely telling of future conditions. Instead, a range of operating assumptions are offered to provide a sensitivity test on various inputs. To facilitate efficient and accurate testing of different scenarios, the forecasting procedures have been automated through a series of three Visual Basic programs (macros) operating in Microsoft Excel. The user may change an input such as future cruise ship passenger capacity and have updated results within about 15 minutes.

The three Excel macros are stored in separate folders with their respective input and output files. The folder names are numbered sequentially to represent the order of execution (i.e. the macro in folder 1 is run, followed by the macro in folder 2 and finally the macro in folder 3). **Table 13** summarizes the folder names along with the input and output files associated with each macro.

Note the Excel workbooks and macros are designed to accommodate forecasts in 5-year increments. However, the current application focuses solely on 2030 and 2050 horizon years. And only the inputs for these two horizon years should be consulted at this time.


Table 13. File Structure for Generating Forecasts

Folder		File (M = macro, I = input, O = output)	Description
	М	Final_Counts_with_Port_Trips_Updated_Future.xlsm	Macro to process the input file and produce future year Port trip information, by segment, by hour and by vehicle class, for every scenario in the input file.
1_Base_Port_Trips_Final	I	All_Count_Locations_with_Port_Trips.xlsx	Includes worksheets that define the future Port North operating scenarios to be tested, input data used to "calibrate" the ground transportation vehicle passenger occupancies and mode shares, garage count parking distributions and 2021 and 2019 control total data by segment, by hour, by vehicle class.
	0	All_Count_Locations_with_Port_Trips_Updated_Final_Future.xlsx	A series of tables for each scenario displaying Port-related volumes by segment, by hour, by vehicle class, plus a scenario summary table of port trips expressed at a daily level for easy comparison among scenarios.
	М	Final_Model_Forecasts_without_Port_Trips_Updated_Future.xlsm	Macro to postprocess the future non-Port trips produced by mode and location.
2_Model_Volumes_Results	I	Year_2015_2045_Segment_Volumes_By_Period_Final.xlsx <sup>1,2</sup>	Contains the CFRPM link volumes for all available forecast years as well as the select zone-based volumes for selected years needed to isolate the non-Port trips represented by the CFRPM. Applies the Furness methods at the vehicle class level for the non-Port trips and rebalances to preserve volume continuity. Non-Port hourly control volumes for 2019 are also present for every segment in the study area. This hourly distribution is used to support the post-processing of non-Port trips at the hourly level.
	0	Year_2015_2045_Segment_Volumes_By_Period_Final_Model_Volumes _Postprocessed.xlsx	Post-processed non-Port trips by forecast year by segment by hour by vehicle class, including a summary table.
	М	Final_Postprocessed_Volumes_with_Port_Trips_Updated_Future.xlsm	Macro to combine the Port trip segment volumes with the post-processed non- Port trip segment volumes for all future scenarios, by vehicle class and by hour.
3 Final Postprocessed Volumes	I	All_Count_Locations_with_Port_Trips_Updated_Final_Future.xlsx	Contains the detailed summary segment volume tables by vehicle class and hour for each scenario. Port trips only.
	I	Year_2015_2045_Segment_Volumes_By_Period_Final_Model_Volumes _Postprocessed.xlsx	Contains the detailed summary segment (post-processed) volume tables by vehicle class and hour for each scenario. Non-Port trips only.
	0	Final_Postprocessed_Volumes_with Port_Trips_All_Years.xlsx	Contains the detailed summary segment volume tables by vehicle class and hour for the different scenarios. Port and non-Port trips are combined.
	0	Final_Postprocessed_Period_Volumes_with Port_Trips_2030_2050.xlsx	Like the above output file, except the hours shown are limited to 6AM-6PM.
	0	Final_Postprocessed_Rounded_Volumes_with Port_Trips_2030_2050.xlsx	Identical to "Final_Postprocessed_Volumes_with Port_Trips_All_Years.xlsx", except output is for 2030 and 2050 only and all volumes are rounded.
	0	Final_Postprocessed_Rounded_Hour_Volumes_with Port_Trips_ 2030_2050.xlsx	Identical to "Final_Postprocessed_Period_Volumes_with Port_Trips_2030_2050.xlsx", except all volumes are rounded.

<sup>1</sup>Prior to running macro, user must copy "Existing\_Non\_Port\_Trips" tab from the <u>output</u> file in folder "1" to the "Control\_Totals\_woPort\_Trips" tab in this workbook. <sup>2</sup>Prior to running macro, user must copy "Controls\_Totals" tab from the <u>input</u> file in folder "1" to the "Control\_Totals\_All\_Trips" tab in this workbook.





#### **Comparison with Initial Forecasts**

The initial forecasts were generated using relatively little ground data and Port activity forecasts generated at the annual level that required a top-down approach to developing weekday daily and peak hour volumes. By contrast, the revised forecasts presented here are much more data-driven—utilizing 2021-collected traffic counts and CPA-provided Port-related demand data for representative days in both 2019 and 2021. This facilitated a bottom-up approach to forecasting cruise passenger demand. Furthermore, the revised forecasts provide some sensitivity by testing different Port North cruise ship operating scenarios. This facilitates producing a range of forecasts depending upon the scenario. The initial method is insensitive to different operating configurations.

Despite these differences, the two methods are remarkably similar. **Table 14** summarizes the two-way SR 401 bridge volumes for 2030 and 2050 produced by the two forecasting methods. It shows the initial forecast is within the range produced by the revised method for 2030, and slightly higher than the range produced for 2050. One contributing factor could be the forecast annual cruise passenger information published by the *Port Canaveral Strategic Vision Plan* is based on achieving cruise ship passenger capacities and berth occupancies like what was assumed in the revised methodology. While reasonably close to one another, the revised forecasts are recommended for two primary reasons: (1) higher quality data specific to the study area, which is more defensible, and (2) the flexibility to adjust the forecasts by varying one or more inputs as a further gauge on its validity.

Forecasting Method	2030	2050
Initial	21,800	30,600
Revised <sup>1</sup>	17,700 – 24,000	21,000 – 30,000

#### Table 14. Initial and Revised Forecast Comparison at SR 401 Bridge, Weekday Two-Way

<sup>1</sup>The lower end of the range shown represents a two active berth scenario while the upper end represents all four berths active.

Although the initial forecasts produced weekend volumes in addition to weekday, no judgment is rendered on whether the revised method would yield weekend volumes comparable with the initial method if so tested. As noted at the outset, in proceeding with the revised forecasting method, it was agreed upon to drop the forecasting of weekend volumes in recognition of project resource limitations.

As for the question of which of the scenarios under the revised forecasting methodology should serve as the basis for traffic operations and design, the answer depends in part on defining the design day. This would entail estimating the frequency distribution of two, three and four active berth weekdays over the course of the future year; not a straightforward task. Alternatively, the traffic operations' impacts of the four-active berth scenario could be analyzed first. If the impacts prove negligible, defining the design day becomes moot.

#### **Final Recommendations and Conclusions**

The following recommendations are made concerning the forecasts:

- 1. The revised forecasts should be used in lieu of the initial forecasts. The revised forecasts make greater use of observed data (current and past) within the study area and are more sensitive to inputs affecting vehicular demand.
- 2. The four active-berth scenario should be used for 2050 and 2030 too. Future cruise ship operations are difficult to forecast, the effects of the pandemic-recovery notwithstanding. Providing for all four Port North berths to be active in the future facilitates a conservative analysis with respect to analysis of the bridge alternatives.
- 3. The midday peak period (11:00 am Noon) warrants analysis. Given the growth in cruise passenger demand in Port North, this period will by 2050 supplant the traditional AM peak as the highest one-hour volume at the SR 401 bridge. Any queuing analysis resulting from raising of the SR 401 bridge to accommodate vessel traffic should



consider the period of peak volume on the SR 401 bridge, which is forecast to be midday by 2050. It is recommended the two critical peak hours for analysis be 7:00-8:00 am and 11:00 am – Noon.

Based on these recommendations, the final weekday daily and peak hour volumes and truck percentages for the 2019 base year and 2030 and 2050 horizon years are illustrated on **Figures 13 through 16**. **Table 15** reports the compound annual growth rates (CAGR) at the SR 401 bridge and on SR 528 on each side of the SR 401 interchange. The CAGR are higher on the SR 401 bridge than on SR 528 because the base year volumes across the SR 401 bridge are lower than on SR 528 and so the forecast Port North trips across the bridge has a greater impact on the growth calculation, and because that growth is spread between either side of SR 528 at the SR 401 bridge reflects the relatively sudden jump to a four-active berth weekday scenario by 2030 and with it, the sudden increase in cruise passengers. The growth in Port North-based trips between 2030 and 2050 results from additional cruise passengers by virtue of larger ships and an increase in cargo truck traffic. But these increases are not as impactful viewed over the additional twenty years.

**Table 16** summarizes the truck percentages at the same locations reported in **Table 15**. The proportions of daily trucks in the traffic stream are generally greatest across the SR 401 bridge. This is because a great number of trucks serve the Port North cargo area and therefore must cross the SR 401 bridge. These trucks must also traverse SR 528, but there exists a greater amount of non-truck traffic on this facility, resulting in lower truck percentages. Truck shares are generally forecast to increase over the planning horizon for all time periods except the midday. Truck volumes increase over all periods; it is just the significant increase in cruise passenger activity is most felt during the midday and it outpaces the truck increase during this period, thereby resulting in lower truck shares from 2019 to 2030 midday. Truck shares increase from 2030 to 2050 as the increased cargo activity outpaces the incremental growth in cruise passenger ground transportation movement due to having larger ships available.



#### Figure 13. Recommended Weekday Daily Volumes





#### Figure 14. Recommended AM Peak Hour Volumes





#### Figure 15. Recommended Midday Peak Hour Volumes





#### Figure 16. Recommended PM Peak Hour Volumes





Table 15. Compoun	d Annual Growth Rates in	n Weekday Volume	(with Respect to 2019 Base	e Year)
-------------------	--------------------------	------------------	----------------------------	---------

Cooperio	SR 401 Bridge		SR 528 Wes	st of SR 401	SR 528 East of SR 401		
Scenario	NB	SB	EB	WB	EB	WB	
2030 - 4 Ship	5.2%	5.8%	1.6%	1.7%	1.3%	1.5%	
2050 - 4 Ship	2.5%	2.7%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	0.9%	

### Table 16. Percentage of Total Volume that is Trucks, by Time Period

Time Period and	SR 401	Bridge	SR 528 Wes	st of SR 401	SR 528 East of SR 401			
Scenario	NB	SB	EB	WB	EB	WB		
Daily				•	•	•		
2019 Base Year	11.7%	11.4%	9.4%	9.8%	7.9%	8.1%		
2030 - 4 Ship	14.6%	14.5%	10.8%	11.9%	7.9%	8.4%		
2050 - 4 Ship	19.3%	19.0%	12.7%	13.9%	8.5%	9.2%		
AM Pk Hr (7-8 AM)								
2019 Base Year	6.4%	19.7%	8.1%	11.7%	8.2%	8.2%		
2030 - 4 Ship	13.7%	7.9%	10.9%	11.4%	7.8%	10.4%		
2050 - 4 Ship	20.6%	9.5%	12.9%	11.8%	7.9%	11.5%		
Midday Pk Hr (11 AM -	Noon)							
2019 Base Year	12.5%	17.7%	13.1%	13.9%	11.6%	10.1%		
2030 - 4 Ship	7.7%	18.3%	9.7%	15.4%	9.4%	7.7%		
2050 - 4 Ship	9.3%	22.2%	10.8%	17.8%	10.0%	8.0%		
PM Pk Hr (4-5 PM)	PM Pk Hr (4-5 PM)							
2019 Base Year	15.4%	2.1%	8.9%	6.4%	4.9%	7.4%		
2030 - 4 Ship	36.4%	5.7%	9.3%	7.7%	5.0%	7.6%		
2050 - 4 Ship	48.0%	8.7%	10.6%	8.9%	5.8%	8.0%		

Attachment A

Initial Forecast Memorandum

(July 31, 2021)



Date: July 31, 2021

To: Mary McGehee, AICP, FDOT Project Manager

From: Greg Gaides and Sathya Thyagaraj

Copies To: Odalys Delgado, Parsons Project Manager, Jason Learned, D5 Model Coordinator

Subject: SR 401 Bridge Replacement PD&E Study: Preliminary Traffic Forecasts (Draft)

#### Introduction

This memorandum provides an initial set of traffic forecasts in support of the evaluation of the three existing bascule bridges over the Canaveral Barge Canal for either retrofit improvements or replacement. Together, these bridges provide the only roadway connection between Port Canaveral (and Cape Canaveral Air Force Station) and the mainland. The proposed project has an estimated opening year of 2030 and a 2050 design year. Daily and peak hour traffic forecasts are prepared for both average weekday and weekend conditions given the high level of weekend activity associated with the cruise lines serving the Port.

These are <u>initial</u> forecasts and subject to modification as more information becomes available. The overall forecasting approach and methodology behind the forecasts are presented, including assumptions made so these may be re-examined as the study progresses.

#### Study Area

Figure 1 illustrates the study area over which traffic forecasts are prepared. The study area encompasses SR 401 between Charles Rowland Drive and SR 528, and SR 528 between Banana River Drive and George King Boulevard. This includes all ramp movements at SR 401/SR 528 as well as the westbound off- and eastbound on-ramp at Banana River Drive and eastbound off- and westbound on-ramp at George King Boulevard.



#### Figure 1. Study Area



#### **Forecast Volume Specifications**

Study area volumes are forecast for the project opening year, assumed to be 2030, and design year (2050). The Central Florida Regional Planning Model (CFRPM) is a primary source for forecast weekday volumes. It has a 2015 base year and planning horizons in five-year increments out to 2045. The 2030 project opening year forecast is rooted in the CFRPM 2030 forecast. The 2050 design year forecast volumes are prepared by trendline analysis of the CFRPM post-processed forecast volumes between its 2015 base year and 2045.

The significant cruise ship activity serving the Port, particularly on weekends, necessitates considering both weekday and weekend conditions in the forecast volume development. Furthermore, the nature of the cruise ship operations— passengers alighting the ships in the early morning and replaced by a nearly equal number of new passengers arriving in the late morning/early afternoon period—suggest two distinct directional peaks. Both weekday and weekend peak hours consider peak direction activity. For weekdays, the CFRPM drives the peak hour determination. On weekends, cruise ship activity is the primary driver of peak hour determination.

Weekday and weekend peak hour volumes are determined based on whether the trip serves the Port. For trips not serving the Port, the peak hour by direction is based on 2018-2019 data at the continuous count station located on SR 528 just east of US Highway 1. The count station data reveal the following peak hour shares (of daily directional traffic)

- Weekday peak hour eastbound 9.1%
- Weekday peak hour westbound 10.3%
- Weekend peak hour eastbound 9.5%
- Weekend peak hour westbound 7.5%

For trips serving the Port, both the weekday and weekend peak hour shares of the daily trips to the Port is assumed to be larger than a typical peak hour as most of the daily trips happen within a smaller two- or three-hour window. For automobiles, the peak hour share is assumed to be 45% of the daily auto traffic. The peak hour truck share is assumed to be 15% of the daily truck volume.

Figure 2 illustrates the CFRPM roadway network depiction (blue lines) overlayed on Google Maps. The mainline and ramp segments for which forecast volumes are prepared are numbered in red and further described in Table 1 below. The red numbers serve as link identifiers and are referenced throughout this document.







Note: the numbered segments are discontinuous	(i.e. there are no segments numbered 7	through 10, 15 or 18 thr	ough 20 shown on the figure).

Link ID	Segment Name	Direction	Orientation Toward North Side Port
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In

#### Table 1. Forecast Roadway and Ramp Segments

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

### PARSONS

#### **General Forecasting Approach**

There are four distinct travel markets utilizing the bascule bridges. Parsons' approach to forecasting traffic patterns across the SR 401 bridge involves analyzing each market separately to reflect the different weekday vs. weekend activity as well as differences in peak hours, and then combining the individual market forecasts. The following markets are identified:

- **Cruise Ships** this market encompasses the cruise ship passenger operations including the passengers and their means of transportation to/from the cruise ship terminals, truck movements servicing the ships and terminal support employment. While the CFRPM does account for the Port Canaveral area as a special generator, the trip ends generated are not consistent with the Port Master Plan and do not directly account for truck movements. A more data-driven approach is used to estimate trip-ends associated with this market segment.
- **Port Employment** This market represents employees who travel to/from the north side Port area to begin/end their workday and are captured by the CFRPM. It does not include cruise ship terminal support personnel or truck drivers engaging in drayage operations at any of the cargo-related facilities. These are accounted for under the cruise passengers and cargo operations markets, respectively.
- **Cargo Operations** In 2019, there were more than 3,000 truck movements per week (on average) across the bascule bridges (each way) associated with the various cargo operations at the Port. Weekly truck movements by operator were provided by the Canaveral Port Authority and this activity was allocated to weekdays and weekends based on each company's operating hours. Horizon year truck activity is tied in part to the forecast growth in cargo tonnage represented by the Port Authority's Master Plan.
- Other Access to the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station area is achieved via the SR 401 bascule bridges and require security clearance to enter the restricted area. No information about existing or future activity is readily available or verifiable. Weekday demand activity is sourced directly from the CFRPM. A review of available retail operations supporting personnel working in the restricted area indicate they are open weekdays only. Still, a nominal amount of activity to/from this area is assumed on the weekend.

Volume development is data driven to the extent possible, utilizing existing historical (2016-2020) FDOT weekday daily traffic count data to facilitate post-processing of CFRPM-produced volumes, a continuous traffic count station on SR 528 east of US Highway 1 to facilitate weekend-to-weekday ratios and time of day factors, ground transportation and parking data obtained from the Canaveral Port Authority, and Master Plan documents pertaining to future Port operations. Some assumptions are required to fill-in the data gaps; these are presented in the Initial Forecast Volumes section below.

The trips generated by the four travel market segments are distributed through the study area according to the distribution procedures contained within the CFRPM. The CFRPM's distribution of Port trips is largely controlled by the spatial orientation and magnitude of households and hotel rooms in the region. Resident-based Port Canaveral trips are distributed proportional to regional households whereas the visitor-based trips are allocated according to hotel rooms in the region.

A "select zone" assignment was performed for the model's base and horizon years. This procedure traces traffic volumes to and from the zone (in this case, the north side Port area) through the study area's roadway system, allowing the calculation of shares of north side Port trips arriving and departing by direction on the 16 links identified in Figure 2 and Table 1. These trips are therefore easily identifiable and are removed from the model-based volume forecasts for the 16 critical segments to create a temporary baseline without Port-based trips. The data-driven estimates of autos and trucks serving the Port are then added to the temporary baseline to yield the forecast volumes for each of the 16 identified links using the distributions produced by the "select zone" assignment.

The forecasts are prepared for autos and trucks separately, and then combined to provide "total" volume. The daily and peak hour forecast volumes presented in the body of this memo represent combined volumes (autos plus trucks). Appendix A provides additional tables which breakdown the combined daily volumes into autos and trucks separately, and Appendix B provides the breakdown of peak hour volumes. Post-processing of model-based volumes follows the procedures outlined in Chapter 3 of the *Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook*.

#### Initial Forecast Volumes and Associated Key Assumptions

Table 2 presents the 2030 opening year forecast weekday and weekend daily volumes in the study area for all vehicles combined while Table 3 provides similar information for the 2050 design year. Forecast weekday daily volumes on the SR 401 bridge (highlighted in tan in the tables) are around 78% higher compared to weekends in 2030 and about 50% higher in 2050. Forecast daily volumes on SR 528 are about 17% higher on weekdays than weekends in both 2030 and 2050.

Table 4 presents the 2030 opening year forecast weekday and weekend peak hour volumes in the study area for all vehicles combined while Table 5 provides similar information for the 2050 design year. Forecast weekday peak hour volumes on the SR 401 bridge are about 9% higher compared to weekends in 2030. In 2050, the SR 401 weekday peak hour bridge volumes are about 2% lower than weekends and southbound is about 5% higher on weekdays compared to weekends. Peak hour volumes on SR 528 are 20% higher on weekdays than weekends in 2030 and 14% higher in 2050.



Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	2030 Weekday Daily	2030 Weekend Daily
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) - 8D	SB	Out	7,257	3,508
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	6,269	3,266
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	4.585	2,074
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	3,885	2,147
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	10,157	5,413
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	11,646	5,562
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	3,488	2,818
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	3,231	2,621
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	44,722	37,658
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	43,737	36,866
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	39,235	33,350
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	36,446	30,979
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	43,825	37,319
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	40,337	34,400
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	6,290	5,334
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	6,253	5,301

Table 2. Forecast 2030 Weekday and Weekend Daily Volumes

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

#### Table 3. Forecast 2050 Weekday and Weekend Daily Volumes

Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	2050 Weekday Daily	2050 Weekend Daily
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) - 8D	SB	Out	10,727	5,678
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	9,491	5,372
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	5,600	2,872
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	4,911	2,986
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	14,436	8,361
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	16,147	8,532
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	3,484	2,799
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	3,360	2,681
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	51,363	42,619
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	50,516	41,891
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	42,386	36,028
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	39,550	33,617
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	48,003	40,869
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	44,491	37,877
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	7,342	6,154
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	7,305	6,123

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.



Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	2030 Weekday Peak Hour	2030 Weekend Peak Hour	
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	1,672	1,376	
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	1,497	1,304	
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	1,000	807	
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	952	874	
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	2,449	2,178	
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	2,652	2,182	
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	349	290	
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	384	251	
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	4,982	4,555	
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	5,433	3,892	
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	4,045	2,515	
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	3,757	2,336	
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	5,046	3,465	
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	4,256	3,924	
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	671	430	
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	589	524	

Table 4. Forecast 2030 Weekday and Weekend Peak Hour Volumes

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

#### Table 5. Forecast 2050 Weekday and Weekend Peak Hour Volumes

Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	2050 Weekday Peak Hour	2050 Weekend Peak Hour
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	2,678	2,284
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	2,462	2,191
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	1,340	1,155
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	1,309	1,241
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	3,774	3,432
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	3,999	3,438
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	360	301
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	425	286
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	6,258	5,713
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	6,780	5.015
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	4,370	2,717
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	4,077	2,535
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	5,711	4,020
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	4,896	4,539
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	792	501
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	697	610

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

The following key assumptions are made in support of the forecast volume development:

- FDOT weekday daily counts for all 16 segment locations (2016-2020) are compiled, noting some count locations are derived from upstream and downstream counts. Truck percentages were assumed to be between 4.4% and 4.6% of the daily volumes, depending on year. The CFRPM-based forecast volumes are post-processed using these FDOT weekday daily counts, following the procedures outlined in Chapter 3 of the *Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook*. Weekend daily counts are not as readily available. Both 2018 and 2019 data at a continuous count station on SR 528 just east of US Highway 1 were reviewed and it was found the weekend volumes are 85% of the weekday volumes. Post-processed weekend volumes for the 16 segment locations were established at 85% of the post-processed weekday volumes.
- The calculation of vehicles associated with the cruise ship operations is based on annual passenger forecasts from the Canaveral Port Authority, converted to weekday and weekend. Key data and assumptions include:
  - 4,000,000 cruise ship passengers in 2015, rising to 8,600,000 passengers in 2045, per the Port Authority.
  - The number of port terminals on the north side will increase from four to five during this timeframe.
  - The capacities of the cruise ships are also increasing, from 4,000 passengers currently to over 6,000 passengers by 2045. The number of terminal-based service personnel (i.e., not employees based on the ships) needed to process passengers is assumed to be 200 per terminal currently and gradually increasing to 300 per terminal to service the larger ships.
  - The number of parking spaces on the north side of the Port are 6,004. The number of vehicles utilizing these spaces come directly from the transponder data provided by the Port. Additional parking is available off-site with shuttle buses transporting cruise ship passengers to/from the terminals. Transponder data provided by the Port Authority reveal demand from private auto and ground transportation modes separately. Separate average vehicle occupancies were applied to the two modes to convert vehicle trips to person trips matching the annual cruise ship passenger forecasts stated in the Port Master Plan.
  - The portion of annual cruise passenger demand (provided by the Port) allocated to the north side of the Port is based on the CFRPM zonal allocations of cruise trips. The zone representing the north side of the Port accounts for almost two-thirds of all cruise passenger demand at the Port, according to the CRFPM.
  - The weekday and weekend daily cruise passengers are converted to auto and bus person trips by applying mode shares, and the person trips are then converted to vehicle and bus trips by applying vehicle occupancy factors.
  - The trucks serving the Terminal primarily to service the cruise ships are assumed to be 40 per terminal for both existing conditions and the horizon years.
- Truck trips pertaining to the cargo operations was provided by the Port Authority, based on their transponder data. There are 640 truck trips in and out of the Port per week for 2019. This was adjusted to 553 trips per week to represent base year 2015 conditions. The adjustment factor was derived from the passenger growth between 2015 & 2019. The cargo tonnage handled by the port in 2015 was 4.185 million tons and is forecast to increase to 25 million tons by 2045. Truck trips are assumed to increase proportional to the overall tonnage increase.

#### **Future Input Data Refinements**

The forecasts prepared are dependent on many assumptions, noted above, and some more significant than others. The most critical assumptions driving the forecasts for which data are sparse include:

- 1. A lack of weekend count data throughout the study area, thereby relying on the SR 528 continuous count station information (indicating weekend counts are 85% of weekday counts) to uniformly apply to all segments in the study area, particularly those on SR 401. Supplemental tube counts (7-days) or big data sources could be utilized to shore up the gap in weekend count data.
- 2. Future year truck trips tied to cargo operations at the Port. It was assumed the number of cargo truck trips would increase proportional to the forecast cargo tonnage increase from 2015 to 2045.

Appendix A

Forecast Auto and Truck Volumes

2030 and 2050 Daily Weekday and Weekend



Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	4,776	2,481
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	3,965	2,304
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	3,676	909
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	3,054	831
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	6,976	3,181
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	8,207	3,438
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	3,123	365
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	2,875	356
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	40,530	4,192
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	39,511	4,226
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	37,817	1,418
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	35,107	1,338
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	41,469	2,355
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	38,113	2,224
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	6,003	287
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	5,954	299

Table A-1. Forecast 2030 Weekday Daily Auto and Truck Volumes

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

#### Table A-2. Forecast 2030 Weekend Daily Auto and Truck Volumes

Link			Orientation Toward	• •	<b>-</b> .
ID	Segment Name	Dir.	North Side Port	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	3,145	363
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	2,921	345
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	1,940	134
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	2,021	126
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	4,938	475
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	5,061	501
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	2,672	146
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	2,483	138
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	35,246	2,412
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	34,425	2,441
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	32,144	1,205
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	29,841	1,138
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	35,745	1,574
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	32,937	1,463
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	5,123	211
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	5,079	221

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.



Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	6,433	4,294
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	5,462	4,029
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	4,416	1,184
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	3,712	1,200
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	9,158	5,277
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	10,614	5,533
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	3,095	389
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	2,909	451
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	45,254	6,110
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	44,271	6,245
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	40,788	1,598
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	38,016	1,534
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	45,188	2,815
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	41,714	2,777
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	6,910	432
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	6,858	448

#### Table A-3. Forecast 2050 Weekday Daily Auto and Truck Volumes

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

#### Table A-4. Forecast 2050 Weekend Daily Auto and Truck Volumes

Link			Orientation Toward	• • •	To all a
טו	Segment Name	Dir.	North Side Port	Autos	Irucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	5,091	587
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	4,816	556
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	2,713	159
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	2,821	165
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	7,636	725
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	7,781	751
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	2,658	141
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	2,534	146
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	39,811	2,808
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	39,032	2,859
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	34,670	1,358
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	32,314	1,304
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	39,126	1,743
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	36,229	1,648
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	5,901	253
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	5,853	269

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.



### Appendix B

### Forecast Auto and Truck Volumes

## 2030 and 2050 Peak Hour Weekday and Weekend



Link			Orientation Toward		
ID	Segment Name	Dir.	North Side Port	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	1,337	335
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	1,188	309
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	877	123
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	840	113
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	2,024	426
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	2,189	463
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	301	47
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	336	48
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	4,502	480
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	4,918	515
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	3,899	146
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	3,619	138
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	4,773	272
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	4,017	239
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	640	32
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	559	30

Table B-1. Forecast 2030 Weekday Peak Hour Auto and Truck Volumes

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

#### Table B-2. Forecast 2030 Weekend Peak Hour Auto and Truck Volumes

Link	Segment Name	Dir	Orientation Toward	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	1,328	49
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	1,255	48
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	789	18
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	857	18
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	2,112	67
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	2,115	67
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	274	16
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	238	13
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	4,312	244
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	3,687	205
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	2,424	91
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	2,250	86
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	3,338	127
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	3,779	144
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	413	17
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	503	21

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.



Link			Orientation Toward		
ID	Segment Name	Dir.	North Side Port	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	2,072	606
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	1,896	566
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	1,175	165
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	1,140	169
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	3,035	739
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	3,223	777
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	309	51
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	362	62
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	5,503	755
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	5,973	807
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	4,205	165
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	3,919	158
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	5,378	333
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	4,585	312
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	739	52
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	647	50

Table B-3. Forecast 2050 Weekday Peak Hour Auto and Truck Volumes

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.

#### Table B-4. Forecast 2050 Weekend Peak Hour Auto and Truck Volumes

Link ID	Segment Name	Dir.	Orientation Toward North Side Port	Autos	Trucks
1	SB to WB Off-Ramp (Outer Left Span) – 8D	SB	Out	2,202	82
2	EB to NB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8A	NB	In	2,112	80
3	SB to EB On-Ramp (Middle Span) – 8B	SB	Out	1,133	22
4	WB to NB On-Ramp (Outer Right Span) – 8C	NB	In	1,217	24
5	North of Bascule Bridge	NB	In	3,329	104
6	North of Bascule Bridge	SB	Out	3,334	104
11	EB SR 528 On-Ramp from Banana River	EB	In	285	15
12	WB SR 528 Off-Ramp to Banana River	WB	Out	272	15
13	EB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	EB	In	5,420	293
14	WB SR 528 between Banana River and SR 401	WB	Out	4,762	253
16	EB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	EB	Out	2,614	102
17	WB SR 528 between SR 401 Ramps	WB	Out	2,437	98
21	EB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	EB	Out	3,879	141
22	WB Hwy A1A between SR 401 and George King Boulevard	WB	In	4,376	164
23	EB Hwy A1A Off-Ramp to George King Boulevard	EB	Out	480	21
24	WB Hwy A1A On-Ramp from George King Boulevard	WB	In	584	27

Note: Link ID corresponds to red numbered segments in Figure 2.



Attachment B

Raw Traffic Count Data

#### SR 401 Bridge Replacement Project

					Peak Ho	ur Factor			
	Peak	9/22	/2021	9/23,	/2021	9/24	/2021	Ave	rage
Location	Hour	EB/NB	WB/SB	EB/NB	WB/SB	EB/NB	WB/SB	EB/NB	WB/SB
(1) SR 528 west of SR 401	7:00	0.88	0.94	0.85	0.76	0.85	0.87	0.86	0.85
	11:00	0.84	0.93	0.94	0.96	0.95	0.89	0.91	0.93
(2) SR 528 to SR 401 NB Ramp	7:00	0.92		0.92		0.83		0.89	
	11:00	0.96		0.88		0.89		0.91	
(3) SR 401 SB to SR 528 EB Ramp	7:00		0.79		0.60		0.70		0.70
	11:00		0.91		0.79		0.88		0.86
(4) SR 528, East of SR 401	7:00	0.75	0.96	0.75	0.91	0.77	0.79	0.76	0.89
	11:00	0.91	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.88	0.93	0.91
(5) SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Ramp	7:00	0.94		0.81		0.93		0.89	
	11:00	0.72		0.87		0.78		0.79	
(6.1) Charles Rowland Dr NB (Single Lane)	7:00	0.63		0.70		0.38		0.57	
	11:00	0.38		0.61		0.50		0.50	
(6.2) Charles Rowland NB (X2 lane In & out)	7:00	0.50		0.65		0.63		0.59	
	11:00	0.47		0.81		0.81		0.70	
(7) Charles Rowland Dr SB (Ramp)	7:00		0.45		0.36		0.73		0.51
	11:00		0.73		0.94		0.83		0.83
(8) SR 401, North of Charles Rowland	7:00	0.96	0.85	0.93	0.92	0.82	0.80	0.90	0.86
	11:00	0.81	0.95	0.89	0.93	0.82	0.92	0.84	0.93
(9) SR 401 SB to SR 528 WB	7:00		0.61		0.47		0.72		0.60
	11:00		0.91		0.95		0.97		0.94

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Reference: 00000000001 File: 401.prn Site ID: 00000000001 Citv: Location: SR 528, West of SR 401 County: Direction: EAST Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ ----- 

DAY TOTAL
19
5024
4149
101
311
0
0
732
1
0
0
2
5
0
0
10344

PERCENTS
0.2%
48.6%
40.2%
1.0%
3.0%
0.0%
7.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
 Passenger Vehicles 88.8% Trucks & Buses 11.1% 11:15 07:15 AM Times 06:15 07:30 07:00 07:15 09:30 07:00 07:00 AM Peaks 8 318 373 13 38 78 1 3 781 PM Times18:1519:0019:0016:4513:1512:3012:15PM Peaks34322861429501 16:45 16:45 19:00 1 1 742

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528, : EAST	0000000 0001 West o	00001 of SR 4	401		File: 401.prn City: County:										
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 17 17 20 41 102 249 339 264 264 279 299 261 252 303 316 339 322 196 125 132 92 54	26 17 17 9 29 162 330 349 325 256 257 255 269 270 205 213 206 207 193 136 78 73 55 41	1 0 0 1 3 8 8 7 9 5 6 3 7 5 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 21 29 38 53 40 40 27 26 27 32 12 57 6 3 2 1 1 1			8 7 13 15 43 65 70 53 55 49 42 53 36 21 24 15 20 9 15 8 9 5	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			$\begin{array}{c} 67\\ 43\\ 47\\ 44\\ 87\\ 330\\ 678\\ 805\\ 771\\ 620\\ 626\\ 615\\ 532\\ 554\\ 557\\ 541\\ 345\\ 220\\ 214\\ 157\\ 101 \end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	7 0.1% Vehicles	4644 47.5% s 88.	3978 40.7% .1%	70 0.7%	384 3.9%	0.0%	0 0.0%	703 7.1% Trucks	4 0.0% & Bus	0 0.0% es 1	0.0% 1.8%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9791 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	05:45 ( 3	07:30 ( 362	06:45 ( 400	08:30 C 10	8:30 54		C	06:30 0 75	6:30 1			0	9:45 1		C	)6:45 837
PM Times PM Peaks	12:45 1 1	17:30 1 347	13:00 1 278	L3:45 1 8	3:45 41		1	.3:00 1 55	2:15 1						1	2:30 653

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : EAST	0000000 0001 West (	00001 of SR 4	401		File: 401.prn City: County:										
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 2 2 1 0 0 0 2 0 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 0 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38 32 33 31 39 123 219 315 342 260 246 332 325 298 284 286 291 319 309 189 118 86 69 40	28 21 14 27 38 149 308 331 299 248 252 282 298 251 227 216 202 154 101 73 55 39	0 0 0 1 1 9 18 7 7 11 6 9 6 3 1 0 4 1 1 2 0 2 0	2 1 0 15 37 32 38 43 40 32 21 21 10 13 15 13 3 4 1 1		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 9 11 13 15 38 70 54 64 66 52 70 50 52 36 30 28 26 22 10 16 5 4 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			$\begin{array}{c} 79\\ 63\\ 58\\ 96\\ 327\\ 645\\ 753\\ 625\\ 630\\ 699\\ 5550\\ 583\\ 547\\ 359\\ 242\\ 169\\ 138\\ 86\end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	20 0.3% Vehicle	4624 46.3% s 87	4110 41.2% .6%	89 0.9%	381 3.8%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	758 7.5% Trucks	1 0.0% & Bus	1 0.0% es 1	3 0.0% 2.3%	1 0.0%	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9991 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	07:00 3	08:00 ( 354	06:45 ( 369	07:00 1 19	10:00 46	0	6:45 0 1	6:15 0 70	8:45 1	0	6:45 0 1	8:15 0 1	7:15 1		C	06:45 783
PM Times PM Peaks	13:15 3	12:30 346	12:45 300	12:30 1 13	12:15 32		1	3:00 52	1	2:15 1 1	2:30 1	1	6:15 1		1	2:30 720

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : EAST	0000000 0001 West c	00001 of SR 4	101		File: 401.prn City: County:										
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 11 3 14 27 75 253 227 200 190 220 198 187 176 192 205 247 309 413 272 119 49 25	4 3 0 1 25 114 149 129 129 62 70 77 93 62 75 5 85 93 91 95 67 21 14 4 3	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 0 1 0 5 0 1 0 5 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 0 3 3 5 3 4 3 1 1 3 0 3 1 1 0 0 2			0 0 0 0 3 5 9 6 11 9 10 8 9 5 3 1 0 0 2 0 0 2 1		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						13 15 3 15 56 198 416 370 308 265 306 287 295 244 274 291 344 405 512 342 141 65 31
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	8 0.2% Vehicle	3624 69.8% s 97.	1422 27.4% 2%	15 0.3%	38 0.7%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	84 1.6% Trucks	1 0.0% & Bus	3 0.0% es 2	0.0% .7%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5199 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:15 2	07:30 ( 274	)7:00 ( 156	)9:15 0 3	8:00 0 6	8:00 0 1	8:15 C 1	9:15 11	0	6:15 1	0	6:30 0 1	06:30 1		C	)7:30 437
PM Times PM Peaks	12:15 1	19:00 1 414	17:30 1 102	.2:45 1 5	2:15 3		1	.3:00 1 10	8:30 1 1	7:45 1					1	.9:00 519

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : EAST	0000000 0001 West o	00001 of SR 4	401		File: 401.prn City: County:										
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 9 5 2 10 33 89 225 218 190 180 180 210 180 210 180 189 191 247 221 203 112 80 67 34	5 3 1 0 2 26 101 140 130 90 93 78 66 78 67 78 67 42 19 13 10 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 14 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 4 10 11 2 10 7 9 6 3 3 2 0 2 1 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							22 12 6 2 12 68 199 382 376 295 298 280 293 270 254 342 299 274 155 100 81 46 22
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	6 0.2% Vehicle	2909 66.8% s 95	1260 29.0% .8%	7 0.2%	94 2.1%	2 0.0%	2 0.0%	76 1.7% Trucks	2 0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 4	0.0% .1%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	4358 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	05:45 2	08:00 ( 244	07:00 ( 148	06:30 1 1	LO:00 ( 18	)7:30 0 2	)7:15 1 1	10:30 0	5:30 1						(	)7:45 398
PM Times PM Peaks	15:45 2	16:15 2 247	16:15 1 86	14:15 1 1	L2:30 8	1	.7:45 1 1	12:15 9							1	L6:15 342

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : EAST	0000000 00001 West	00001 of SR 4	401			File: 401.prn City: County:										
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total	
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 2 0 3 5 26 112 141 138 91 86 106 78 113 83 98 81 83 98 81 84 46 38 20 11 13	0 0 0 1 1 0 2 4 1 2 0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 111 11 14 9 7 0 5 0 3 1 0 0 5 0 3 1 0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2 0 0 0 6 7 6 9 6 10 10 5 1 0 1 3 0 0 1 1 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			17 18 6 9 15 71 225 378 418 303 282 312 324 312 253 305 341 421 295 192 145 84 75 56	
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	3 0.1% Vehicle	3231 66.6% s 96	1445 29.8% .3%	15 0.3%	85 1.7%	3 0.0%	1 0.0%	68 1.4% Trucks	0 0.0% & Bus	0.0% 0.0% ses 3	5 0.1% .6%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4857 100%	
AM Times AM Peaks	07:00 1	08:00 277	08:00 ( 160	08:45 ( 5	)7:45 ( 16	)7:45 ( 2	07:15 C 1	9:30 11		0	8:00 2				C	08:00 463	
PM Times PM Peaks	12:15 1	17:00 334	13:15 1 113	14:15 1 3	L2:15 9		1	.2:15 10		1	5:00 1	1	.3:15 1		1	7:00 424	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 3	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528, : WEST	0000000 0001 West c	00001 of SR 4	101		File: 401.prn City: County:											
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total	
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 7 18 14 12 300 106 180 220 173 129 132 177 215 244 291 324 243 169 116 121 65 52 34	5 1 3 4 27 52 81 94 73 81 89 112 102 129 195 199 154 97 47 32 12 97 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 3 3 4 2 4 5 9 3 7 13 5 5 1 1 0 0 0			1 0 1 1 0 2 7 3 5 7 3 8 6 6 2 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							22 9 21 18 17 60 163 266 251 219 233 299 329 329 384 545 406 273 164 154 78 71 47	
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	4 0.1% Vehicles	3088 63.6% s 97.	1624 33.5% .0%	11 0.3%	72 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58 1.1% Trucks	2 0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 2	0.0% .9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4859 100%	
AM Times AM Peaks	(	08:15 1 220	11:00 0 96	)6:15 0 2	8:00 5		1	0:45 8							C	)8:15 326	
PM Times PM Peaks	15:15 3 3	16:30 1 334	15:45 1 224	.6:30 1 4	6:15 13		1	4:30 1 9	2:15 1						1	.6:30 553	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 3	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528, : WEST	0000000 0001 West c	)0001 of SR 4	101		File: 401.prn City: County:											
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total	
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 16 8 6 15 31 109 154 230 243 221 190 159 167 248 334 376 275 152 136 110 64 46 30	2 2 5 2 34 59 78 121 104 133 106 120 123 156 218 237 145 91 56 41 31 23 8	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 2 4 0 3 5 9 9 9 8 2 10 16 8 8 4 4 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 1 1 2 2 5 8 6 5 5 8 5 3 4 1 6 1 2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				32 19 12 18 70 176 237 364 359 371 311 292 301 429 254 197 153 98 69 38	
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.1% Vehicles	3349 61.7% s 96.	1897 35.0% .5%	13 0.2%	96 1.7%	1 0.0%	2 0.0%	74 1.3% Trucks	1 0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 3	0.0% .4%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5435 100%	
AM Times AM Peaks	09:15 ( 1	08:45 1 258	LO:15 C 133	)8:15 1 2	L0:00 11		0	8:15 0 8	5:45 1		1	0:30 1			1	.0:00 396	
PM Times PM Peaks	1	16:15 1 376	15:45 1 245	.6:15 1 5	15:15 1 16	12:45 1 1	.4:45 1 2	2:30 8							1	.6:15 630	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 3	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : WEST	0000000 00001 West	00001 of SR	401			File: 401.prn City: County:											
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total		
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 4 7 5 10 11 55 67 130 144 109 128 129 145 189 221 183 137 8 6 22 56 46 31 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 4 4 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 4 4 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2 3 2 4 9 2 9 14 7 7 10 6 5 2 2 2 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 0 0 1 2 1 1 7 7 6 6 1 6 6 7 3 7 2 2 5 0 1 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					31 21 13 30 18 43 141 216 350 391 328 367 411 422 509 580 524 432 265 192 145 103 77		
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	3 0.1% Vehicle	3685 62.6% s 96	2014 34.2% .7%	21 0.4%	87 1.48	1 0.0%	0.0%	81 1.3% Trucks	0.0% 6.0%	0.0% es 3	1 0.0% .2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5893 100%		
AM Times AM Peaks	11:00 1	09:00 249	09:00 149	11:15 4	10:15 9		C	9:30 8		0	8:45 1				C	9:00 410		
PM Times PM Peaks	16:00 2	15:15 347	15:15 221	16:00 4	12:45 16	14:00 1	1	.2:45 17							1	5:15 580		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : WEST	0000000 00001 West	00001 of SR	401	File: 401.prn City: County:												
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total	
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 2 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 0 0 5 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 6 1 6 22 53 73 91 118 125 124 136 141 147 168 285 256 213 147 147 168 285 256 213 147 147 168 285 256 213 147 147 168 285 253 213 147 188 285 253 213 147 188 285 253 213 124 136 141 141 145 144 145 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 3 4 2 3 1 0 4 10 0 4 10 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 3 2 8 13 13 13 12 9 10 11 6 7 1 2 0 1	1 0 2 4 13 11 11 7 10 6 7 7 3 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 1 0 1 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 7 6 4 12 17 23 20 20 18 17 19 13 16 9 9 14 6 6 5 6 2	3 7 5 7 10 14 27 20 31 46 39 40 37 30 44 26 24 18 9 7 7 11 5 6 6	0 0 0 0 0 1 4 2 1 1 3 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			52 49 50 60 78 168 333 426 575 500 529 530 529 530 529 512 851 9168 557 395 315 221 165 98	
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	26 0.3% Vehicle	5 5858 5 63.1% es 89	2393 25.8% .1%	47 0.6%	115 1.3%	87 0.9%	13 0.1%	263 2.8% Trucks	472 5.0% & Bus	14 0.1% ses 1	0 0.0% 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9289 100%	
AM Times AM Peaks	11:15 3	08:15 369	11:15 136	09:30 ( 14	09:45 ( 18	09:45 C 16	)6:15 ( 3	08:45 0 24	9:45 ( 48	)7:15 4					C	)8:15 575	
PM Times PM Peaks	17:15 5	16:45 619	15:45 293	16:15 1 10	12:30 1 16	12:15 1 10	.2:15 1 3	12:45 1 22	4:15 1	L2:15 3		1	.5:00 1		1	.6 <b>:</b> 15 916	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	File: 401.prn City: County:															
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 0 0 1 1 6 6 2 2 2 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20 3 11 17 42 94 115 108 138 144 137 169 164 280 275 198 136 87 65 37 41 29	0 0 1 0 0 1 3 2 6 6 3 3 8 4 4 9 9 5 5 8 8 5 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2	0 1 0 2 6 3 19 16 18 15 11 9 12 4 12 6 2 3 1 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 2 4 5 13 8 8 7 6 6 6 11 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 0 3 3 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 2 6 7 9 16 19 22 19 27 19 23 24 22 23 24 22 3 21 11 11 9 6 6 8 8 4 4 2	2 10 8 7 8 14 23 25 38 34 29 35 27 30 21 19 19 5 8 8 8 5 33 3	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			116 54 55 76 79 160 337 467 594 567 603 573 573 573 573 573 573 573 573 573 57
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	31 0.4% Vehicle	6340 64.6% s 89	2401 24.5% .3%	75 0.8%	143 1.5%	80 80.98	15 0.1%	310 3.1% Truck	408 4.1% s & Bu	8 0.0% ses	2 0.0% 10.6%	1 0.0%	3 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9817 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	11:00 4	09:45 410	10:30 154	08:45 8	08:15 19	08:15 13	10:00 ( 3	08:30 27	09:00 43	06:30 2	09:30 ( 1	05:15 ( 1	09:45 1		1	LO:00 624
PM Times PM Peaks	15:15 6	16:30 601	15:30 295	13:15 9	14:30 14	14:15 11	13:45 2 2	14:30 25	12:15 35	13:15 2	16:45 1	-	14:45 2		1	L6:00 949
Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: 0 00000000 SR 528, : WEST	0000000 00001 West	000001 of SR	401						File: City: Count	401.p	rn				
--	--	---	---	--	--	---	--	--	---	--	---	---	---	-----------	-----------	--
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	1 0 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\begin{array}{c} & 76\\ 0 & 43\\ 0 & 46\\ 0 & 37\\ 0 & 46\\ 2 & 276\\ 2 & 382\\ 2 & 382\\ 2 & 356\\ 2 & 299\\ 2 & 325\\ 2 & 281\\ 2 & 334\\ 5 & 559\\ 2 & 366\\ 2 & 339\\ 2 & 266\\ 2 & 215\\ 2 & 202\\ 0 & 141 \end{array}$	5 $18$ $9$ $5$ $11$ $44$ $71$ $5$ $68$ $2$ $133$ $5$ $135$ $135$ $135$ $135$ $134$ $157$ $244$ $157$ $182$ $5141$ $9244$ $182$ $5141$ $9244$ $182$ $5141$ $925$ $62$ $477$ $37$	0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1         0       1         0       0         0       1         0       0         1       0         0       1         0       0         1       0         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       0	- 0 - 0	2 2 7 3 3 6 2 0 13 19 31 23 23 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 5 8 8 9 9 4 4 5	2 8 9 10 10 24 26 35 33 34 25 21 26 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 26 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0       0         0       0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0			100 63 67 53 73 143 312 403 597 601 551 550 484 568 693 821 799 704 531 450 370 295 260 186
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	39 0.5% Vehicle	0 6312 5 65.3% es 89	2299 23.8% 23.8%	100 1.18	130 1.4%	0.9%	7 41 5 0.4%	287 2.9% Truck	357 3.6% s & Bu	7 14 8 0.18 1ses	4 0 5 0.0% 10.5%	2 0.0%	6 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9674 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:45 4	08:15 382	08:45 145	10:15 17	10:15 18	09:00 11	09:45 8	09:00 31	10:45 42	07:30 4		09:15 ( 1	07:30 1		C	9:00 626
PM Times PM Peaks	14:15 6	16:30 581	15:15 244	16:45 13	15:30 15	12:30 11	12:45 4	14:00 31	14:15 26	12:45 4		14:45 1 1	12:15 2		1	5:15 821

### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site	Refe	renc	ce: 0	000000	0000	001		
Site	ID:	0000	00000	0001				
Locat	ion:	SR	528,	West	of	SR	401	

File: 401.prn City: County:

TIME	1 EAST	2 EAST	3 WEST	4 WEST	Total	
01:00	64	13	22	52	151	
02:00	47	15	9	49	120	
03:00	46	3	21	50	120	
04:00	42	3	18	60	123	
05:00	104	15	17	78	214	
06:00	324	56	60	168	608	
07:00	624	198	163	333	1318	
08:00	780	416	266	426	1888	
09:00	722	370	326	575	1993	
10:00	573	308	251	530	1662	
11:00	567	265	219	502	1553	
12:00	600	306	233	500	1639	
13:00	559	287	299	529	1674	
14:00	539	295	329	539	1702	
15:00	554	244	384	612	1794	
16:00	514	274	504	851	2143	
17:00	545	291	545	916	2297	
18:00	665	344	406	768	2183	
19:00	614	405	273	557	1849	
20:00	710	512	164	395	1781	
21:00	497	342	154	315	1308	
22:00	364	141	78	221	804	
23:00	168	65	71	165	469	
24:00	122	31	47	98	298	
DAY TOTAL	10344	5199	4859	9289	29691	
PERCENTS	34.9%	17.6%	16.3%	31.2%	100%	
AM Times	07:00	07:30	08:15	08:15		
AM Peaks	781	437	326	575		
PM Times	19:00	19:00	16:30	16:15		
PM Peaks	742	519	553	916		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

File: 401.prn City:

County:

TIME	1 EAST	2 EAST	3 WEST	4 WEST	Total	
01.00				110		
01:00	67	22	32	110	237	
02:00	43	12	19	54	128	
03:00	4 /	6	12	55	120	
04:00	44	10	12	76	134	
05:00	220	12	10	160	190	
06:00	330	68 100	10	160	628	
07:00	678	199	1/6	337	1390	
08:00	805	382	237	467	1891	
09:00	//1	376	364	594	2105	
10:00	620	295	359	567	1841	
11:00	626	298	3/1	603	1898	
12:00	615	280	311	5/3	1779	
13:00	643	293	292	533	1761	
14:00	615	270	301	571	1/5/	
15:00	532	270	420	683	1905	
16:00	554	254	5/3	891	2272	
1/:00	565	342	630	940	24//	
18:00	571	299	429	/41	2040	
19:00	541	274	254	508	1577	
20:00	345	155	197	423	1120	
21:00	220	100	153	316	/89	
22:00	214	81	98	233	626	
23:00	157	46	69	182	454	
24:00	101	22	38	115	276	
DAY TOTAL	9791	4358	5435	9817	29401	
PERCENTS	33.4%	14.9%	18.4%	33.3%	100%	
AM Times	06:45	07:45	10:00	10:00		
AM Peaks	837	398	396	624		
PM Times	12:30	16:15	16:15	16:00		
PM Peaks	653	342	630	949		

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Reference: 000000000001 Site ID: 000000000001 Location: SR 528, West of SR 401

File: 401.prn City: County:

TIME	1 EAST	2 EAST	3 WEST	4 WEST	Total	
01:00	79	17	31	100	227	
02:00	63	18	21	63	165	
03:00	58	6	13	67	144	
04:00	72	9	30	53	164	
05:00	96	15	18	73	202	
06:00	327	71	43	143	584	
07:00	645	225	141	312	1323	
08:00	755	378	216	403	1752	
09:00	753	418	350	597	2118	
10:00	625	303	391	601	1920	
11:00	630	282	328	551	1791	
12:00	694	312	367	550	1923	
13:00	699	324	411	484	1918	
14:00	679	312	422	568	1981	
15:00	595	253	509	693	2050	
16:00	554	305	580	821	2260	
17:00	550	341	524	799	2214	
18:00	583	421	434	704	2142	
19:00	547	295	282	531	1655	
20:00	359	192	265	450	1266	
21:00	242	145	192	370	949	
22:00	169	84	145	295	693	
23:00	131	75	103	260	569	
24:00	86	56	77	186	405	
 DAY TOTAL	9991	4857	 5893	9674	30415	
PERCENTS	32.9%	16.0%	19.3%	31.8%	100%	
AM Times	06:45	08:00	09:00	09:00		
AM Peaks	783	463	410	626		
PM Times	12:30	17:00	15:15	15:15		
PM Peaks	720	424	580	821		

Site Reference: 00000000002 File: NB.prn Site ID: 00000000002 City: Location: SR 528 to SR 401 NB County: Direction: NORTH Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 

 DAY TOTAL
 0
 1459
 941
 4
 90
 2
 0
 417
 5
 0
 1
 0
 0
 0
 2919

 PERCENTS
 0.0%
 50.0%
 32.3%
 0.2%
 3.1%
 0.0%
 14.2%
 0.1%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 <t Passenger Vehicles 82.2% Trucks & Buses 17.7% 06:4506:4507:0007:4511:0008:1507:4509:4537026911924521 AM Times 06:45 AM Peaks 681 PM Times12:4512:3012:1513:3014:0012:30PM Peaks5441110272 12:15 118

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528 t : NORTH	0000000 0002 to SR 4	00002 01 NB							File: City: County	NB.prn					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 8 12 15 159 334 378 244 133 143 143 143 139 119 86 63 35 32 19 11 9 4 10 2 11	1 1 3 4 22 105 231 203 127 75 67 58 56 50 39 27 13 10 20 0 6 3 20 20 3 20 20 3 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 3 1 3 3 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 3 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 7 11 8 9 5 8 0 5 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 5 11 8 14 25 325 34 42 35 34 42 39 26 19 26 10 11 12 7 5 11 3	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			8 7 22 24 54 291 613 628 419 262 261 239 206 172 128 70 57 42 25 21 17 19 16 17
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.1% Vehicles	1966 54.4% 85.	1108 30.7% 9%	23 0.7%	94 2.6%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	411 11.3% Trucks	10 0.2% & Bus	0.0% es 1	2 0.0% 5.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3618 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:15 ( 1	07:00 0 400	06:45 C 257	06:15 C 3	)7:45 1 15	11:15 0 1	08:30 ( 1	9:15 1 42	1:15 4	0	7:45 1	C	)6:15 1		C	)6:45 702
PM Times PM Peaks	1	12:15 1 119	.2:30 1 62	.3:15 1 4	.2:15 9		1	.3:45 1 27	6:30 2	1	3:45 1				1	2:15 206

Site Refe: Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528 t : NORTH	000000 002 o SR 4	0002 01 NB				File: NB.prn City: County:									
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 10 9 21 128 254 273 166 113 89 81 62 48 25 23 13 11 11 10 13 7 6	0 0 1 6 18 9 201 162 87 61 68 46 34 30 20 28 18 7 3 2 5 3 2 1	0 0 0 0 4 6 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 5 9 10 10 12 9 7 4 5 3 4 0 0 0 1 1 0			4 8 10 23 22 35 34 26 22 19 20 7 13 10 11 6 7 9 10 8	0 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			6 8 21 23 53 239 487 472 307 220 196 167 146 118 95 64 58 30 26 20 22 26 20 16
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.1% Vehicles	1456 51.3% 82.	892 31.5% 7%	27 1.0%	83 3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	369 12.9% Trucks	8 0.2% & Bus	0.0% es 1	0.0% 7.2%	1 0.0%	2 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2840 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	07:45 0 2	6:45 0 303	6:45 0 216	6:30 0 6	8:30 14		0	8:30 0 37	4:30 2		0	7:45 C 1	07:45 2		C	06:45 561
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:15 1 81	2:15 1 34	3:15 1 3	2:15 7		1	3:00 1 23	8:45 1						1	2:15 146

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 000000000002 Site ID: 000000000002 Location: SR 528 to SR 401 NB		File: NB.prn City: County:	
TIME	1 NORTH		Fotal
01:00	5		5
02:00	7		7
03:00	23		23
04:00	23		23
05:00	71		71
06:00	270		270
07:00	603		603
08:00	558		558
09:00	335		335
10:00	208		208
11:00	115		115
12:00	108		108
13:00	118		118
14:00	110		110
15:00	93		93
16:00	67		67
17:00	61		61
18:00	38		38
19:00	25		25
20:00	20		20
21:00	20		20
22:00	21		21
23:00	11		11
24:00	9		9
DAY TOTAL	2919		 2919
PERCENTS	100.0%	10	)0%
AM Times	06:45		
AM Peaks	681		
PM Times	12:15		
PM Peaks	118		

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Reference: 00000000002 Site ID: 00000000002 Location: SR 528 to SR 401 NB		File: NB.prn City: County:	
TIME	1 NORTH	Total	
01-00	0	0	
02.00	0 7	0 7	
02:00	22	1	
04.00	22	22	
05.00	54	54	
06:00	291	291	
07:00	613	613	
08:00	628	628	
09:00	419	419	
10:00	262	262	
11:00	2.61	261	
12:00	239	239	
13:00	206	206	
14:00	172	172	
15:00	128	128	
16:00	70	70	
17:00	57	57	
18:00	42	42	
19:00	25	25	
20:00	21	21	
21:00	17	17	
22:00	19	19	
23:00	16	16	
24:00	17	17	
DAY TOTAL	3618	3618	
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%	
AM Times	06.45		
AM Peaks	702		

12:15 206

PM Times PM Peaks

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site	Refe	erend	ce: (	0000	0000	0000	)2
Site	ID:	0000	0000	0000	)2		
Locat	tion:	SR	528	to	SR	401	NB

NB.prn
Y:

TIME	1 NORTH	Total
01:00	б	6
02:00	8	8
03:00	21	21
04:00	23	23
05:00	53	53
06:00	239	239
07:00	487	487
08:00	472	472
09:00	307	307
10:00	220	220
11:00	196	196
12:00	167	167
13:00	146	146
14:00	118	118
15:00	95	95
16:00	64	64
17:00	58	58
18:00	30	30
19:00	26	26
20:00	20	20
21:00	22	22
22:00	26	26
23:00	20	20
24:00	16	16
 DAY TOTAL	2840	2840
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	06:45	
AM Peaks	561	
PM Times	12:15	
PM Peaks	146	

Site Reference: 00000000003 File: EB.prn Site ID: 00000000003 City: Location: SR 401 SB to SR 528 EB County: Direction: SOUTH Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 

 DAY TOTAL
 1
 655
 382
 1
 24
 0
 0
 31
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 1094

 PERCENTS
 0.1%
 59.9%
 35.0%
 0.1%
 2.1%
 0.0%
 2.8%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0 Passenger Vehicles 94.8% Trucks & Buses 5.1% AM Times11:1511:1508:0010:45AM Peaks544214 09:15 11:15 99 4 PM Times14:0015:1515:3012:1513:45PM Peaks1975855 15:15 155

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 0 00000000 SR 401 : SOUTH	0000000 00003 SB to 3	00003 SR 528	EB						File: 1 City: County	EB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 3 2 0 5 0 5 2 2 6 3 4 5 3 9 5 3 5 6 3 5 2 3 5 2 3 5 2 3 5 2 3 5 2 3 5 2 3 5 3 5	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 4 2 4 6 4 1 1 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 0 1 1 1 0 8 6 9 6 8 3 2 4 0 1 2 0 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							7 5 3 4 11 5 12 44 90 104 118 181 115 85 99 172 138 100 47 20 12 12 34 15
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.2% Vehicle	2 878 5 61.3% es 93	462 32.3% .6%	1 0.1%	31 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58 4.0% Trucks	1 0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 6	0.0% .3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1433 100%
AM Times AM Peaks		11:15 1 116	11:15 ( 53	)3:45 1 1	1:00 6		1	0:30 0 11	9:45 1						1	1:15 181
PM Times PM Peaks	12:45 1	15:15 : 122	16:00 54	1	2:15 4		1	2:15 8							1	.5:15 172

Site Refer Site ID: C Location: Direction: Lane: 1	cence: 000 0000000000 SR 401 SE SOUTH	)000000 )03 3 to SF	0003 8 528	EB						File: City: County	EB.prn					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		4 1 2 5 0 5 5 16 29 41 58 62 50 31 55 96 82 36 31 4 8 4 10 21	2 0 2 1 2 8 3 0 2 6 3 9 3 1 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 4 6 3 2 3 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 2 4 1 4 2 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 1 0 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 1 2 2 2 2 0 2 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0								6 1 5 7 14 42 66 73 90 110 84 61 83 146 119 47 42 20 11 7 13 28
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	671 52.0% 3 95.0	358 33.1% )%	2 0.2%	24 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	28 2.5% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 4	0.0% .9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1083 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	10	0:00 11 65	1:15 1 39	0:00 0 1	8:00 4		1	1:15 5							1	1:15 110
PM Times PM Peaks	15	5:30 15 102	5:15 1 44	2:15 1 1	3:45 5		1	4:45 3							1	.5:30 150

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 000 0000000000 SR 401 SI : SOUTH	000000 003 B to SI	0003 R 528	EB						File: 1 City: County	EB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		3 3 2 4 1 9 12 13 21 36 43 43 37 47 80 84 50 42 23 16 7 16 7	2 0 2 2 7 11 19 12 23 45 28 40 65 50 39 10 4 6 4 8 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2 0 1 5 2 1 0 0 3 0 1 0 2 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 0 0 1 1 2 3 1 0 2 1 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								6 3 4 4 4 16 26 33 37 67 91 72 64 88 149 136 91 52 28 22 13 24 10
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% 5 Vehicles	600 57.5% 96.4	407 39.0% 4%	1 0.1%	19 1.8%	0 0.0%	0.0%	17 1.6% Irucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 3	0.0% .5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1044 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	13	1:00 1: 44	1:15 0 45	9:15 10 1	0:15 5		08	8:45 3							1	1:00 92
PM Times PM Peaks	15	5:45 1 91	5:00 65	1	5:00 3		10	6:45 3							1	.5:00 151

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000000 SR 401 S : SOUTH	0000000 0003 SB to S	00003 R 528	EB						File: City: County	EB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 1 4 0 1 4 8 26 24 37 83 60 30 51 83 93 49 36 13 10 5 8 9	0 2 0 2 0 0 5 12 24 60 24 15 19 61 44 23 15 4 1 3 2 3	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 2 2 2 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 3 0 0			0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 3 2 0 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								5 4 2 5 10 21 32 40 65 149 86 52 71 147 138 76 51 18 11 10 12
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	4 0.4% Vehicles	644 63.1% 96.	335 32.8% 1%	4 0.4%	19 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16 1.5% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 3	 0 0.0% .8%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	1022 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	10:30 1 2	.1:15 1 83	.1:15 0 60	00:30 0 1	9:15 3		1	.0:00 3							1	1:15 149
PM Times PM Peaks	15:00 1 1	.6:00 1 98	.5:15 1 61	.3:00 1 1	3:15 4		1	.2 <b>:</b> 30 2							1	5:45 155

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 000 0000000000 SR 401 SH : SOUTH	0000000 003 3 to SI	0003 R 528	EB						File: City: County	EB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 3 1 0 4 11 7 11 12 24 57 43 31 54 58 39 25 18 12 6 4 11 6	0 1 3 1 1 5 12 10 29 24 26 30 33 50 31 4 3 5 4 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 1 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 2 1 1 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0								1 2 6 2 1 5 16 22 24 24 24 24 57 86 74 62 89 111 73 31 23 18 10 5 11
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.2% Vehicles	439 57.6% 3 95.5	289 37.9% 5%	 3 0.3%	18 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 1.7% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 4	0.0% .4%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	763 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:00 11 1	1:15 11 57	1:00 0 31	7:15 1 1	1:15 4		0	7 <b>:</b> 15 2							1	1:15 86
PM Times PM Peaks	15	5:00 15 68	5:00 1 58	4:00 1 1	2:15 3		1	2:15 2							1	.5:00 129

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Page: 1

Site	Refe	renc	e:	0000	000	000	03		
Site	ID:	0000	000	0000	)3				
Locat	ion:	SR	401	SB	to	SR	528	EΒ	

File: EB.prn City: County:

TIME	1 SOUTH	2 SOUTH	Total	
01:00	8	6	14	
02:00	1	3	4	
03:00	5	4	9	
04:00	10	4	14	
05:00	4	4	8	
06:00	5	4	9	
07:00	24	16	40	
08:00	31	26	57	
09:00	47	33	80	
10:00	72	37	109	
11:00	50	67	117	
12:00	99	91	190	
13:00	74	72	146	
14:00	62	64	126	
15:00	79	88	167	
16:00	155	149	304	
17:00	120	136	256	
18:00	96	91	187	
19:00	50	52	102	
20:00	32	28	60	
21:00	23	22	45	
22:00	14	13	27	
23:00	15	24	39	
24:00	18	10	28	
DAY TOTAL	1094	1044	2138	
PERCENTS	51.2%	48.8%	100%	
AM Times	11:15	11:00		
AM Peaks	99	92		
PM Times	15:15	15:00		
PM Peaks	155	151		

# Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Reference: 00000000003 Site ID: 00000000003 Location: SR 401 SB to SR 528 EB

File: EB.prn City: County:

TIME	1	2	Total
	SOUTH	SOUTH	
01:00	7	5	12
02:00	5	4	9
03:00	3	4	7
04:00	4	2	6
05:00	11	2	13
06:00	5	5	10
07:00	12	10	22
08:00	44	21	65
09:00	90	32	122
10:00	104	40	144
11:00	118	65	183
12:00	181	149	330
13:00	115	86	201
14:00	85	52	137
15:00	99	71	170
16:00	172	147	319
17:00	138	138	276
18:00	100	76	176
19:00	47	51	98
20:00	20	18	38
21:00	12	11	23
22:00	12	11	23
23:00	34	10	44
24:00	15	12	27
 DAY TOTAL	1433	1022	2455
PERCENTS	58.4%	41.6%	100%
121(021(10	00.10	11.00	2000
AM Times	11:15	11:15	
AM Peaks	181	149	
PM Times	15:15	15:45	
PM Peaks	172	155	

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Reference: 00000000003 Site ID: 00000000003 Location: SR 401 SB to SR 528 EB

File: EB.prn City: County:

TIME	1 SOUTH	2 SOUTH	Total
01:00	6	1	7
02:00	1	2	3
03:00	5	6	11
04:00	7	2	9
05:00	1	1	2
06:00	7	5	12
07:00	14	16	30
08:00	42	22	64
09:00	66	24	90
10:00	73	24	97
11:00	90	57	147
12:00	110	86	196
13:00	84	74	158
14:00	61	62	123
15:00	83	89	172
16:00	146	111	257
17:00	119	73	192
18:00	47	31	78
19:00	42	23	65
20:00	20	18	38
21:00	11	10	21
22:00	7	5	12
23:00	13	11	24
24:00	28	10	38
 DAY TOTAL	1083	763	
PERCENTS	58.7%	41.3%	100%
AM Times	11:15	11:15	
AM Peaks	110	86	
PM Times	15:30	15:00	
PM Peaks	150	129	

Site Reference: 007003523631 File: 401.prn Site ID: 000000700352 Citv: Location: SR 528, East of SR 401 County: Direction: EAST Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ DAY TOTAL 4 1168 384 11 93 19 0 47 116 2 0 0 0 0 0 1844 PERCENTS 0.3% 63.4% 20.9% 0.6% 5.0% 1.0% 0.0% 2.5% 6.2% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100% Passenger Vehicles 84.3% Trucks & Buses 15.6% AM Times 05:45 11:00 11:00 05:45 11:00 05:45 09:15 08:30 10:45 11:00 AM Peaks 2 92 43 2 14 4 5 16 1 168 PM Times16:3015:1513:0013:4512:1512:3014:0012:45PM Peaks133462105111 15:15 182

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	0700352 0352 East o	3631 f SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 2 4 8 9 35 64 74 100 87 84 131 93 87 131 138 99 63 51 18 5 12 12	4 4 0 1 0 3 5 22 19 27 40 331 322 25 29 6 3 20 3 20 3 31 32 25 20 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 20 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 3 3 20 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 1 1 2 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 2 5 7 7 6 7 12 8 10 8 2 9 5 1 1 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 1 3 3 2 2 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 1 0 0 1 0 0 4 4 5 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 0 2 1 2 1	1 0 1 0 3 4 6 8 12 15 15 15 10 7 7 3 4 1 2 4 2 0 0 0 0							$17 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 43 \\ 80 \\ 114 \\ 143 \\ 140 \\ 202 \\ 154 \\ 143 \\ 140 \\ 202 \\ 154 \\ 143 \\ 139 \\ 173 \\ 179 \\ 130 \\ 76 \\ 62 \\ 27 \\ 9 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 10 \\ 10$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.1% Vehicles	1413 69.5% 86.	349 17.2% 6%	10 0.5%	98 4.8%	20 0.9%	0 0.0% T	39 1.9% Tucks	105 5.1% & Bus	0.0% es 1	0.0% 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2036 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:45 1 1	1:15 1 131	1:15 0 40	8:45 1: 4	1:15 0 12	7:30 4	09	):00 1 7	0:30 23						1	1:15 202
PM Times PM Peaks	16:00 1 1	.5:45 1 139	4:45 1 43	5:45 1: 1	2:30 1 13	4:00 4	12	2:15 1 4	2:15 10						1	5:30 181

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	)700352 )352 East o	3631 f SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00		10 5 5 8 6 23 41 63 97 90 96 125 136 80 101 151 116 105 50 30 18 26 18 11	3 0 1 0 3 20 19 18 31 32 43 38 27 21 43 28 18 4 4 4 28 18 4 4 26	0 1 0 0 0 1 3 3 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4 5 7 1 7 1 1 6 6 6 8 7 1 1 3 2 2 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0		0 0 2 2 3 3 6 2 2 3 3 6 2 2 3 2 4 2 2 4 1 0 2 1 0 0	0 1 0 2 3 5 12 8 7 16 13 16 10 6 4 2 3 2 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						13 7 6 8 13 33 73 104 139 134 155 198 201 127 138 201 155 131 58 41 26 34 20 17
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	1411 69.2% 8 87.	374 18.4% 4%	13 0.7%	77 3.8%	8 0.3%	0 0.0%	43 2.1% [rucks	113 5.5% & Bus	2 0.0% es 1:	0.0% 2.5%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2041 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	1	11:15 1 125	1:00 0 44	8:30 1 4	1:15 0 11	4:45 1	08	3:15 1 6	0:45 0 18	4:45 1					1	1:15 198
PM Times PM Peaks	1	15:15 1 151	5:15 43	1	6:00 1 10	4:30 4	13	3:45 1 5	2:30 1 17	2:15 1					1	5:15 210

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	0700352 0352 East c	23631 of SR 4	101						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 3 3 1 4 1 3 1 3 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	37 25 24 20 26 191 288 307 306 279 331 324 304 323 404 488 354 220 167 122 104 65	9 9 7 5 8 93 149 142 131 162 135 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 151 31 15 21	0 0 0 1 0 2 3 4 6 3 1 3 2 6 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	3 0 0 1 7 166 276 266 265 20 24 24 25 28 24 24 25 28 21 19 13 1 2 1 3	0 0 1 2 6 3 4 4 5 3 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 8 8 6 5 5 3 4 6 6 5 2 3 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	3 0 0 2 6 8 15 15 15 15 15 15 17 17 16 9 9 12 4 5 2 2 4 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						$\begin{array}{c} 52\\ 34\\ 31\\ 25\\ 40\\ 150\\ 327\\ 494\\ 505\\ 495\\ 453\\ 540\\ 512\\ 499\\ 669\\ 677\\ 494\\ 308\\ 222\\ 156\\ 122\\ 95\end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	26 0.4% Vehicles	5278 66.0% s 91.	2050 25.7% .9%	36 0.5%	340 4.2%	41 0.5%	3 0.0%	66 0.8% Trucks	160 1.9% & Bus	1 0.0% es 8	0 0.0% .0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8001 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	07:45 1 4	11:15 ( 331	)7:45 C 165	)9:00 1 7	.0:00 0 30	6:15 1 6	.0:30 0 2	6:30 0 9	8:45 1 18	1:00 1					C	08:00 540
PM Times PM Peaks	12:15 3	17:00 1 498	16:45 1 166	13:45 1 6	.6:30 1 30	.2 <b>:</b> 45 5	1	3:00 1 10	2:30 18						1	7:00 698

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	070035: 0352 East (	23631 of SR 4	401						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00	1 0 0 1 0 4 5 0 6 6 2 1 2 3 2 2 0 0 2 2 1 1 1 0 1	46 34 14 12 26 86 168 273 316 302 317 347 354 309 380 417 443 380 417 443 388 294 201 148 129	16 4 7 2 6 36 88 124 150 165 137 142 153 122 121 149 165 135 98 82 258 82 58 26 30	0 0 0 2 4 2 3 5 4 7 3 4 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 12 16 26 33 35 25 37 30 28 16 20 22 19 10 12 6 23 3	0 0 1 0 1 2 2 7 7 4 1 1 5 4 0 1 1 1 0 0 0		0 1 0 1 2 6 1 3 9 5 7 2 5 10 9 4 4 5 4 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 5 10 10 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 0 4 3 1 5 11 7 7 17 17 14 13 19 16 11 9 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						65 39 26 17 36 298 452 539 517 566 292 548 608 638 649 268 268 178 163
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS	0 38 0.5%	78  5567 66.9%	22 2038 24.5%	1 44 0.6%	1 355 4.2%	0 38 0.4%	0  0 0.0%	1  90 1.0%	161 1.9%	0  1 0.0%	0  0.0%	0  0 0.0%	0  0 0.0%	0  0 0.0%	0  0 0.0%	104  8332 100%
Passenger AM Times AM Peaks	10:30 1 7	s 91 11:15 347	•/≋ 08:45 : 183	10:45 1 8	11:15 ( 37	)9:15 7	0	7:15 1 13	« виз 1:00 1 19	es 8 0:00 1	· 2 ð				C	8:45 568
PM Times PM Peaks	14:30 3 4	17:15 485	16:00 1 167	12:30 1 6	13:00 1 32	L4:15 5	1	3:15 1 10	2:15 19						1	6:00 670

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 0 00000070 SR 528, : EAST	070035: 0352 East (	23631 of SR 4	401						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 2 4 3 5 7 5 6 4 4 3 0 2 2 0 7 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	47 37 25 24 32 95 229 317 337 369 354 425 501 435 405 527 370 305 227 370 305 227 172 171 99	13 12 6 11 12 12 14 12 14 10 160 163 201 171 186 169 131 111 87 75 47 41 30	0 0 0 1 1 3 6 3 10 9 3 5 5 2 6 3 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 2 1 4 4 5 7 3 4 1 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 5 11 9 4 6 5 6 8 12 7 6 4 2 2 2 0 2	0 0 0 2 4 17 12 16 13 13 10 15 8 2 2 3 1 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			61 50 32 49 168 404 525 614 589 578 660 746 698 718 735 690 504 411 318 220 141
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	51 0.6% Vehicle	6579 66.7% s 92	2474 25.1% .2%	59 0.6%	417 4.3%	40 0.4%	1 0.0%	97 0.9% Trucks	147 1.4% & Bus	2 0.0% es 7	0 0.0% .7%	0.0%	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9869 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:30 7	11:15 425	08:15 ( 212	09:30 ( 12	)9:45 1 37	11:15 0 7	)7:30 ( 1	07:00 0 12	9:00 1 18	0:45 2		0	16:45		1	1:15 660
PM Times PM Peaks	17:15 7	16:30 3 535	13:15 1 201	14:45 1 6	12:30 1 41	13:15 4	-	14:00 1 14	4:15 15						1	5:45 757

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 3	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	)700352 )352 East c	23631 of SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 2 3 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 17 8 6 14 49 122 314 300 235 203 235 203 245 200 264 329 358 377 259 157 108 88 61 54	6 1 2 3 4 11 77 99 105 74 67 82 74 60 84 78 116 89 53 36 26 19 13 8	0 0 0 2 1 2 2 3 2 4 3 2 4 3 2 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 11 9 7 13 14 12 9 5 10 11 15 4 5 7 2 0 1 2	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 2 1 1 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 2 1 1 2 1 3 2 4 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0							27 18 10 9 20 64 215 429 420 328 293 341 337 272 363 425 490 473 318 200 137 107 75 65
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	9 0.2% Vehicles	4022 74.0% 96.	1187 21.9% 9%	26 0.5%	139 2.6%	8 0.1%	7 0.1%	15 0.2% Frucks	23 0.4% & Bus	0.0% es 4	0 0.0% .0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5436 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	10:30 C 3	)7:45 C 340	08:00 0 111	8:30 1 4	1:00 1 17	0:15 0 <sup>°</sup> 2	7:15 0 <sup>-</sup> 2	7:30 1 2	1:15 3						C	7:45 455
PM Times PM Peaks	15:15 1 1	17:00 1 398	.6:15 1 116	2:30 1 4	4:45 1: 18	2:15 1: 1	2:15 15 1	5:00 1 4	3:00 5						1	7:00 512

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 3	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	)700352 )352 East c	23631 of SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	23 18 9 14 31 55 333 313 245 248 257 287 233 262 307 365 341 197 158 103 62 66 41	8 4 11 755 1255 86 97 77 92 966 70 90 82 96 70 90 82 96 33 14 15 4	0 0 0 1 1 2 1 3 4 4 3 1 2 4 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 8 12 14 13 4 12 15 8 7 5 2 3 2 2 0	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 2 4 3 2 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4 1 0 2 1 3 2 3 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0							31 24 10 16 39 70 228 478 414 361 344 375 403 316 371 408 473 430 247 189 141 79 83 45
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	9 0.2% Vehicles	4103 73.6% 5 95.	1234 22.2% 8%	30 0.6%	147 2.6%	8 0.1%	1 0.0%	24 0.4% Trucks	19 0.3% & Bus	0.0% es 4	0.0% .1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5575 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	01:00 ( 1	)7:45 ( 372	)7:15 0 125	8:45 0 5	7:45 1 19	0:45 0 2	8:45 1 1	1:15 0 4	6:15 4						C	)7:45 511
PM Times PM Peaks	12:30 2 2	16:30 1 380	.6:00 1 106	3:30 1 5	4:45 1 15	2:30 1	1	2:15 1 3	2:30 3						1	.6 <b>:</b> 30 494

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 3	cence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : EAST	)700352 )352 East (	23631 of SR 4	101						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 3 1 0 3 2 5 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 17 8 6 12 39 1307 255 278 290 288 326 354 346 240 211 142 115 92	3 1 3 6 10 60 97 82 75 82 88 92 103 82 103 82 103 70 42 34 19 8 6	0 0 0 2 0 1 0 3 1 4 0 3 1 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 2 13 18 9 12 9 3 10 13 10 9 12 5 3 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 3 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						28 18 9 9 18 54 193 413 414 327 357 382 390 410 427 482 464 315 257 177 136 103 60
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	21 0.4% Vehicles	4428 75.0% 5 96	1272 21.6% .8%	19 0.4%	132 2.2%	5 0.0%	0.0%	12 0.2% Trucks	17 0.2% & Bus	1 0.0% es 3	0.0% .1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5907 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	10:15 ( 3	)7:45 ( 318	)7:15 1 97	.0:45 C 4	)8:00 1 20	.0:45 1	0	8:00 0 2	8:30 0 4	9:30 1					C	07:30 429
PM Times PM Peaks	15:15 : 5	15:45 1 375	15:30 1 110	.3:15 1 4	13:45 1 13	.3 <b>:</b> 00 2	1	2:15 1 3	2:15 2						1	5:45 501

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, 1 : WEST	700352: 352 East o:	3631 f SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr :	'n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 2 3 4 71 89 84 55 32 47 62 85 23 84 55 23 84 55 23 84 55 23 81 7 13 7 10 7 4 2	1 1 3 4 16 41 44 37 41 32 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 4 32 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 4 37 36 21 29 16 9 8 4 4 37 20 16 9 8 4 4 37 16 9 8 4 16 9 8 4 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 6 7 1 8 4 5 5 11 5 4 4 5 2 1 4 0 0 4 1	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		3 1 0 0 1 2 1 2 1 1 3 3 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0	2 0 2 1 2 3 1 3 7 4 5 5 5 1 4 3 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 0							8 4 9 123 149 137 110 64 92 117 86 70 49 35 30 19 15 14 11 3
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.1% Vehicles	657 54.0% 3 85.0	377 31.0% 0%	9 0.8%	91 7.5%	9 0.7%	0.0%	22 1.8% Trucks	51 4.1% & Bus	0.0% es 1	0.0% 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1217 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	07:15 0 1	7:45 0 101	6:45 0 56	8:00 0 2	6:45 0 12	7:45 3	0	0:45 0 4	9:15 7						C	)8:00 155
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:30 12 71	2:15 1 36	3:00 1 2	2:15 1 11	3:00 2	1	3:00 1	2:45 7						1	.2:30 123

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : WEST	)700352 )352 East o	3631 f SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr	'n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 3 2 3 5 60 99 119 87 106 116 109 53 40 14 18 11 7 8 4 6 3 3	4 1 2 3 2 15 44 46 48 30 43 57 48 41 21 55 10 6 3 8 2 3 1 1	0 0 0 1 1 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\0\\0\\1\\5\\6\\14\\9\\5\\10\\10\\15\\8\\2\\4\\3\\0\\0\\2\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0$	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0		2 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 3 2 3 3 2 2 4 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 2 2 2 1 9 5 2 4 5 3 5 5 3 1 2 0 2 0 1 1							10 3 6 7 10 58 113 169 184 131 167 194 180 110 70 42 35 19 13 18 8 9 5 5
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	3 0.2% Vehicles	908 58.0% 58.7.	454 29.0% 1%	9 0.6%	95 6.1%	7 0.5%	0 0.0%	28 1.7% Trucks	62 3.9% & Bus	0 0.0% ses 1	0 0.0% 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1566 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	05:00 ( 1	08:00 1 126	1:15 1 57	0:00 0 5	7:15 0 14	8:00 2	C	00:45 0 4	7:00 10						1	1:15 194
PM Times PM Peaks	12:15 1 1	12:15 1 109	2:30 53	1	2:30 1 17	2:15 1	1	.5:15 1 4	4:30 7						1	.2:15 180

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 4	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, 1 : WEST	700352 352 East o	3631 f SR 4	01						File: City: County	401.pr :	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 8 5 27 53 57 74 60 48 68 77 47 34 21 19 10 15 4 5 8 3 3	1 4 1 22 35 30 44 24 38 26 17 15 8 5 4 3 1 4 20	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 8 3 5 7 4 5 7 7 2 3 2 1 0 1 2 0 0	0 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0		2 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 2 1 2 1 4 4 4 4 6 1 2 6 2 0 2 1 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							4 7 2 11 15 56 85 100 116 122 101 100 125 89 66 42 33 18 22 7 10 14 6 3
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	3 0.3% Vehicles	649 56.3% 86.	346 30.0% 4%	7 0.7%	 75 6.4%	10 0.8%	0.0%	22 1.9% Trucks	42 3.6% & Bus	0.0% es 1	 0 0.0% 3.5%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1154 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	8:00 0 81	9:00 1 45	0:00 09	9:30 0 15	3:30 2	0	1:00 0 4	9:45 6						C	9:00 130
PM Times PM Peaks	14:45 1 3	2:15 1 77	2:15 1 38	2:15 13 1	3:45 1 8	5:30 3	1	2:30 1 4	3:00 6						1	2:15 125

Site Refer Site ID: C Location: Direction: Lane: 5	cence: 0 00000070 SR 528, : WEST	070035 0352 East	23631 of SR	401						File: City: County	401.pr 7:	'n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 5 1 4 16 94 127 109 119 96 111 134 124 130 155 171 148 111 92 69 43 27 13	0 1 0 1 2 2 10 0 0 7 7 7 5 4 4 4 4 4 3 10 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 2 6 111 255 299 14 211 29 34 177 322 255 255 199 166 18 3 0 1	0 0 1 1 2 6 5 3 6 3 5 3 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2 1 0 1 0 2 1 0 1 0 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2 2 3 6 2 12 8 2 1 8 2 1 8 2 1 8 2 1 8 8 10 6 4 1 4 1 0 1	0 2 0 1 4 5 9 14 20 19 23 22 23 8 21 20 17 8 7 1 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 10 12 4 11 3 11 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						$\begin{array}{c} 42\\ 37\\ 24\\ 43\\ 70\\ 245\\ 468\\ 525\\ 525\\ 402\\ 419\\ 446\\ 489\\ 509\\ 484\\ 567\\ 571\\ 438\\ 268\\ 202\\ 134\\ 76\end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	12 0.2% Vehicle	2 5077 5 63.5% 2 89	2052 25.7% .2%	64 0.8%	377 4.8%	40 0.5%	8 0.0%	83 1.0% Trucks	230 2.8% & Bus	62 0.7% ses 1	0.0% 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	8005 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	09:45 2	07:00 359	06:45 141	09:45 12	06:45 36	08:15 0 6	06:15 1 2	10:15 0 12	9:00 ( 23	)7:30 12					C	06:45 556
PM Times PM Peaks	19:15 2	16:45 448	15:45 171	16:15 10	13:15 34	13:15 1 5	.2:15 1 1	15:45 1 11	4:30 1 25	L2:15 11					1	6:45 669

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 5	rence: ( 0000007( SR 528, : WEST	0070035 00352 , East	23631 of SR -	401						File: City: County	401.pr	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17 5 10 9 18 78 134 105 110 92 117 119 139 135 140 163 170 144 166 100 74 46 38 19	0 0 1 0 2 2 9 9 4 2 3 7 7 6 3 1 2 3 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0	1 0 4 11 14 29 255 27 22 26 25 28 27 19 38 300 19 38 300 19 14 10 9 5 6 6 3	0 0 2 2 2 1 5 3 3 1 3 7 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 4 0 3 1 3 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1 2 6 13 4 10 7 4 12 4 9 7 3 4 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 2 7 6 15 13 21 24 19 26 11 19 15 15 10 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						113 43 53 79 232 487 549 525 446 468 511 495 480 533 607 680 579 426 397 314 224 157 101
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	15 0.29 Vehicle	5 5569 % 65.3% es 90	2098 24.6% .0%	69 0.9%	372 4.4%	38 0.5%	16 0.1%	90 1.0% Trucks	213 2.4% & Bus	55 0.6% ses 9	0.0% 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8535 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:45 2	07:30 411	06:15 134	08:45 ( 13	06:45 32	08:15 ( 5	06:15 ( 4	)8:15 1 13	.0:00 ( 26	09:45 10					C	)7:30 573
PM Times PM Peaks	16:45 3	16:45 479	16:00 178	16:15 : 12	15:15 38	14:15 1 7	L3:15 1 1	L3:00 1 12	2:15 1 26	12:45 8					1	L6:30 703

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 5	rence: 0 00000070 SR 528, : WEST	070035 00352 East	23631 of SR 4	401						File: City: County	401.pr 7:	'n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22 5 8 8 15 63 124 145 124 145 124 145 139 144 153 166 159 143 131 120 85 73 51 34	0 0 1 0 3 2 2 9 3 4 5 2 8 5 9 4 2 1 0 1 1 1 0	3 3 2 1 1 3 8 20 27 27 20 19 25 40 30 31 22 23 18 20 18 12 27 3	0 0 0 1 2 2 2 5 6 4 2 3 2 1 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ 12 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	1 0 2 0 0 2 1 3 6 8 4 7 8 7 6 8 4 2 4 0 2 0 1	0 0 1 2 1 3 8 13 21 35 25 21 16 15 17 16 12 3 2 1 0	0 0 0 4 7 2 8 15 2 7 6 3 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0						100 50 46 45 64 186 449 465 599 542 504 510 619 646 616 618 497 315 255 174
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	17 0.2% Vehicle	6147 65.7% 8	2254 24.1% .8%	63 0.7%	402 4.3%	35 0.4%	59 0.6%	82 0.8% Trucks	255 2.7% & Bus	56 0.5% ses 1	0.0% 0.1%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9370 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	10:30 3	08:00 395	10:15 ( 145	08:15 ( 9	08:30 29	09:45 1 7	1:15 1 12	.0:00 0 8	9:15 1 35	10:15 15					C	)8:15 599
PM Times PM Peaks	13:00 2	16:30 480	16:45 1 173	12:45 1 9	13:15 40	12:30 1 4	.3:00 1 8	.2:45 1 13	2:15 1 21	2:15 7					1	6:45 697

Cita Dafa		070025	22621							Tiles	401	~				
Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 6	rence: 0 00000070 SR 528, : WEST	0352 East	23631 of SR 4	401						City: County	401.pr	n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 23:00		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 2 3 1 3 28 50 72 89 56 64 72 84 69 86 127 107 101 70 41 34 12	0 0 0 0 3 3 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 1 2 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 9 9 10 3 13 11 12 17 7 11 18 21 11 15 1 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 1 2 3 3 0 2 2 1 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							20 10 21 15 24 92 233 343 404 278 271 256 329 337 390 482 511 479 323 205 194 110 82
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS	9 9_0.2%	4033 5 73.9%	1200 22.0%	19 0.4%	163 3.0%	5 0.0%	0.0%	15 0.2%	20 0.3%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5464 100%
Passenger AM Times AM Peaks	Vehicle 10:30 2	es 95 08:15 303	.9% 08:00 ( 89	05:30 1 4	10:15 1 13	LO:15 2	1	Trucks 1:15 0 2	& Bus 9:45 3	es 4	.0%				C	08:15 404
PM Times PM Peaks	14:00	16:45 405	15:15 1 127	12:15 1 3	17:15 1 21	L3:15 2	1	4:45 1	4:30 3						1	.6:45 541

Site Reference: 007003523631 Site ID: 000000700352 Location: SR 528, East of SR 401 Direction: WEST Lane: 6								File: 401.prn City: County:									
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total	
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	)       29         )       11         )       14         )       10         )       20         )       28         )       273         2       268         )       232         2       229         2       268         )       376         2       268         )       376         2       209         2       209         2       132         )       214         3       209         150       110         0       63         34       34	7 1 2 4 2 9 54 67 94 93 90 94 97 101 113 152 97 72 28 23 23 17 9	0 1 0 0 2 2 0 0 1 2 2 0 0 1 2 2 3 2 1 3 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4 7 6 14 9 13 11 16 10 14 13 8 14 4 5 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 4 2 0 2 3 3 2 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 2 2 0 1 2 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 0							36 13 16 15 22 88 262 348 380 352 340 342 346 344 392 578 497 296 256 179 140 81 45	
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	14 0.3% Vehicle	4281 72.7% s 95	1338 22.8% .6%	25 0.4%	188 3.1%	6 0.1%	0 0.0%	25 0.4% Trucks	13 0.2% & Bus	0.0% es 4	0.0% .3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5890 100%	
AM Times AM Peaks	08:30 07:30 08:15 06:00 08:00 09:15 3 291 94 4 17 2							09:45 06:45 4 3							08:45 389		
PM Times PM Peaks	19:15 3	16:45 416	16:15 1 152	14:30 1 4	L4:45 1 22	2:15 1	1	5:00 1 4	2:45 2						1	.6:30 583	
## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 6	rence: 00 000000700 SR 528, : WEST	0700352 0352 East o	23631 of SR 4	101						File: City: County	401.pr :	'n				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 2 2 1 0 1 0	31 19 15 20 15 43 169 244 303 281 264 260 261 289 331 422 425 388 288 217 193 136 114 72	5 6 4 5 11 20 54 93 73 108 83 100 129 139 126 98 76 59 56 33 31 24	0 1 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 2 3 2 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 8 14 17 12 20 14 15 22 18 23 19 10 12 4 3 3 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			36 20 25 26 65 231 313 416 394 363 388 371 426 480 588 581 508 379 290 253 173 149 97
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	12 0.2% Vehicles	4800 72.8% s 95.	1478 22.5% .3%	27 0.4%	229 3.4%	7 0.1%	4 0.0%	20 0.3% Trucks	21 0.3% & Bus	0 0.0% ses 4	0 0.0% .6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6598 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:45 ( 1	08:30 309	11:15 0 108	)8:30 1 2	.0:15 ( 20	)7:45 0 1	9:00 0 2	9:30 0 2	9:15 3						C	9:00 423
PM Times PM Peaks	12:15 3	15:30 1 429	14:30 1 147	L2:45 1 6	.6:15 1 23	L2:45 3	1	3:15 1 6	4:00 4						1	5:45 597

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Page: 1

Site	Refe	rend	ce: 0	07003	5236	531	
Site	ID:	0000	0070	0352			
Locat	ion:	SR	528,	East	of	SR	401

File: 401.prn City: County:

TIME	1 EAST	2 EAST	3 EAST	4 WEST	5 WEST	6 WEST	Total	
01:00	9	52	27	8	42	20	158	
02:00	6	34	18	4	37	10	109	
03:00	4	31	10	4	24	21	94	
04:00	14	25	9	8	43	15	114	
05:00	8	40	20	9	70	24	171	
06:00	37	150	64	49	245	92	637	
07:00	73	327	215	123	468	233	1439	
08:00	95	494	429	149	525	343	2035	
09:00	131	505	420	137	525	404	2122	
10:00	117	495	328	110	402	278	1730	
11:00	106	453	293	64	419	271	1606	
12:00	167	540	341	92	446	256	1842	
13:00	147	512	337	117	489	329	1931	
14:00	88	492	272	86	509	337	1784	
15:00	131	499	363	70	484	390	1937	
16:00	182	609	425	49	567	482	2314	
17:00	168	669	490	35	637	511	2510	
18:00	139	677	473	30	571	479	2369	
19:00	90	494	318	19	438	323	1682	
20:00	41	308	200	15	364	205	1133	
21:00	33	222	137	14	288	194	888	
22:00	15	156	107	11	202	110	601	
23:00	20	122	75	11	134	82	444	
24:00	23	95	65	3	76	55	317	
	1044			1017				
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS	1844 6.2%	26.7%	5436 18.2%	1217 4.0%	8005 26.7%	5464 18.2%	29967 100%	
AM Times	11:00	08:00	07:45	08:00	06:45	08:15		
AM Peaks	168	540	455	155	556	404		
PM Times	15:15	17:00	17:00	12:30	16:45	16:45		
PM Peaks	182	698	512	123	669	541		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Reference: 007003523631 Site ID: 000000700352 Location: SR 528, East of SR 401

File: 401.prn City: County:

TIME	1 EAST	2 EAST	3 EAST	4 WEST	5 WEST	6 WEST	Total	
01:00	17	65	31	10	113	36	272	
02:00	7	39	24	3	43	13	129	
03:00	5	26	10	6	36	16	99	
04:00	10	17	16	7	53	15	118	
05:00	10	36	39	10	79	22	196	
06:00	43	143	70	58	232	88	634	
07:00	80	298	228	113	487	262	1468	
08:00	114	452	478	169	549	348	2110	
09:00	143	530	414	184	525	380	2176	
10:00	140	539	361	131	446	352	1969	
11:00	140	517	344	167	468	350	1986	
12:00	202	560	375	194	511	342	2184	
13:00	154	566	403	180	495	346	2144	
14:00	143	492	316	110	480	344	1885	
15:00	139	548	371	70	533	392	2053	
16:00	173	608	408	42	607	512	2350	
17:00	179	638	473	35	680	578	2583	
18:00	130	648	430	19	579	497	2303	
19:00	76	504	247	13	426	296	1562	
20:00	62	393	189	18	397	256	1315	
21:00	27	268	141	8	314	179	937	
22:00	9	178	79	9	224	140	639	
23:00	16	163	83	5	157	81	505	
24:00	17	104	45	5	101	45	317	
	2036		5575	1566	8535	 5890	31934	
PERCENTS	6.4%	26.1%	17.5%	4.9%	26.7%	18.4%	100%	
AM Times	11:15	08:45	07:45	11:15	07:30	08:45		
AM Peaks	202	568	511	194	573	389		
PM Times	15:30	16:00	16:30	12:15	16:30	16:30		
PM Peaks	181	670	494	180	703	583		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Reference: 007003523631 Site ID: 000000700352 Location: SR 528, East of SR 401

File: 401.prn City: County:

TIME	1 EAST	2 EAST	3 EAST	4 WEST	5 WEST	6 WEST	Total	
01:00	13	61	28	4	100	36	242	
02:00	7	50	18	7	50	26	158	
03:00	6	32	9	2	46	20	115	
04:00	8	36	9	11	45	25	134	
05:00	13	49	18	15	64	26	185	
06:00	33	168	54	56	186	65	562	
07:00	73	404	193	85	449	231	1435	
08:00	104	525	413	100	465	313	1920	
09:00	139	614	414	116	599	416	2298	
10:00	134	589	327	122	542	394	2108	
11:00	155	578	357	101	504	363	2058	
12:00	198	660	382	100	518	388	2246	
13:00	201	746	390	125	510	371	2343	
14:00	127	694	410	89	619	426	2365	
15:00	138	698	427	66	646	480	2455	
16:00	210	718	482	42	616	588	2656	
17:00	155	735	464	33	685	581	2653	
18:00	131	690	464	18	618	508	2429	
19:00	58	504	315	22	497	379	1775	
20:00	41	411	257	7	490	290	1496	
21:00	26	318	177	10	377	253	1161	
22:00	34	228	136	14	315	173	900	
23:00	20	220	103	6	255	149	753	
24:00	17	141	60	3	174	97	492	
DAY TOTAL	2041	9869	5907	1154	9370	 6598	34939	
PERCENTS	5.9%	28.3%	16.9%	3.3%	26.8%	18.8%	100%	
M Dimog	11.15	11.15	07.20	00.00	00.15	00.00		
AM TIMES	100	TT:T2	120	120	U8:10	09:00		
AM PEAKS	190	000	429	130	272	423		
PM Times	15:15	15:45	15:45	12:15	16:45	15:45		
PM Peaks	210	757	501	125	697	597		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 00000000005 File: NB.prn Site ID: 00000000005 City: Location: SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB County: Direction: NORTH Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ 

 DAY TOTAL
 0
 504
 261
 1
 11
 0
 0
 31
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 Passenger Vehicles 94.6% Trucks & Buses 5.3% 06:45 06:15 07:30 07:15 AM Times 04:00 06:30 AM Peaks 126 65 1 2 193 4 
 PM Times
 12:15
 12:30
 12:15
 13:00

 PM Peaks
 35
 17
 3
 5
12:15 55

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refer Site ID: 0 Location: Direction:	cence: 000 0000000000 SR 528 WI NORTH	0000000 005 B to SI	0005 R 401	NB						File: City: County	NB.prn					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 8 61 106 133 66 41 17 35 40 16 19 13 5 13 1 5 2 2 2	1 0 0 1 4 38 64 41 25 26 17 20 15 13 10 5 1 3 2 1 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			3 1 0 1 4 2 1 3 4 3 1 3 3 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		6 1 0 3 16 102 172 177 97 72 37 59 59 31 30 19 6 3 7 14 1 5 4 2
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	591 64.1% 3 95.2	288 31.3% 2%	1 0.1%	8 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	34 3.6% Trucks	1 0.1% & Bus	0 0.0% es 4	0 0.0% .7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	923 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0.	7:15 00 133	6:15 0 64	9:00 0 1	8:00 2		0	8:45 0 5	9:45 1						C	)6:30 189
PM Times PM Peaks	1:	2:15 12 40	2:15 15	1	2:15 1		1	2:15 3							1	2:15 59

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528 W : NORTH	000000 005 B to S	0005 R 401	NB						File: 1 City: County	NB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		1 0 1 2 8 44 101 93 54 27 22 19 29 18 13 4 5 2 3 6 3 3 5 1	0 0 3 35 64 42 27 10 8 19 15 11 5 3 5 1 2 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 3 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								1 0 2 3 12 79 165 138 81 39 32 41 46 30 19 7 10 3 5 7 3 4 6 1
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	464 63.3% 97.	253 34.4% 6%	0 0.0३	3 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 1.9% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 2	0.0% .3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	734 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	6:30 0 119	6:15 64	0	6:45 1		1	1:00 3							C	06:30 183
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:15 1 29	2:30 17				1	2:15 2							1	2:30 47

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 00000000005 File: NB.prn Site ID: 00000000005 City: Location: SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB County: Direction: NORTH Lane: 2 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ 

 DAY TOTAL
 3
 615
 440
 6
 38
 0
 0
 67
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 1169

 PERCENTS
 0.3%
 52.7%
 37.6%
 0.5%
 3.2%
 0.0%
 5.7%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 0.0%
 <t Passenger Vehicles 90.5% Trucks & Buses 9.4% AM Times 05:45 08:15 06:30 03:30 07:30 11:15 06:30 AM Peaks 2 71 65 1 7 131 6 
 PM Times
 12:15
 13:30
 12:15
 13:00

 PM Peaks
 75
 40
 1
 5
 8
12:15 126

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 000 0000000000 SR 528 WH : NORTH	000000 005 B to S	0005 R 401	NB						File: City: County	NB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 2 0 11 43 84 100 125 91 92 94 98 46 54 26 19 10 8 15 6 7 1 1	2 1 2 3 3 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 3 2 1 7 8 3 4 2 1 3 1 3 1	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 0 5 7 6 9 5 6 4 2 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 2 6 1 1 2 5 5 5 8 5 6 7 6 1 1 2 2 0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							5 3 6 9 15 72 153 171 192 152 166 159 183 105 95 52 28 20 14 22 8 11 4 2
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.2% Vehicles	936 56.9% 91.	 575 35.0% 8%	6 0.3%	54 3.2%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	71 4.3% Trucks	2 0.1% & Bus	0.0% es 8	0.0% .1%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1647 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:00 08 1	8:00 0 126	6:30 0 68	7:00 0 2	8:30 0 12	07:15 1	1	0:00 0	6:15 1						C	08:30 198
PM Times PM Peaks	12	2:15 1 98	2:15 1 73	9:15 1 1	2:15 6		1	.2:30 10							1	.2:15 183

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000000 SR 528 W : NORTH	0000000 005 B to SH	0005 R 401	NB						File: City: County	NB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 01 11 37 67 77 67 77 59 49 108 56 40 21 27 14 11 3 9 3 2	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 24 \\ 33 \\ 51 \\ 44 \\ 47 \\ 53 \\ 49 \\ 47 \\ 33 \\ 22 \\ 19 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$			1 1 0 2 0 0 4 3 2 4 3 6 10 6 2 3 1 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							1 3 0 6 18 65 107 137 122 135 121 111 170 99 68 44 38 20 24 15 9 11 8 4
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.1% Vehicles	754 56.5% 3 91.8	472 35.4% 8%	7 0.5%	50 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	51 3.8% Trucks	1 0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 8	0.0% .1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1336 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:00 0 1	7:45 10 88	0:00 0 60	9:30 1: 3	1:00 8		1	0:30 0 6	8:45 1						C	07:45 148
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:15 12 108	2:15 1 47	5:15 12 1	2:15 5		1	2:15 10							1	2:15 170

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Page: 1

Site	Refe	renc	e:	0000	000	0000	05		
Site	ID:	0000	000	0000	)5				
Locat	ion:	SR	528	WB	to	SR	401	NB	

File: NB.prn City: County:

TIME	1 NORTH	2 NORTH	Total
01:00	4	5	9
02:00	1	4	5
03:00	2	3	5
04:00	1	7	8
05:00	21	12	33
06:00	91	81	172
07:00	176	130	306
08:00	161	114	275
09:00	76	114	190
10:00	32	80	112
11:00	22	92	114
12:00	41	86	127
13:00	55	126	181
14:00	40	84	124
15:00	18	65	83
16:00	11	36	47
17:00	9	32	41
18:00	8	17	25
19:00	8	23	31
20:00	7	18	25
21:00	8	9	17
22:00	8	16	24
23:00	7	11	18
24:00	1	4	5
	 808	1169	1 977
PERCENTS	40.9%	59.1%	100%
AM Times	06:30	06:30	
AM Peaks	193	131	
PM Times	12:15	12:15	
PM Peaks	55	126	

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Reference: 000000000005 Site ID: 000000000005 Location: SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB

TIME	1 NORTH	2 NORTH	Total
01.00	6	5	11
02:00	1	3	
03:00	0	5	6
04.00	3	9	12
05:00	16	15	31
06:00	102	72	174
07:00	172	153	32.5
08:00	177	171	348
09:00	97	192	289
10:00	72	152	224
11:00	37	166	203
12:00	59	159	218
13:00	59	183	242
14:00	31	105	136
15:00	30	95	125
16:00	19	52	71
17:00	6	28	34
18:00	3	20	23
19:00	7	14	21
20:00	14	22	36
21:00	1	8	9
22:00	5	11	16
23:00	4	4	8
24:00	2	2	4
DAY TOTAL	923	1647	2570
PERCENTS	36.0%	64.0%	100%
AM Times	06:30	08:30	
AM Peaks	189	198	
PM Times	12:15	12:15	
PM Peaks	59	183	

File: NB.prn City: County:

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Reference: 000000000005 Site ID: 000000000005 Location: SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB

File: NB.prn City: County:

TIME	1	2	Total
	NORTH	NORTH	
01:00	1	1	2
02:00	0	3	3
03:00	2	0	2
04:00	3	6	9
05:00	12	18	30
06:00	79	65	144
07:00	165	107	272
08:00	138	137	275
09:00	81	122	203
10:00	39	135	174
11:00	32	121	153
12:00	41	111	152
13:00	46	170	216
14:00	30	99	129
15:00	19	68	87
16:00	7	44	51
17:00	10	38	48
18:00	3	20	23
19:00	5	24	29
20:00	7	15	22
21:00	3	9	12
22:00	4	11	15
23:00	6	8	14
24:00	1	4	5
 DAY TOTAL	734	1336	2070
PERCENTS	35.5%	64.5%	100%
AM Times	06:30	07:45	
AM Peaks	183	148	
PM Times	12:30	12:15	
PM Peaks	47	170	

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	cence: 0000 00000000000 Charles Ro : NORTH	000000 06 wland	006 Dr N	B (Sin	gle La	ne)		File: City: County	Lane). :	prn						
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 7 5 0 2 3 5 5 2 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0													0 2 0 1 7 5 1 2 5 6 8 5 2 3 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% 29 Vehicles	15 9.5% 7 100.	36 0.5% 0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0% Truck	0.0% 0.0% s & Bu	0.0% 1ses 0	0.0% 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	51 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	11:	:00 06 5	:15 7												1	.1:00 8
PM Times PM Peaks	12:	:15 12 3	:15 5												1	.2:15 8

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refe: Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 1	rence: 000 0000000000 Charles H : NORTH	000000 006 Rowland	0006 1 Dr NB	B (Sind	gle La	ne)				File: City: County	Lane). :	prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 4 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 25 26 19 19 20 10 13 9 7 4 7 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 15 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 11 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 4 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 2 1 5 4 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 2 3 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 9 34 51 31 33 31 24 34 22 15 13 14 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	6 2.0% 5 Vehicles	166 52.9% 2 82.8	88 28.1% 3%	11 3.6%	20 6.3%	4 1.2%	1 0.3%	5 1.5% Trucks	12 3.8% & Bus	0.0% es 1	0.0% 7.1%	0 0.0%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	314 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	05:00 05 4	5:45 06 31	5:15 11 15	1:15 1: 4	1:00 0 7	6:45 0 2	8:00 1 1	0:15 0 2	6:45 5			C	06:15 1		C	06:15 51
PM Times PM Peaks	12	2:45 12 12	2:30 13 9	3:00 1: 3	2:30 4			1	2:15 1						1	2:30 25

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: 0 Location: Direction: Lane: 1	ence: 0000 0000000000 Charles Ro NORTH	000000 06 owland	006 L Dr Ni	B (Sin	gle La	ne)		File: City: County	Lane). :	prn						
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 2 0 2 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										0 0 1 1 0 2 3 2 4 2 2 3 4 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 1 0
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0.0% 51 Vehicles	16 1.7% 4 96.7	14 5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1 3.2%	0.0%	0.0% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 3	0 0.0% .2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:	:45 07 3	:00 2		1	0:15 1									C	07:00 4
PM Times PM Peaks	12:	:15 12 2	:30 2												1	2:30 4

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 0000000000 Site ID: 00000000006 Location: Charles Rowland I	D6 Fil Cit Dr NB (Single Lane) Cou	e: Lane).prn y: nty:
TIME	1 NORTH	Total
01:00	0	0
02:00	0	0
03:00	2	2
04:00	0	0
05:00	U	0
06:00	1	1
07:00	7	7
08:00	5	5
09:00		
10:00	2	2
11:00	5	5
12:00	6	6
13:00	8	8
14:00	5	5
15:00	2	2
16:00	3	3
17:00	0	0
18:00	1	1
19:00	1	1
20:00	2	2
21:00	U	U
22:00	0	0
23:00	0	0
24:00	0	0
DAY TOTAL	51	51
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	11:00	
AM Peaks	8	
PM Times	12:15	
PM Peaks	8	

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Reference: 0000000000 Site ID: 00000000006 Location: Charles Rowland D	6 F C: r NB (Single Lane) Co	ile: Lane).prn ity: punty:
TIME	1 NORTH	Total
01.00		<u>^</u>
01:00	0	0
02:00	U	U
03:00	U	0
04:00	0	0
05.00	31	34
07.00	51	51
07.00	31	31
09.00	33	33
10.00	31	31
11:00	24	24
12:00	34	34
13:00	22	22
14:00	15	15
15:00	13	13
16:00	14	14
17:00	0	0
18:00	1	1
19:00	0	0
20:00	2	2
21:00	0	0
22:00	0	0
23:00	0	0
24:00	0	0
 DAY TOTAL	314	314
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	06:15	
AM Peaks	51	
PM Times	12:30	
PM Peaks	25	

# Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Reference: 00000000006 Site ID: 00000000006 Location: Charles Rowland Dr	NB (Single Lane)	File: Lane).prn City: County:
TIME	1 NORTH	Total
01:00	0	0
02:00	0	0
03:00	1	1
04:00	1	1
05:00	1	1
06:00	0	0
07:00	2	2
08:00	3	3
09:00	2	2
10:00	4	4
11:00	2	2
12:00	2	2
13:00	3	3
14:00	4	4
15:00	U	0
16:00		
1/:00		
18:00	2	2
19:00	0	0
20:00	U	0
21:00		
22:00	U	0
23:00		
24:00	0	0
 DAY TOTAL	31	31
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	07.00	
AM Peaks	4	
PM Times	12:30	
PM Peaks	4	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 00000000000 File: out).prn Site ID: 00000000006 City: Location: Charles Rowland NB (X2 lane In & out) County: Direction: NORTH Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ 

 DAY TOTAL
 0
 53
 27
 0
 5
 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 <th Passenger Vehicles 93.0% Trucks & Buses 6.9% 05:45 05:00 13 8 07:15 07:30 2 1 AM Times 05:30 AM Peaks 21 
 PM Times
 12:45
 14:00
 15:45

 PM Peaks
 4
 6
 1
14:00 10

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refer Site ID: C Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 000 0000000000 Charles H : NORTH	0000000 006 Rowland	006 Inb (2	K2 lane	e In &	out)				File: City: County	out).p	rn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 0 7 3 1 17 19 21 21 18 9 3 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3 4 6 7 7 6 8 8 3 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							0 0 0 8 7 6 26 30 30 27 26 19 6 3 1 0 1 0 0 0 0
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	124 64.3% 2 92.7	55 8.5%	2 1.1%	4 2.1%	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	2 1.0% Trucks	2 1.0% & Bus	0.0% es 7	0.0% .2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	193 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08	8:45 10 21	:00 05 12	5:45 08 1	8:30 0 2	7:30 0 1	9:30 0 2	7:30 0 1	7:15 1						C	9:45 35
PM Times PM Peaks	12	2:15 12 18	:45 12 11	2:45 13 1	3:15 1										1	.2 <b>:</b> 45 30

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: C Location: Direction: Lane: 1	cence: 0000 00000000000 Charles Ro : NORTH	000000 06 owland	0006 1 NB (1	X2 lane	e In &	out)				File: c City: County	out).p	rn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 2 9 4 4 5 10 10 8 8 9 4 1 3 0 0 1 1 0 1 0	0 0 2 1 13 7 5 15 19 14 10 10 7 2 5 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 3 1 0 1 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 1 6 3 1 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 2 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							0 0 2 3 27 16 14 29 34 28 22 20 17 12 6 4 2 3 1 1 0 1 1
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% 33 Vehicles	80 3.0% 4 79.8	114 17.0% 3%	12 4.9%	23 9.4%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	3 1.2% Trucks	9 3.7% & Bus	0 0.0% es 20	0 0.0% 0.1%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	243 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	09	:30 09 13	9:00 0 23	6:00 08 3	3:15 1 6	0:00 1	1	0:30 0 2	6:30 2						C	9:00 37
PM Times PM Peaks	13	:15 12 9	2:15 1 10	3:45 14 3	1:15 1 2	3:45 1	1	2:15 1 1	3:30 1						1	2:15 20

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Refer Site ID: 0 Location: Direction: Lane: 2	cence: 000 0000000000 Charles F NORTH	0000000 006 Rowlanc	0006 1 NB (1	X2 lan	e In &	out)				File: City: County	out).p	orn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 2 6 23 21 1 9 22 10 8 17 9 8 4 3 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 14 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											0 0 1 5 9 38 36 9 37 35 21 14 24 24 18 7 5 4 5 0 0 0 0 0 0
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% 5 Vehicles	160 54.8% 4 95.5	119 40.8% 5%	2 0.7%	9 3.1%	2 0.6%	0.0%	0 0.0% Trucks	0 0.0% & Bus	0 0.0% es 4	0 0.0% .4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	292 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	05	26 26	3:30 0 21	7:30 0 1	5:45 0 3	5:15 2									C	)8:45 45
PM Times PM Peaks	12	2:15 13 17	3:15 1 14	3:15 1 1	3:30 1										1	.3:30 27

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000000 Charles : NORTH	0000000 0006 Rowlan	0006 d NB (	X2 lar	ne In &	a out)				File: City: County	out).p	rn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 23 10 11 32 16 54 37 30 27 9 9 4 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 6 11 15 19 19 17 22 21 18 7 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 30 \\ 22 \\ 28 \\ 52 \\ 37 \\ 74 \\ 61 \\ 53 \\ 46 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.5% Vehicles	266 59.8% 96.	161 36.2% 4%	4 0.9%	7 1.5%	5 1.1%	0.0%	0 0.0% Trucks	0.0% 6.0%	0.0% es 3	0.0% .5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	445 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:30 1 1	.0:15 1 54	1:00 0 26	7:30 1 2	L0:30 ( 2	04:00 1									1	0:15 74
PM Times PM Peaks	1	.2:45 1 36	2:15 1 21	2:45 1 1	L2:15 2										1	2:45 54

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 000 0000000000 Charles H : NORTH	0000000 006 Rowland	0006 d NB (1	X2 lane	In &	out)				File: City: County	out).p	rn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 15:00 16:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 10 40 30 63 67 69 64 28 29 8 3 2 0 3 4 0 1 0	0 0 2 6 17 9 9 32 31 45 29 26 18 11 7 3 2 3 0 2 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 4 2 2 1 2 0 2 0 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 1 3 2 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 3\\ 16\\ 57\\ 44\\ 46\\ 73\\ 103\\ 117\\ 105\\ 95\\ 54\\ 44\\ 16\\ 6\\ 4\\ 4\\ 3\\ 6\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	8 1.1% 5 Vehicles	470 58.9% 92.	262 32.9% 7%	17 2.2%	22 2.7%	3 0.3%	0 0.0%	11 1.3% Trucks	5 0.6% & Bus	0.0% es 7	0 0.0% .2%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	798 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:00 09 2	9:45 10 71	0:30 0 <sup>°</sup> 47	7:00 09 5	:00 0 5	7:30 1	0	8:30 0 4	6:00 1						1	.0:30 123
PM Times PM Peaks	13:30 12 3	2:15 12 64	2:15 13 26	3:00 12 2	:15 1 3	3:30 2	1	2:15 2							1	2:15 95

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 0000 Site ID: 0000000000 Location: Charles Ro	000000006 06 owland NB (X2 lane In & out)	File: ou City: County:	t).prn
TIME	1 NORTH	2 NORTH	Total
01.00	0	0	0
02:00	0	0	0
02:00	0	1	1
03:00	1	1 5	1 G
04:00		3	13
05.00	19	38	57
00.00	1.5	36	15
08.00	5	30	45
08.00	5	37	
10.00	7	35	12
11.00	3	21	42
12.00	3	21	17
13.00	5	24	20
14.00	5	24	29
15.00	т Q	18	20
16.00	3	10	10
17.00	3	5	8
18.00	1	3	5
19.00	З Т	т 5	8
20.00	0	0	0
20.00	0	0	0
22.00	0	0	0
22.00	0	0	0
24:00	0	0	0
DAY TOTAL	86	292	378
PERCENTS	22.8%	77.2%	100%
AM Times	05:30	08:45	
AM Peaks	21	45	
PM Times	14:00	13:30	
PM Peaks	10	27	

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

File: out).prn

City: County:

	Thu
Site Reference: 00000000006 Site ID: 00000000006	
Location: Charles Rowland NB (X2 lane In & or	ut)

TIME	1 NORTH	2 North	Total	
01:00	0	0	0	
02:00	0	0	0	
03:00	0	0	0	
04:00	0	0	0	
05:00	0	2	2	
06:00	8	30	38	
07:00	7	22	29	
08:00	6	28	34	
09:00	26	52	78	
10:00	30	37	67	
11:00	30	74	104	
12:00	27	61	88	
13:00	26	53	79	
14:00	19	46	65	
15:00	6	16	22	
16:00	3	15	18	
17:00	3	5	8	
18:00	1	2	3	
19:00	0	1	1	
20:00	1	1	2	
21:00	0	0	0	
22:00	0	0	0	
23:00	0	0	0	
24:00	0	0	0	
 DAY TOTAL	193	 445	638	
PERCENTS	30.3%	69.7%	100%	
AM Times	09:45	10:15		
AM Peaks	35	74		
PM Times	12:45	12:45		
PM Peaks	30	54		

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Reference: 0000 Site ID: 0000000000 Location: Charles Ro	000000006 06 owland NB (X2 lane In & out)	File: ou City: County:	t).prn	
TIME	1 NORTH	2 North	Total	
01:00	0	0	0	
02:00	õ	õ	Ő	
03:00	0	0	0	
04:00	2	3	5	
05:00	- 3	16	19	
06:00	27	57	84	
07:00	16	44	60	
08:00	14	4 6	60	
09:00	2.9	73	102	
10:00	34	103	137	
11:00	2.8	117	145	
12:00	2.2	105	127	
13:00	20	95	11.5	
14:00	17	54	71	
15:00	12	44	56	
16:00	 6	16	22	
17:00	4	- °	10	
18:00	2	4	6	
19:00	3	4	7	
20:00	1	3	4	
21:00	1	e e	7	
22:00	-	Ũ	0	
23.00	1	ĩ	2	
24:00	1	1	2	
DAY TOTAL	243	798	1041	
PERCENTS	23.4%	76.6%	100%	
AM Times	09:00	10:30		
AM Peaks	37	123		
PM Times	12:15	12:15		
PM Peaks	20	95		

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 Charles : SOUTH	000000 007 Rowlan	00007 Id Dr S	B (Ram	p)					File: City: County	(Ramp) :	.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 25 184 164 37 22 28 19 15 30 15 7 7 7 7 7 3 1 3 3 0	0 1 2 0 0 2 5 10 29 23 16 10 9 19 14 13 5 2 2 13 2 2 6 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 1 3 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					0 1 3 1 0 5 9 9 6 216 188 55 32 38 32 44 20 9 32 5 4 10 3 1
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.2% Vehicles	638 75.8% 97.	183 21.7% 6%	1 0.1%	17 2.0%	0.0%	0 0.08	1 0.1% Trucks	0 0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 2	1 0.1% .3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	842 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	8:00 0 190	8:30 34	0	6:00 3					0	9:15 1				C	08:15 216
PM Times PM Peaks	12:15 1 1	5:15 1 30	.3:00 1 19	3:45 1 1	4:30 3		1	L7:45 1							1	5:15 44

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 Charles : SOUTH	000000 007 Rowlan	0007 d Dr S	B (Ram	p)					File: City: County	(Ramp) :	.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 0 3 2 3 9 2 0 9 2 0 9 2 0 9 2 0 9 2 0 9 2 4 7 85 83 63 44 105 114 66 11 7 1 0 1 0 0	1 0 2 1 2 4 16 71 67 49 34 38 28 33 26 16 0 1 2 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 7 13 7 11 7 2 2 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 1 8 11 9 7 5 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							1 1 0 2 1 5 7 301 342 153 139 116 82 141 141 85 12 8 3 1 1 1 1
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.2% Vehicles	1080 67.5% 92.	 395 24.7% 2%	22 1.4%	54 3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	44 2.7% Trucks	4 0.2% & Bus	0.0% es 7	 0 0.0% .7%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1601 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	9:00 0 265	8:15 0 71	8:15 0 5	9:15 13		0	8:30 0 14	9:30 2						C	9:00 355
PM Times PM Peaks	15:45 1 2	4:45 1 140	2:15 1 38	3:15 1 5	2:15 7		1	2:15 5							1	4:45 175

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction Lane: 1	rence: 00 000000000 Charles : : SOUTH	0000000 007 Rowland	0007 d Dr S	B (Ram	p)					File: City: County	(Ramp)	.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 3\\ 4\\ 32\\ 116\\ 164\\ 67\\ 40\\ 35\\ 29\\ 34\\ 57\\ 110\\ 30\\ 7\\ 8\\ 4\\ 1\\ 2\\ 0\end{array}$	0 0 0 3 0 8 14 35 36 27 16 14 12 17 22 3 6 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 3 1 1 5 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 7 7 6 2 3 4 4 2 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3 3 3 5 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 4\\ 3\\ 14\\ 47\\ 165\\ 222\\ 112\\ 76\\ 63\\ 48\\ 50\\ 82\\ 133\\ 33\\ 11\\ 15\\ 5\\ 3\\ 3\\ 0\\ \end{array}$
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	744 68.4% 92.5	264 24.3% 5%	27 2.5%	33 3.0%	2 0.1%	0 0.08	19 1.7% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0 0.0% es 7	0.0% .4%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1089 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	9:15 0: 164	9:30 0 48	8:45 0 7	8:30 8		1	0:00 5							C	9:15 222
PM Times PM Peaks	1	6:15 1 110	6:15 1 22	4:45 1 6	3:00 1 5	L4:30 1	1	2:15 5							1	.6 <b>:</b> 15 133

# Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 0000000000 Site ID: 00000000007 Location: Charles Rowland I	)7 Fi Ci Dr SB (Ramp) Co	le: (Ramp).prn ty: unty:
TIME	1 SOUTH	Total
01:00	0	0
02:00	1	1
03:00	3	3
04:00	1	1
05:00	0	0
06:00	5	5
07:00	9	9
08:00	96	96
09:00	216	216
10:00	188	188
11:00	55	55
12:00	32	32
13:00	38	38
14:00	38	38
15:00	32	32
16:00	44	44
17:00	20	20
18:00	9	9
19:00	32	32
20:00	5	5
21:00	4	4
22:00	10	10
23:00	3	3
24:00	I	I
DAY TOTAL	842	842
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	08:15	
AM Peaks	216	
PM Times	15:15	
IN ICANS	44	

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Reference: 0000000000 Site ID: 000000000007 Location: Charles Rowland E	)7 Dr SB (Ramp)	File: (Ramp).prn City: County:
TIME	1 SOUTH	Total
01.00	1	1
02:00	1	1
03.00	0	0
04:00	2	2
05:00	1	1
06:00	- 5	5
07:00	7	7
08:00	57	57
09:00	301	301
10:00	342	342
11:00	153	153
12:00	139	139
13:00	116	116
14:00	82	82
15:00	141	141
16:00	141	141
17:00	85	85
18:00	12	12
19:00	8	8
20:00	3	3
21:00	1	1
22:00	1	1
23:00	1	1
24:00	1	1
 DAY TOTAL	1601	1601
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS	1601 100.0%	1601 100%

09:00

14:45 175

355

AM Times

AM Peaks

PM Times PM Peaks

# Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Reference: 00000000007 Site ID: 00000000007 Location: Charles Rowland Dr SB (Ramp)		File: (Ramp).pr City: County:	1
TIME	1 2011/JUL		Total

	SOUTH	
01:00	0	0
02:00	0	0
03:00	0	0
04:00	0	0
05:00	4	4
06:00	3	3
07:00	14	14
08:00	47	47
09:00	165	165
10:00	222	222
11:00	112	112
12:00	76	76
13:00	63	63
14:00	48	48
15:00	50	50
16:00	82	82
17:00	133	133
18:00	33	33
19:00	11	11
20:00	15	15
21:00	5	5
22:00	3	3
23:00	3	3
24:00	0	0
 DAY TOTAL	1089	1089
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	09:15	
AM Peaks	222	
PM Times	16:15	
PM Peaks	133	

### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	ference: 00000000008 : 0000000008 n: SR 401, North of Charles Rowland Dr on: NORTH									File: Rowland.prn City: County:						
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 5 2 11 67 125 149 78 47 25 21 45 23 23 15 2 6 6 9 4 6 2 4	4 0 12 3 12 229 228 164 99 64 93 111 70 52 49 13 11 7 5 5	0 0 0 1 0 0 2 4 5 4 2 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4 11 30 28 30 21 22 12 21 19 8 8 8 3 2 4 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 9 11 13 14 33 37 34 26 34 29 27 19 16 11 10 14 12 9 7 9 8								20 10 29 18 41 236 421 441 300 205 145 159 206 133 100 83 38 55 41 38 26 21 16 18
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	1 0.1% Vehicles	678 24.3% 75.	1425 50.9% 1%	24 0.9%	234 8.3%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	436 15.5% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 2	 0 0.0% 4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2800 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	05:15 0 1	7:00 0 155	6:30 1 257	0:00 0 5	6:45 0 40	7:00 0 1	6:45 ( 1	07:00 38							C	06:45 484
PM Times PM Peaks	1:	2:30 1 47	2:15 1 111	2:45 1 3	2:15 21		1	2:30 28							1	2:15 206

## Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Reference: 00000000008 Site ID: 00000000008 Location: SR 401, North of Charles Rowland Dr Direction: NORTH Lane: 1										File: Rowland.prn City: County:						
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 4 4 7 50 95 127 83 51 58 79 65 366 11 12 6 7 3 6 3 1	3 0 11 3 17 126 250 272 198 147 163 160 165 91 72 40 23 21 17 7 10 8 3 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 3 7 6 4 4 6 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 12\\ 38\\ 40\\ 23\\ 20\\ 26\\ 17\\ 9\\ 5\\ 5\\ 2\\ 0\\ 3\\ 3\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	9 13 11 15 21 34 28 35 25 36 27 20 26 14 12 18 12 13 6 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					14 13 26 22 51 222 414 473 335 268 276 300 290 165 134 72 52 48 41 29 20 12 14
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	3 0.1% Vehicle	742 22.4% s 77	1814 54.7% .0%	36 1.1%	259 7.9%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	461 13.8% Trucks	3 0.0% & Bus	0 0.0% ses 2	1 0.0% 2.9%	0 0.08	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3320 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	07:00 07:15 06:45 08:30 06:45 1 127 293 7 48				06:30 11:15 09:30 1 36 1				11:15 1				06:45 482			
PM Times PM Peaks	22:30 1	12:15 65	12:15 1 165	L2:15 1 6	12:30 28		1	13:45 1 30	2:15 1						1	2:15 290
#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 000 0000000000 SR 401, N : NORTH	00000 08 Jorth	0008 of Cha	rles R	owland	Dr				File: H City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		2 1 3 4 7 35 84 79 53 25 27 16 37 31 16 9 5 8 12 5 3 4 2 0	2 4 7 18 99 215 200 112 80 88 72 74 81 49 34 12 24 9 9 2 11 3 5	0 0 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 0 1 2 3 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 15 28 19 22 13 17 11 17 9 7 4 2 6 1 0 0 0 1			8 10 8 13 14 31 26 29 33 30 17 18 33 23 12 7 16 8 12 9 17 8 7 8								12 15 18 24 46 180 354 329 221 150 154 118 161 145 86 46 34 23 23 23 12 14
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0.0% 2 Vehicles	468 0.6% 73.	1217 53.3% 8%	21 0.9%	178 7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	397 17.4% Trucks	0.0% & Bus	0.0% es 20	 0 0.0% 6.1%	0.0%	0 0.0३	0.0%	0.0%	2281 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06	30 0 96	6:45 0 241	9:30 0 5	6:30 31		0	8:45 38							C	06:30 391
PM Times PM Peaks	12	:15 1 37	2:45 1 87	5:45 1 4	2:15 17		1	2:15 33							1	.2 <b>:</b> 15 161

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: O Location: Direction: Lane: 2	cence: 00 000000000 SR 401, : NORTH	000000 008 North	0008 of Cha	rles R	owland	Dr				File: 1 City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		1 0 2 4 11 82 175 212 114 43 21 35 41 26 23 21 5 22 21 5 6 6 6 4 0	0 0 1 3 19 110 257 158 38 21 16 20 21 16 16 40 2 3 2 4 2 4 2 4	0 0 0 0 3 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 9 7 3 0 1 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 1 1 0 0 1 4 0 2 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 5 10 3 5 4 2 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 4 8 30 209 456 381 203 87 47 57 65 53 39 37 9 22 9 9 10 11 7 4
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	855 48.7% 94.	805 45.8% 3%	6 0.4%	24 1.4%	0 0.0३	0 0.0%	18 1.0% Trucks	2 0.1% & Bus	0.0% es 5	35 1.9% .6%	10 0.5%	4 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1759 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	7:15 0 212	6:30 0 267	6:45 0 4	6:00 11		08	8:15 0 4	6:15 1	0	6:15 0 10	6:45 0 4	4:45 1		C	6:30 476
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:15 1 41	2:45 22	1	3:15 3		13	3:00 1: 2	2:15 1	1:	2:15 1 1	2:15 1 1	2:15 1		1	2:15 65

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000000 SR 401, : NORTH	000000 008 North	00008 of Cha	rles R	owland	l Dr				File: H City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		2 0 6 3 16 123 207 229 118 52 37 33 51 32 19 14 4 5 4 1 7 3 3 1 0	0 0 1 3 17 92 191 146 62 31 7 36 20 13 12 10 3 6 2 3 1 7 1 4	0 0 0 2 0 3 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 1 0 0 1 1 4 4 4 0 2 3 3 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 4 1 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 6 9 2 4 4 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 3 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2 0 7 33 224 413 400 187 93 54 75 80 50 38 25 8 11 7 5 9 10 2 4
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	967 55.5% 93.	668 38.4% .7%	9 0.6%	12 0.7%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	23 1.3% Frucks	10 0.5% & Bus	0 0.0% es 6	36 2.0% .2%	9 0.5%	9 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1744 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	6:45 C 247	06:30 0 200	7:15 0 3	6:00 0 4	06:00 1	08	3:30 0 5	7:15 4	0 (	6:45 0 12	7:00 0 5	6:30 4		C	)6:45 469
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:15 1 51	12:15 1 20	4:00 1 2	2:15 2		13	3:00 1: 3	2:15 2	13	3:15 1 1	2:15 1 1	2:15 2		1	2:15 80

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 2	rence: 00 000000000 SR 401, : NORTH	000000 008 North	00008 of Cha	rles R	owland	l Dr				File: 1 City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		0 0 7 4 11 66 166 137 68 21 32 32 37 15 16 8 4 1 6 7 6 2 2 2	1 0 0 11 77 138 86 52 20 17 6 15 9 15 9 15 9 5 4 3 3 6 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 2 7 1 1 1 1 1 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0			0 1 0 2 0 0 0 3 3 4 3 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 4 9 7 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 1 7 4 26 148 318 237 128 57 54 43 48 49 31 14 8 4 9 12 13 5 4
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0 0.0% Vehicles	679 54.3% 92.	483 38.6% .8%	5 0.4%	25 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	23 1.9% Trucks	5 0.4% & Bus	0 0.0% es 7	26 2.1% .1%	5 0.3%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1252 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	0	6:30 C 197	06:15 0 138	7:45 0 3	9:30 8		0.9	9:30 0 7	7:15 3	0	6:30 0 12	6:45 3			C	06:30 336
PM Times PM Peaks	1	2:45 1 40	14:30 17	1	5:15 4		15	5:30 2		1:	2:45 1	1	5:15 1		1	3:15 49

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 3	rence: ( 00000000 SR 401, : SOUTH	0000000 00008 North	00008 . of Cha	arles 1	Rowland	d Dr				File: City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		) 6 ) 9 ) 15 ) 14 ) 3 ) 9 ) 20 ) 20 ) 27 123 33 ) 58 ) 56 ) 122 ) 266 2 278 189 ) 21 ) 91 ) 43 ) 20 ) 91 ) 31 ) 31 ) 31 ) 31 ) 31 ) 33 ) 58 ) 56 ) 122 ) 266 2 38 189 ) 31 ) 31 ] 31 ] 32 ] 33 ] 36 ] 38 ] 39 ] 38 ] 39 ] 38 ] 39 ] 38 ] 39 ] 38 ] 39 ] 38 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 39 ] 38 ] 38 ] 38 ] 38 ] 38 ] 38 ] 38 ] 39 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 31 ] 39 ] 31 ] 31	2 0 3 4 0 12 8 20 18 19 30 60 36 38 82 187 169 89 50 21 8 9 50 21 8 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0 0 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 4 6 1 3 0 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 3 4 0 3 6 9 9 16 13 10 11 5 5 8 9 6 4 5 6 4 3 1 2	3 10 3 11 9 15 15 20 15 25 29 26 16 19 24 15 15 18 4 4 8 8 6 3							12 22 25 29 15 42 53 70 81 89 108 166 125 122 242 478 474 303 151 75 40 21 44 36
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	0.2% Vehicle	4 1417 50.2% es 81	875 31.0% .3%	4 0.2%	25 0.9%	33 1.2%	1 0.0%	143 5.0% Trucks	321 11.3% & Bus	0 0.0% ses 1	0.0% 8.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2823 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:45 1	11:15 63	11:15 ( 60	08:30 3	09:00 ( 6	09:45 6	C	8:15 C 16	9:45 30						1	1:15 166
PM Times PM Peaks	15:30 2	15:45 298	15:45 1 192	18:45 1	12:30 : 5	12:15 1 6	4:15 1 1	5:45 1 10	4:45 25						1	5:45 518

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 3	rence: ( 00000000 SR 401, : SOUTH	0000000 00008 North	00008 of Ch	arles	Rowlan	d Dr				File: 1 City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		)       8         )       16         )       13         )       16         )       15         )       30         )       15         )       30         )       53         )       54         )       54         )       54         )       54         )       53         )       76         2       265         )       153         )       42         )       42         )       13         )       12         )       12         )       12         )       33         )       25	5 5 3 2 0 8 5 18 26 4 47 52 68 153 175 51 15 51 15 11 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 5 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0		1 4 1 5 5 10 7 9 9 9 5 11 6 4 5 3 4 3 2 6 2	4 2 8 7 10 19 17 20 31 27 32 23 24 22 19 12 11 8 13 5 6 3 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						18 15 28 21 25 48 49 79 90 89 129 188 141 154 225 442 460 250 152 74 27 21 53 42
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	ि 0.3१ Vehicle	5 1415 50.2% es 80	855 30.4% .7%	5 0.2%	29 1.1%	35 1.2%	0 0.0%	138 4.8% Trucks	335 11.8% & Bus	2 0.0% es 1	0.0% 9.2%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2820 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	06:30 1	11:00 76	11:15 64	09:30 1	09:00 4	08:00 7	0	8:30 10 12	0:45 0 34	9:30 1					1	1:15 188
PM Times PM Peaks	15:45 3	15:45 280	15:45 188	12:15 1	13:45 5	14:30 5	1	4:15 13 11	3:00 1 25	6:45 1					1	5:45 495

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: O Location: Direction: Lane: 3	cence: 0 00000000 SR 401, : SOUTH	0000000 0008 North	00008 of Cha	rles R	owland	d Dr				File: City: County	Rowlan :	d.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 8 21 6 11 17 19 36 30 81 90 70 131 241 164 107 52 37 9 14 25 21	1 4 2 4 0 2 9 15 23 28 44 39 76 49 78 181 72 49 28 7 4 3 10 4	0 0 3 1 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 3 2 1 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 2 0 3 1 2 4 3 3 1 2 4 3 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 4 3 4 1 6 7 11 12 13 8 9 8 9 2 6 2 4 7 1 4 5 2	1 2 5 2 7 10 10 13 18 20 22 15 20 16 14 12 7 14 7 4 3 8 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						10 18 34 17 14 29 46 63 74 105 123 154 197 157 239 444 258 167 98 58 18 24 49 32
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	2 0.1% Vehicle	1219 50.3% s 80.	732 30.2% .4%	13 0.6%	21 0.9%	21 0.9%	12 0.4%	143 5.8% Trucks	264 10.8% & Bus	1 0.0% es 1	 0 0.0% 9.5%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2428 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	08:15 1	11:15 1 81	L0:30 0 53	2:00 0 3	9:15 ( 4	09:00 0 3	7:00 1 3	0:00 1 15	0:00 31						1	1:15 154
PM Times PM Peaks	15:00 1	15:00 1 247	15:15 1 181	3:00 1	2:30 1 3	L2:15 1 4	2:15 1 1	3:30 1 10	3:30 1 24	3:15 1					1	5:00 455

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: ( 00000000 SR 401, : SOUTH	0000000 00008 North	00008 of Ch	arles	Rowlan	d Dr				File: City: Count	Rowla	nd.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00		) 5 L 7 L 7 ) 3 ) 1 1 L 10 16 ) 16 ) 17 L 25 0 61 2 53 L 23 0 61 1 7 1 7 0 25 0 61 1 7 0 25 0 61 1 7 1 8 0 16 0 17 1 7 0 25 0 61 1 7 1 8 0 16 0 17 1 7 0 25 0 61 1 7 1 8 0 16 0 16 0 17 1 7 1 7 0 25 0 61 1 7 1 2 1 3 4 0 16 0 16 0 17 1 7 1 2 1 3 1 3 4 0 16 0 16 0 17 1 4 1 3 1 4 0 16 0 17 1 4 1 4 0 16 0 17 1 4 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 1 5 1 1 9 2 6 20 1 8 29 4 8 4 5 20 4 8 0 9 3 52 20 4 4 22 20 4 4 2 20 20 1 8 29 4 8 45 26 40 9 3 52 20 20 1 8 20 20 1 8 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		0 0 1 1 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 3 3 4 4 0 6 3 7 7 2 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0	2 3 0 6 2 8 4 5 1 4 4 3 2 6 7 7 3 3 5 0 1 1 1 2 1	C 1 1 2 2 0 2 2 8 2 0 3 3 5 5 0 0 3 3 5 5 0 0 4 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 3 3 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 2 2 2 2		0       0         0       0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 1			7 18 11 15 5 26 52 55 51 69 123 114 67 132 240 246 154 62 35 20 12 24 28
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	11 0.7% Vehicle	L 770 48.8% es 84	552 35.0% .3%	8 0.6%	36 2.3%	23 1.5%	9.68	74 4.6% Truck	53 3.3% s & Bu	19 1.28 Ises	 6 6 15.6%	9.5%	11 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1581 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	01:30 2	11:15 61	11:15 48	01:15 1	10:45 5	08:15 5	10:15 2	05:30 10	08:15 8	09:30 6	10:45 1	07:15 1	10:15 2		1	1:15 123
PM Times PM Peaks	12:30 3	15:45 149	16:15 93	13:15 2	14:45 7	14:45 5	14:45 2	14:30 9	15:30 11	14:30 3	16:15 3	15:45 4	15:30 3		1	.5:45 259

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: 0 00000000 SR 401, : SOUTH	000000 0008 North	00008 of Cha	arles	Rowland	d Dr				File: City: County	Rowlar y:	nd.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 2 13 2 2 4 6 17 14 16 28 48 48 48 48 48 58 62 113 108 60 41 14 5 20 7	4 2 3 3 3 10 11 19 23 34 52 42 49 48 101 119 47 21 11 14 6 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 2 2 0 1 3 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 4 4 4 5 3 6 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 0	0 3 1 0 2 3 3 1 3 3 4 3 6 0 5 5 5 4 4 3 0 0 1 1 1 2 1 3 0	0 0 2 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 1 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0			11 8 21 6 11 13 23 39 46 53 78 114 103 122 125 226 244 137 86 57 25 11 32 10
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	12 0.8% Vehicle	771 48.2% s 87	618 38.7% .5%	2 0.28	36 2.3%	22 1.4%	3 0.2%	52 3.2% Trucks	52 3.2% & Bu	14 0.8% ses	5 0.3% 12.4%	6 0.3%	8 0.4%	0 0.0%	0.0%	1601 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	10:00 2	11:15 48	11:15 ( 52	07:00 1	09:45 5	04:30 ( 1	06:30 1 1	10:45 ( 9	)7:45 7	02:15 2	C	8:15 1 1	1:15 2		1	1:15 114
PM Times PM Peaks	15:45 4	15:45 115	16:00 2 135	20:15 1	13:30 6	16:30 : 7	15:30 1 1	18:00 1 5	L2:30 6	12:15 2 2	15:30 1 2	.5:30 1 2	.3:00 2		1	5:45 262

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 4	rence: 0 00000000 SR 401, : SOUTH	0000000 00008 North	000008 1 of Ch	arles	Rowlan	d Dr				File: City: Count	Rowlar Zy:	nd.prn				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$     \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       2         2       2         0       2         0       2         0       3         0       1         0       2         0       1         0       1         0       1         0       0         0       1         0       0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 1 1 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0	1 1 2 1 4 4 1 6 4 7 6 0 0 4 4 3 4 4 2 6 6 1 1 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         1       1         1       1         1       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0			9 5 18 8 11 4 29 40 54 62 84 120 133 86 141 220 125 84 56 40 18 38 36 23
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	१३ १.०१ Vehicle	3 701 8 48.6% es 86	532 536.9% 5.2%	4 0.3	4 35 5 2.5%	12 0.9%	 8 0.5%	69 4.7% Truck	39 2.7% s & Bu	12 0.8% ses	2 4 5 0.2% 13.7%	7 0.4%	8 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1444 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	09:00 3	11:15 49	11:15 56	08:45 1	08:15 5	09:00 2	09:30 2	08:30 8	10:30 5	04:00 1	09:45 ( 1	)9:15 ( 3	03:15 1		1	11:15 120
PM Times PM Peaks	13:15 3	15:00 117	15:00 99	14:15 1	12:30 9	14:30 5	15:15 3	13:45 : 6	20:45 6	12:15 3	13:30 1	L6:30 1 2	L2:15 2		1	237

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/22/2021

Site Reference: 00000000008 Site ID: 00000000008 Location: SR 401, North of Charles Rowland Dr File: Rowland.prn City: County:

TIME	1 NORTH	2 NORTH	3 South	4 South	Total	
01:00	20	1	12	7	40	
02:00	10	1	22	18	51	
03:00	29	4	25	11	69	
04:00	18	8	29	15	70	
05:00	41	30	15	5	91	
06:00	236	209	42	15	502	
07:00	421	456	53	26	956	
08:00	441	381	70	52	944	
09:00	300	203	81	55	639	
10:00	205	87	89	51	432	
11:00	145	47	108	69	369	
12:00	159	57	166	123	505	
13:00	0 145 47 0 159 57 0 206 65		125	114	510	
14:00	133	53	122	67	375	
15:00	100	39	242	132	513	
16:00	83	37	478	240	838	
17:00	38	9	474	246	767	
18:00	55	22	303	154	534	
19:00	41	9	151	62	263	
20:00	38	9	75	35	157	
21:00	26	10	40	20	96	
22:00	21	11	21	12	65	
23:00	16	7	44	24	91	
24:00	18	4	36	28	86	
		1750		1 5 0 1		
PERCENTS	31.3%	19.7%	31.4%	17.6%	8963 100%	
NM Timor	06.45	06.20	11.15	11.15		
AM Peaks	484	476	166	123		
PM Times	12:15	12:15	15:45	15:45		
PM Peaks	206	65	518	259		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/23/2021

Site Reference: 00000000008 Site ID: 000000000008 Location: SR 401, North of Charles Rowland Dr File: Rowland.prn City: County:

TIME	1 NORTH	2 NORTH	3 South	4 South	Total	
01:00	14	2	18	11	45	
02:00	13	0	15	8	36	
03:00	26	7	28	21	82	
04:00	22	7	21	6	56	
05:00	51	33	25	11	120	
06:00	222	224	48	13	507	
07:00	414	413	49	23	899	
08:00	473	400	79	39	991	
09:00	335	187	90	46	658	
10:00	268         93           10         276         54           10         300         75		89	53	503	
11:00	00 268 00 276 00 300		129	78	537	
12:00	200 276 10 300		188	114	677	
13:00	276         54         12           300         75         18           290         80         14		141	103	614	
14:00	165	50	154	122	491	
15:00	2765412930075188290801411655015413438225		125	522		
16:00	72	25	442	226	765	
17:00	52	8	460	244	764	
18:00	48	11	250	137	446	
19:00	41	7	152	86	286	
20:00	29	5	74	57	165	
21:00	29	9	27	25	90	
22:00	20	10	21	11	62	
23:00	12	2	53	32	99	
24:00	14	4	42	10	70	
DAY TOTAL	3320	1744	2820	1601	9485	
PERCENTS	35.1%	18.4%	29.7%	16.8%	100%	
AM Times	06:45	06:45	11:15	11:15		
AM Peaks	482	469	188	114		
PM Times	12:15	12:15	15:45	15:45		
PM Peaks	290	80	495	262		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/24/2021

Site Reference: 00000000008 Site ID: 00000000008 Location: SR 401, North of Charles Rowland Dr

File: Rowland.prn City: County:

TIME	1 North	2 NORTH	3 South	4 South	Total	
01:00	12	1	10	9	32	
02:00	15	1	18	5	39	
03:00	18	7	34	18	77	
04:00	24	4	17	8	53	
05:00	46	26	14	11	97	
06:00	180	148	29	4	361	
07:00	354	318	46	29	747	
08:00	329	237	63	40	669	
09:00	221	128	74	54	477	
10:00	150	57	105	62	374	
11:00	154	54	123	84	415	
12:00	118	43	154	120	435	
13:00	161	48	197	133	539	
14:00	145	49	157	86	437	
15:00	86	31	239	141	497	
16:00	57	31	444	220	752	
17:00	36	14	258	125	433	
18:00	46	8	167	84	305	
19:00	34	4	98	56	192	
20:00	23	9	58	40	130	
21:00	23	12	18	18	71	
22:00	23	13	24	38	98	
23:00	12	5	49	36	102	
24:00	14	4	32	23	73	
 DAY TOTAL	2281	1252	2428	 1444	7405	
PERCENTS	30.9%	16.9%	32.7%	19.5%	100%	
AM Times	06:30	06:30	11:15	11:15		
AM Peaks	391	336	154	120		
PM Times	12.15	13.15	15.00	15.00		
PM Peaks	161	10.10	455	237		

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

Site Reference: 00000000009 File: WB.prn Site ID: 00000000009 City: Location: SR 401 SB to SR 528 WB County: Direction: SOUTH Lane: 1 TIME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Total \_\_\_\_\_ DAY TOTAL 8 1863 925 2 31 45 19 96 265 95 0 2 5 0 0 3356 PERCENTS 0.3% 55.6% 27.6% 0.1% 1.0% 1.4% 0.5% 2.8% 7.8% 2.8% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 100% Passenger Vehicles 83.3% Trucks & Buses 16.6% AM Times 01:30 07:45 11:15 08:00 09:00 08:00 06:45 10:15 11:15 09:30 03:45 09:15 08:00 AM Peaks 2 201 44 1 3 7 4 11 25 13 1 2 267 PM Times12:1515:3015:4514:4512:1515:0014:4517:3013:15PM Peaks33342088292862 15:30 576

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Refer Site ID: ( Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 0 00000000 SR 401 : SOUTH	0000000 00009 SB to	00009 SR 528	WB						File: City: County	WB.prr y:	L				
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 2 4 2 0 9 5 30 6 9 57 6 8 30 57 6 8 3 176 199 78 51 199 78 51 7 4 2 9 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 7 4 8 5 4 4 6 1 1 5 5 2 2 4 4 1 5 0 2 2 1 1 1 5 0 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	2 4 5 9 11 13 11 14 14 18 20 17 22 18 18 20 10 10 10 10 3 3 3 3 5	2       2         3       3         3       2         3       2         3       4         4       8         10       9         4       8         10       9         8       10         9       14         10       9         8       8         10       1         3       3         5       4         3       3         3       3         5       1			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			11 12 35 20 29 48 52 131 330 297 220 206 156 215 302 535 527 253 151 60 28 22 45 25
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	13 0.4% Vehicle	2051 55.3% s 83	1035 27.9% .5%	 17 0.5%	51 1.4%	37 1.0%	38 1.18	 71 1.9% Truck	270 7.2% s & Bu	) 119 5 3.2% ises :	0.0% 0.0% 16.4%	1 0.0%	7 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3710 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	04:00 1	09:00 233	08:00 72	09:30 4	11:15 8	09:30 7	11:15 8	08:00 9	09:15 27	10:00 14	C	)6:15 ( 1	)9:30 2		C	)8:30 350
PM Times PM Peaks	15:45 8	15:45 362	15:45 224	13:00 4	15:45 6	14:30 5	12:15 3	14:30 6	13:30 21	13:00 10		1	12:30 2		1	5:45 618

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site Refer Site ID: C Location: Direction: Lane: 1	rence: 0 00000000 SR 401 : SOUTH	0000000 0009 SB to S	00009 SR 528	WB						File: N City: County	WB.prn :					
TIME	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 23:00 24:00	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0	3 7 19 5 6 9 16 53 121 148 69 68 93 77 159 261 235 115 51 37 8 9 28 11	2 1 5 0 2 14 21 37 44 61 38 63 48 100 196 82 48 29 9 3 5 9 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2 3 3 2 3 7 6 1 6 2 2 0 1 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 3 6 0 2 5 1 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 4 2 3 5 3 1 1 3 0 1 2 1 2 0 0 1 0	1 0 3 2 6 5 7 7 9 9 6 4 6 6 8 1 4 2 2 3 1 3 2	4 3 5 6 7 12 12 15 20 20 15 18 22 14 8 10 3 10 6 2 6 7 3	0 2 3 2 1 1 4 4 8 7 13 6 1 2 4 0 2 1 5 3 1 2 4 1 2 4 1		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			10 13 35 20 15 26 52 104 198 249 183 147 195 166 289 486 337 176 99 60 17 24 53 21
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS Passenger	11 0.4% Vehicle	1608 54.1% s 82.	826 27.8% 1%	26 0.9%	39 1.4%	23 0.8%	30 1.0%	99 3.3% [rucks	233 7.8% & Bus	77 2.5% es 1	0.0% 7.8%	1 0.0%	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2975 100%
AM Times AM Peaks	09:00 4	09:00 1 153	.0:30 0 64	8:30 08 4	3:00 08 4	8:45 10 7	0:30 10 6	):00 0; 11	8:45 1 21	0:00 13	0	8:45 0 1	9:00 1		C	9:15 249
PM Times PM Peaks	13:15 2	15:00 1 279	5:00 1 199	4:45 12 6	2:30 12 8	2:15 1. 5	4:15 14 3	4:45 1 10	3:30 1 27	8:45 7					1	5:00 510

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Wed 9/8/2021

File: WB.prn City: County:

Site Reference: 00000000009 Site ID: 00000000009 Location: SR 401 SB to SR 528 WB

TIME	1	Total
	SOUTH	
01.00	12	12
02:00	19	19
03:00	27	27
04:00	30	30
05:00	17	17
06:00	37	37
07:00	57	57
08:00	204	204
09:00	241	241
10:00	223	223
11:00	117	117
12:00	142	142
13:00	144	144
14:00	128	128
15:00	257	257
16:00	538	538
17:00	509	509
18:00	304	304
19:00	160	160
20:00	64	64
21:00	34	34
22:00	28	28
23:00	38	38
24:00	26	26
	 3356	3356
PERCENTS	100 0%	100%
	100.00	1000
AM Times	08:00	
AM Peaks	267	
PM Times	15:30	
PM Peaks	576	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/9/2021

Site Reference:	00000000009	File: WB.prn
Site ID: 0000000	)00009	City:
Location: SR 401	L SB to SR 528 WB	County:

TIME	1 South	Total
01:00	11	11
02:00	12	12
03:00	35	35
04:00	20	20
05:00	29	29
06:00	48	48
07:00	52	52
08:00	131	131
09:00	330	330
10:00	297	297
11:00	220	220
12:00	206	206
13:00	156	156
14:00	215	215
15:00	302	302
16:00	535	535
17:00	527	527
18:00	253	253
19:00	151	151
20:00	60	60
21:00	28	28
22:00	22	22
23:00	45	45
24:00	25	25
 DAY TOTAL	3710	3710
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	08:30	
AM Peaks	350	
PM Times	15:45	
PM Peaks	618	

#### Traffic Engineering Data Solutions, Inc. VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/10/2021

Site	Refe	eren	ce:	000	000	0000	009	
Site	ID:	000	0000	000	9			
Locat	ion:	SR	401	SB	to	SR	528	WB

File: WB.prn City: County:

TIME	1	Total
	SOUTH	
0.1 0.0	10	10
01:00	10	10
02:00	13	13
03:00	35	35
04:00	20	20
05:00	15	15
06:00	26	26
07:00	52	52
08:00	104	104
09:00	198	198
10:00	249	249
11:00	183	183
12:00	147	147
13:00	195	195
14:00	166	166
15:00	289	289
16:00	486	486
17:00	337	337
18:00	176	176
19:00	99	99
20:00	60	60
21:00	17	17
22:00	2.4	2.4
23:00	53	53
24:00	21	21
21.00		
 DAY TOTAL	2975	2975
PERCENTS	100.0%	100%
AM Times	09:15	
AM Peaks	249	
PM Times	15:00	
PM Peaks	510	

Attachment C

Hourly Vehicle Control Totals by Vehicle Class (September 9, 2021) Vehicle Classes 1-3 (Passenger Cars)

	SR 528 M	lainline		Northbour	nd Movement	t Across Basci	ule Bridge		Sou	thbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 Mainline		Two-Way Volume		me
Starting	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bascule	SR 5	528
noui	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Bridges	West of SR 401	East of SR 401
00:00	89	153	1	7	8	0	0	8	25	1	26	20	6	108	152	34	241	261
01:00	68	56	1	2	3	0	0	3	5	1	6	0	6	67	60	9	128	123
02:00	46	55	11	4	15	0	0	15	25	0	25	0	25	35	76	40	122	90
03:00	37	68	16	5	21	0	0	21	23	2	25	18	7	39	70	46	107	107
04:00	109	100	37	26	63	9	1	53	18	1	19	5	14	77	88	82	197	177
05:00	482	337	264	167	431	33	37	361	29	5	34	9	25	227	195	465	677	564
06:00	1,078	795	566	319	885	43	27	815	41	6	47	27	20	539	496	932	1,574	1,334
07:00	1,497	978	581	333	914	25	31	858	84	55	139	41	98	957	743	1,053	2,240	1,935
08:00	1,252	990	371	270	641	26	74	541	104	280	384	103	281	984	1,001	1,025	2,253	1,974
09:00	965	836	208	207	415	27	62	326	95	314	409	172	237	929	866	824	1,831	1,765
10:00	984	869	210	182	392	17	100	275	155	134	289	121	168	895	855	681	1,839	1,764
11:00	1,011	941	197	203	400	24	86	290	226	117	343	197	146	1,011	884	743	1,895	1,952
12:00	1,015	912	175	226	401	17	77	307	196	101	297	181	116	1,021	802	698	1,817	1,933
13:00	853	833	136	123	259	12	62	185	245	72	317	144	173	861	883	576	1,736	1,694
14:00	775	906	102	116	218	10	22	186	425	138	563	303	260	976	1,050	781	1,825	1,882
15:00	728	1,056	62	65	127	14	15	98	819	140	959	454	505	1,120	1,496	1,086	2,224	2,176
16:00	602	1,197	45	32	77	0	8	69	1,102	84	1,186	678	508	1,235	1,673	1,263	2,275	2,432
17:00	984	1,038	29	21	50	1	3	46	431	11	442	208	234	1,163	1,251	492	2,235	2,201
18:00	717	701	13	18	31	0	1	30	229	8	237	98	139	802	822	268	1,539	1,503
19:00	440	641	9	33	42	2	2	38	230	3	233	188	45	619	653	275	1,093	1,260
20:00	412	479	10	9	19	0	0	19	32	1	33	16	17	418	487	52	899	897
21:00	259	362	13	13	26	0	0	26	24	1	25	11	14	257	363	51	622	619
22:00	189	235	5	7	12	0	0	12	105	1	106	69	37	253	265	118	454	488
23:00	132	142	13	4	17	0	0	17	58	1	59	41	18	160	156	76	288	302
DAY TOTAL	14,724	14,680	3,075	2,392	5,467	260	608	4,599	4,726	1,477	6,203	3,104	3,099	14,753	15,387	11,670	30,111	29,433

### Vehicle Class 4 (Buses)

	SR 528 N	/lainline		Northbou	nd Movement	Across Basc	ule Bridge		Sou	thbound Mov	vement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 I	Mainline		Two-Way Volume	
Starting	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bascule	SR 5	28
Houi	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Bridges	West of SR 401	East of SR 401
00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
01:00	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
02:00	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
03:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
04:00	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
05:00	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	8	8
06:00	10	5	3	1	4	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4	14	12
07:00	5	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	6	6
08:00	8	10	2	1	3	0	1	2	0	5	5	2	3	8	12	8	20	18
09:00	13	13	3	2	5	1	0	4	0	3	3	1	2	11	13	8	26	24
10:00	10	8	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	9	10	3	20	17
11:00	13	7	3	1	4	4	0	0	0	3	3	1	2	11	8	7	21	18
12:00	7	5	3	0	3	1	0	2	0	3	3	0	3	4	8	6	15	9
13:00	8	8	4	0	4	2	2	0	0	5	5	2	3	6	11	9	19	14
14:00	8	9	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	7	10	2	18	16
15:00	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	9	9
16:00	5	14	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	1	19	18
17:00	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	6	6
18:00	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	2	1
19:00	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2
20:00	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4	4
21:00	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1
22:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23:00	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
DAY TOTAL	101	103	23	7	30	11	6	13	1	22	23	6	17	84	113	53	214	187

	SR 528 N	lainline	ine Northbound Movement Across Bascule Bridge						Southbound Movement Across Bascule Bridge						/lainline	Two-Way Volume		
Starting	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bascule	SR 52	8
Houi	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Bridges	West of SR 401	ast of SR 401
00:00	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	3	3	2	7	5
01:00	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1
02:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
03:00	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	6	6
04:00	5	5	3	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	10	7
05:00	17	25	1	4	5	1	1	3	5	0	5	0	5	16	26	10	43	41
06:00	43	48	11	1	12	2	0	10	3	1	4	0	4	32	51	16	94	80
07:00	67	47	11	6	17	2	1	14	6	1	7	0	7	56	48	24	115	103
08:00	66	50	11	9	20	4	2	14	5	7	12	2	10	57	51	32	117	107
09:00	67	43	8	7	15	1	3	11	5	13	18	1	17	60	53	33	120	103
10:00	64	55	11	10	21	5	4	12	6	7	13	0	13	53	58	34	122	108
11:00	79	53	9	6	15	4	2	9	8	11	19	0	19	70	66	34	145	123
12:00	62	62	9	7	16	3	2	11	4	7	11	2	9	55	64	27	126	117
13:00	50	51	5	4	9	1	1	7	5	2	7	0	7	45	54	16	104	96
14:00	51	43	8	2	10	2	0	8	9	2	11	2	9	45	50	21	101	88
15:00	42	63	0	4	4	0	3	1	7	1	8	0	8	42	67	12	109	105
16:00	38	54	5	1	6	0	0	6	13	1	14	7	7	40	60	20	98	94
17:00	28	34	1	1	2	0	0	2	8	1	9	5	4	32	37	11	65	66
18:00	18	22	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	21	2	39	39
19:00	16	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	26	0	42	42
20:00	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	10	18	5	28	23
21:00	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	0	15	15
22:00	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	7	8	1	15	14
23:00	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	6	6
DAY TOTAL	744	722	96	63	159	25	20	114	91	54	145	19	126	667	785	304	1,529	1,389

# Vehicle Classes 5-7 (Single Unit Trucks, Including Provisioning Vehicles)

# Vehicle Classes 8-15 (Heavy Trucks)

	SR 528 N	/lainline		Northbour	nd Movement	Across Basc	ule Bridge		Southbound Movement Across Bascule Bridge						Nainline	Two-Way Volume		me
Starting	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bascule	SR 5	28
Houi	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Bridges	West of SR 401	East of SR 401
00:00	4	4	6	4	10	0	0	10	8	0	8	4	4	2	4	18	8	6
01:00	7	2	5	2	7	0	0	7	6	0	6	0	6	2	6	13	13	4
02:00	10	2	11	2	13	0	0	13	17	0	17	7	10	6	10	30	20	8
03:00	11	2	8	7	15	0	0	15	13	0	13	0	13	3	8	28	19	5
04:00	19	6	14	5	19	0	0	19	15	0	15	0	15	5	16	34	35	11
05:00	36	11	26	3	29	0	0	29	18	0	18	0	18	10	26	47	62	21
06:00	61	14	33	4	37	5	0	32	28	0	28	0	28	28	38	65	99	42
07:00	61	39	35	8	43	3	1	39	26	1	27	1	26	27	57	70	118	66
08:00	54	39	35	9	44	3	1	40	46	9	55	19	36	38	66	99	120	77
09:00	60	37	43	8	51	2	2	47	52	12	64	23	41	40	70	115	130	77
10:00	46	53	39	11	50	2	0	48	64	10	74	37	37	44	79	124	125	97
11:00	62	46	30	8	38	2	0	36	44	8	52	13	39	45	77	90	139	91
12:00	53	42	19	9	28	1	0	27	32	5	37	9	28	43	61	65	114	85
13:00	38	42	27	9	36	0	0	36	57	3	60	28	32	39	65	96	103	81
14:00	46	37	17	7	24	0	0	24	33	0	33	1	32	30	62	57	108	67
15:00	31	37	8	2	10	0	0	10	22	0	22	0	22	23	57	32	88	60
16:00	17	28	6	1	7	0	0	7	12	0	12	0	12	11	39	19	56	39
17:00	23	18	12	1	13	0	0	13	16	0	16	1	15	12	32	29	55	30
18:00	19	11	11	2	13	0	0	13	11	0	11	0	11	8	20	24	39	19
19:00	12	3	12	2	14	0	0	14	23	0	23	8	15	8	16	37	28	11
20:00	13	7	7	0	7	0	0	7	6	0	6	0	6	6	13	13	26	13
21:00	4	0	5	3	8	0	0	8	13	0	13	5	8	4	5	21	9	4
22:00	5	1	11	1	12	0	0	12	15	0	15	8	7	2	7	27	12	3
23:00	7	5	4	0	4	0	0	4	7	0	7	0	7	3	12	11	19	8
DAY TOTAL	699	486	424	108	532	18	4	510	584	48	632	164	468	439	846	1,164	1,545	925

Attachment D

*CFRPM v. 7.0 Model Plots and Select Zone Plots* 2020, 2030 and 2045 Daily Volumes

# 2020 Daily Volumes in Study Area



# 2030 Daily Volumes in Study Area



# 2045 Daily Volumes in Study Area





# 2020 Daily Volume Plot for Select Zone: TAZ 256 (Port Canaveral, North)



# 2030 Daily Volume Plot for Select Zone: TAZ 256 (Port Canaveral, North)



# 2045 Daily Volume Plot for Select Zone: TAZ 256 (Port Canaveral, North)

Attachment E

Detailed Volume Forecasts by Hour (6:00 AM to 6:00 PM) and Vehicle Class

All Vehicle	e Classes																		2030 w
Ending	SR 528 M	lainline 4	2	Northboun 5	d Movement Bridge	Across Bascu 6.1	le Bridge 6.2	8	Sou 8	hbound Mov 7	ement Acros Bridge	s Bascule Bri	dge 9	SR 528 N 4	lainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	w. Ramps	Active E
07:00	EB 1,731	WB 1,033	NB 534	NB 377	NB 911	NB 46	NB 121	NB 744	SB 77	SB 35	SB 112	SB (to EB) 41	SB (to WB) 71	EB 1,238	WB 727	Two-Way 1,023	EB 1,197	WB 656	
08:00	2,391	1,311 1.348	569 457	404 311	973 768	71 71	141 135	761 562	140 354	338 652	478	223 463	255 543	2,045	1,162	1,451	1,822	907 1.037	
10:00	1,712	1,206	423	275	698	58	157	483	385	678	1,063	488	575	1,777	1,506	1,761	1,289	931	
11:00 12:00	1,820 2,016	1,355 1,534	508 629	351 450	859 1,079	58 47	212 294	589 738	320 320	504 363	824 683	370 301	454 382	1,682 1,688	1,458 1,466	1,683	1,312	1,004 1,084	
13:00	1,965	1,452	574	414	988	29	213	746	265	218	483	212	271	1,603	1,309	1,471	1,391	1,038	
14:00 15:00	1,534 1,296	1,235	347	231	578 298	17	110 44	451 243	315 442	179 169	494 611	209	285	1,396 1,396	1,289 1,441	1,072	1,187	1,004	
16:00	1,165	1,406	84	56	140	9	17	114	697	255	952	461	491	1,542	1,841	1,092	1,081	1,350	
17:00	956	1,581	58	36 24	94 69	8 5	16 5	70 59	331	288 114	1,125 445	209	236	1,446	2,122	1,219	1,500	1,545	
DAY TOTAL	25,008	20,394	4,989	3,365	8,354	476	1,542	6,336	5,296	4,002	9,298	4,239	5,059	24,258	22,088	17,652	20,019	17,029	
Ending	SR 528 M	lainline	i carsj	Northboun	d Movement	Across Bascu	ule Bridge		Sou	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	lainline				
Hour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB)	9 SB (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btv EB	w. Ramps WB	
07:00	1,517	947	454	352	806	38	95	673	32	28	60	30	30	1,093	625	866	1,063	595	
08:00	2,143 1,824	1,182 1,215	483 361	376 280	859 641	57 55	118 105	684 481	96 265	326 594	422 859	211 429	211 430	1,871 1,892	1,017 1,365	1,281 1,500	1,660 1,463	806 935	
10:00	1,490	1,084	314	243	557	47	124	386	282	623	905	453	452	1,629	1,293	1,462	1,176	841	
12:00	1,627	1,214 1,398	413 544	320 423	733 967	43 37	189 265	501 665	200	466 306	666 542	333 271	333 271	1,54/	1,227	1,399	1,214	894 975	
13:00	1,764	1,329	504	392	896	16	187	693	205	176	381	190	191	1,450	1,128	1,277	1,260	937	
14:00	1,369	1,117 1,117	133	209 102	479 235	10 2	87	382 201	218 388	130 142	348 530	174 265	1/4 265	1,273	1,082	765	1,099	908	
16:00 17:00	1,057 872	1,290 1.465	66 29	51 30	117 دە	6	14 。	97 57	661 81 9	241 262	902 1 081	451	451	1,442	1,690	1,019	991	1,239	
18:00	1,432	1,405	38 25	50 19	68 44	3	8	37	305	203	403	201	202	1,575	1,976	447	1,407	1,252	
DAY TOTAL	22,331	18,673 s)	3,952	3,062	7,014	348	1,292	5,374	4,276	3,575	7,851	3,920	3,931	22,299	19,542	14,865	18,379	15,611	
Ending	SR 528 M	-/ lainline		Northboun	d Movement	Across Bascu	ule Bridge		Sou	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	lainline				
Hour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB)	9 SB (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btv EB	w. Ramps WB	
07:00	25	11	17	5	22	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	22	8	6	
08:00 09:00	20 22	9 11	9 14	2 3	11 17	1 1	7 11	3 5	0	0 36	0 36	0	0 27	11 17	7 35	11 53	11	7	
10:00	29	12	22	6	28	0	19	9	0	22	22	5	17	12	23	50	7	6	
11:00 12:00	11 25	7 12	4 17	1 5	5 22	1 0	3 15	1 7	0	14 22	14 22	3 5	11 17	10 13	17 24	19 44	7	6 7	
13:00	20	10	14	3	17	1	11	5	0	22	22	5	17	11	24	39	6	7	
14:00 15:00	23 10	11 8	17 4	5 1	22 5	0 1	15 3	7 1	0 0	36 7	36 7	9 1	27 6	15 7	33 13	58 12	6	6 7	
16:00	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	0	5	8	
17:00 18:00	9 7	10 7	4	1 0	5 0	1	3 0	1	0	00	0 0	0	0	5 7	9	5	5	9	
DAY TOTAL	232	139	130	34	164	8	108	48	0	166	166	38	128	140	233	330	102	105	
vehicle Cla	asses 5-7 (S SR 528 M	angle-Uni Iainline	τ IrucksLi	Northbour	sioning Ve d Movement	nicles) Across Bascu	le Bridge		Sou	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	lainline				
Ending Hour	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8 ND	8	7	Bridge	3 SP (to ED)	9 SR (to 14/01	4	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	w. Ramps	
07:00	EB 81	WB 50	NB 12	NB 7	NB 19	NB 8	NB 11	NB 0	5B 0	5B 7	SB 7	5B (to EB) 0	рв (to WB) 7	EB 69	WB 50	1wo-Way 26	EB 69	WB 43	
08:00	114	64	17	11	28	12	16	0	0	12	12	1	11	98	64	40	97	53	
10:00	102 78	67 55	20 15	13 9	33 24	14 10	19 14	0	0 0	21 32	21 32	2 4	19 28	84 67	73 74	54	63	54	
11:00	86	61	21	13	34	14	20	0	0	23	23	3	20	68	68	57	65	48	
12:00	81 83	61 60	15 16	9 10	24 26	10 11	14 15	0	0 0	34 20	34 20	4	30 18	70 69	82 68	58 46	66	52	
14:00	65	51	9	5	14	6	8	0	0	12	12	1	11	57	57	26	56	46	
16:00	61 52	56 61	10	6 2	16 6	7	3	0	0	20 14	20 14	2	18 13	53 49	68 72	20	51	50	
17:00	46	70	6	3	9	4	5	0	0	25	25	3	22	43	89 70	34	40 65	67	
DAY TOTAL	1,119	59 902	159	1 94	3 253	111	1 142	0	0	256	256	27	229	987	1,037	509	960	808	
Vehicle Cla	asses 8-15 (	(Heavy Tru	ıcks)																
Ending Hour	SR 528 M	1ainline 4	2	Northboun 5	d Movement Bridge	Across Basco 6.1	lle Bridge 6.2	8	Sou 8	hbound Mov 7	ement Acros Bridge	s Bascule Bri	dge 9	SR 528 N 4	lainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	w. Ramps	
07:00	EB 108	WB 25	NB 51	NB 13	NB 64	NB	NB	NB 64	SB 45	SB	SB 45	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB 68	WB 46	Two-Way 109	EB 57	WB 12	
08:00	114	56	60	15	75	1	0	74	44	0	44	11	33	65	74	105	54	41	
09:00	104 115	55 55	62 72	15 17	77 89	1 1	0	76 88	89 103	1 1	90 104	23 26	67 78	65 69	107 116	167 193	42	40 38	
11:00	96	73	70	17	87	0	0	87	120	1	121	31	90	57	146	208	26	56	
12:00 13:00	113 98	63 53	53 40	13 .9	66 49	0 1	0	66 48	84 60	1	85 60	21 15	64 45	81 73	114 89	151 109	60 58	50 44	
14:00	77	56	51	12	63	1	0	62	97	1	98	25	73	51	117	161	26	44	
15:00 16:00	83 51	47 47	34 14	8 3	42 17	1 0	0	41 17	54 36	0 0	54 36	13 9	41 27	62 46	80 71	96 53	49 37	39 44	
17:00	28	36	10	2	12	0	0	12	19	0	19	5	14	23	48	31	18	34	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	39 1,326	25 680	18 748	4 175	22 923	0 9	0	22 914	26 1,020	0 5	26 1,025	6 254	20	27 832	41 1,276	48 1,948	21 578	21 505	
				-		-				-									

### with 2 Berths

All Vehicle	Classes																	2030
Ending Hour	SR 528 1	Vainline 4	2	Northbour 5	d Movement Bridge	Across Basco 6.1	6.2	8	Sou 8	7	Bridge	3	idge 9	SR 528 N 4	Aainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw. Ramps	Active
07:00	LB 1,750	1,044	NB 553	NB 390	NB 943	NB 46	NB 153	NB 744 761	5B 77	5B 49	5B 126	SB (to EB)	5B (to WB) 79	EB 1,244	733	1,069	1,197 654	
08:00	2,419	1,331	496	424 340	1,023	38	212	562	354	985	1,339	623	716	2,134	1,256	2,175	1,595 1,036	
11:00	1,796	1,209	662	470	1,132	25	514	465 589 728	319	765	1,409	496	588	1,945	1,591	2,255	1,289 932	
12:00	2,256	1,719	786	577	1,363	40	511	738	265	301	566	250	316	1,761	1,355	1,929	1,393 1,085	
14:00	1,637	1,313	202	133	335	26 19	73	492 243	315	235	633	232	318	1,419	1,322	1,309	1,187 1,004	
16:00 17:00	1,169 959	1,409 1,584	88 63	58 38	146 101	8	24	114 70	697 836	282 303	979 1,139	473	506	1,554 1,448	1,857 2,133	1,125	1,081 1,351 896 1,546	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	1,546 25,929	1,362 21,087	46 5,918	24 4,057	70 9,975	4 367	7 3,165	59 6,443	331 5,295	122 5,529	453 10,824	210 4,955	243 5,869	1,710 24,966	1,581 22,899	523 20,799	1,500 1,338 20,011 17,030	
Vehicle Cl	assses 1-3 SR 528 P	(Passenge Mainline	r Cars)	Northbour	d Movement	Across Bascu	ule Bridge		Sou	thbound Mov	vement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	Aainline			
Ending Hour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB)	9 SB (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btw. Ramps EB WB	
07:00 08:00	1,526 2,162	954 1,197	462 503	360 391	822 894	37 36	112 174	673 684	32 96	39 506	71 602	35 301	36 301	1,099 1,960	630 1,107	893 1,496	1,064 594 1,659 806	
09:00 10:00	1,852 1,561	1,237 1,140	389 385	302 299	691 684	23 14	187 284	481 386	265 282	905 949	1,170 1,231	585 616	585 615	2,048 1,792	1,520 1,456	1,861 1,915	1,463 935 1,176 841	
11:00 12:00	1,772 2,026	1,326 1,577	557 774	433 601	990 1,375	13 14	476 696	501 665	199 236	713 447	912 683	456 342	456 341	1,671 1,594	1,349 1,317	1,902 2,058	1,215 893 1,252 976	
13:00 14:00	1,967 1.462	1,487 1.190	707 364	549 282	1,256 646	28 18	469 205	759 423	205 218	245 168	450 386	225 193	225 193	1,485 1,291	1,163 1.101	1,706	1,260 938 1.098 908	
15:00 16:00	1,158	1,129	148 68	115 52	263 120	10 5	52 18	201 97	388 661	155 262	543 923	272 462	271 461	1,282 1,453	1,285	806	1,010 1,014 991 1,240	
17:00	873	1,466	39	30 19	69	3	9	57	817	268	1,085	543	542	1,377	1,978	1,154	834 1,436	
DAY TOTAL	23,149	19,312	4,773	3,700	8,473	240	2,752	5,481	4,275	4,944	9,219	4,608	4,611	22,984	20,223	17,692	18,376 15,612	
Vehicle Cl	ass 4 (Buse	es)																
Ending Hour	SR 528 P	4 WR	2 NB	5	d Movement Bridge	Across Bascu 6.1	le Bridge 6.2	8 NB	Sou 8 SB	7 SR	Bridge	3 SB (to FB)	9 SB (to \W/B)	SR 528 N 4 FR	Aainline 1 W/B	Bridge	SR 528 Btw. Ramps	
07:00	31	12	23	7	30	1	22	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	30 15	8 5	
09:00	26	10	17	5	22	0	17	5	0	49	49	12	37	21	44	71	9 7	
11:00	13	8	6	1	7	1	20 5	1	0	19	19	5	14	14	21	26	7 7	
12:00	25	14	17	5	22	0	17	5	0	29	29	7	22	14	29	51	8 7	
14:00 15:00	29 11	13 8	23 6	7	30 7	1	22 5	7	0	49 9	49 9	12 2	37	18 7	43 14	79 16	6 6 5 7	
16:00 17:00	5 10	8 10	0 6	0 1	0 7	0 1	0 5	0 1	0	0 0	0	0	0	5 4	8 9	0 7	5 8 4 9	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	7 274	7	0 174	0 47	0 221	0 9	0 164	0 48	0	0 222	0 222	0 54	0 168	7 154	7 273	0 443	7 7 100 105	
Vehicle Cl	asses 5-7 (	Single-Uni	t TrucksL	ikely Provi	sioning Ve	hicles)												
Ending Hour	SR 528	Mainline 4	2	Northbour 5	d Movement Bridge	Across Bascu 6.1	le Bridge 6.2	8	Sou 8	7	Bridge	3	idge 9	SR 528 N	Aainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw. Ramps	
07:00	85	53	17	10	27	8	19	0	0	10	10	1	9	69	52	37	68 43	
08:00	121	68 72	24 28	15	39 46	12	32	0	0	17 30	17 30	3	15	99 84	68 81	76	97 53 81 54	
10:00 11:00	84 94	59 66	21 29	13 19	34 48	10 15	24 33	0	0	45 32	45 32	5	40	68 69	86 75	79 80	63 46 65 47	
12:00 13:00	87 89	65 64	21 22	13 14	34 36	10 11	24 25	0	0	48 27	48 27	6 3	42 24	72 70	94 74	82 63	66 52 67 50	
14:00 15:00	69 65	54 59	12 14	8 9	20 23	6 7	14 16	0	0	17 27	17 27	2	15 24	59 54	61 74	37 50	57 46 51 50	
16:00 17:00	54 48	62 72	6 8	3 5	9 13	3 4	6 9	0	0	20 35	20 35	2	18 31	50 44	77 98	29 48	48 59 40 67	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	68 1,180	59 943	3 223	1 135	4 358	1 109	3 249	0	0	22 358	22 358	2	20 319	67 996	78 1,127	26 716	65 58 957 808	
Vehicle Cl	asses 8-15	(Heavy Tru	ıcks)															
Ending Hour	SR 528 1	Mainline 4	2	Northbour 5	d Movement Bridge	Across Bascu 6.1	ule Bridge 6.2	8	Sou 8	thbound Mov 7	vement Acros Bridge	ss Bascule Bri 3	idge 9	SR 528 N	Mainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw. Ramps	
07:00	EB 108	WB 25	NB 51	NB 13	<u>NB</u> 64	NB 0	NB 0	NB 64	SB 45	SB 0	SB 45	SB (to EB) 11	SB (to WB) 34	EB 68	WB 46	Two-Way 109	EB WB 57 12	
08:00 09:00	114 104	56 55	60 62	15 15	75 77	1 1	0 0	74 76	44 89	0 1	44 90	11 23	33 67	65 65	74 107	119 167	54 41 42 40	
10:00 11:00	115 96	55 73	72 70	17 17	89 87	1 0	0 0	88 87	103 120	1 1	104 121	26 31	78 90	69 57	116 146	193 208	43 38 26 56	
12:00 13:00	113 98	63 53	53 40	13 9	66 49	0	0	66 48	84 60	1 0	85 60	21 15	64 45	81 73	114 89	151 109	60 50 58 44	
14:00 15:00	77	56 47	51 34	12 8	63 42	1	0	62 41	97 5/	1	98 54	25	73 41	51	117	161	26 44 49 30	
16:00 17:00	51 28	47	14	3	17	0	0	17 12	36	0	36	9	27	46	71 48	53	37 44	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	39 1.326	25	18	4	22	0	0	22	26	0	26	6	20	27	41	48	21 21 578 505	
	_,		7.5	±, J	223	5	5		_,0_0	5	_,0_0				_,	_,		

2030 with 3 Active Berths

All Vehicle	e Classes																		2030
Ending Hour	SR 528 N 1 EB	Aainline 4 WB	2 NB	Northbour 5 NB	d Movement Bridge NB	Across Basc 6.1 NB	ule Bridge 6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	hbound Mov 7 SB	ement Acros Bridge SB	s Bascule Brid 3 SB (to EB)	ge 9 SB (to WB)	SR 528 N 4 EB	lainline 1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btv EB	v. Ramps WB	Active
07:00 08:00 09:00	1,770 2,448 2,132	1,057 1,352 1,405	574 627 536	402 445 369	976 1,072 905	56 90 95	153 212 236	767 770 574	91 323 681	49 523 985	140 846 1,666	53 403 781	87 443 885	1,249 2,224 2,377	742 1,350 1,921	1,116 1,918 2,571	1,196 1,821 1,596	655 907 1,036	
10:00 11:00	1,880 2,127	1,329 1,590	591 814	399 588	990 1,402	83 86	336 514	571 802	726 576	1,024 765	1,750 1,341	817 619	933 722	2,106 1,932	1,863 1,724	2,740 2,743	1,289 1,313	930 1,002	
12:00 13:00	2,495 2,389	1,899 1,776	1,108 997	816 738	1,924 1,735	67 40	742 511	1,115 1,184	481 348	525 301	1,006 649	449 286	557 363	1,836 1,678	1,640 1,401	2,930 2,384	1,387 1,392	1,083 1,038	
14:00 15:00	1,740	1,388	553 223	384 149	937 372	25	241 73	671 274	370	235 191	605 658	254 298	351 360	1,441	1,355	1,542	1,187	1,004	
17:00 18:00	1,172 963 1,547	1,411	92 67 47	41	108	13	24	72	852 341	303 122	1,008	556 212	522 599 251	1,364	2,145	1,159	896	1,546	
DAY TOTAL	26,848	21,770	6,832	4,752	11,584	652	3,165	7,767	6,813	5,529	12,342	5,655	6,687	25,671	23,705	23,926	20,016	17,018	
Ending	SR 528 N	Aainline	carsy	Northboun	d Movement	Across Basc	ule Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bric	lge	SR 528 N	lainline		50 500 Pi		
Hour 07:00	1 EB 1 535	4 WB 961	2 NB 472	5 NB 367	NB 839	6.1 NB 38	6.2 NB 112	8 NB 689	8 SB 43	7 SB 39	SB 82	3 SB (to EB) 41	9 SB (to WB) 41	4 EB 1 104	1 WB 635	Two-Way 921	EB 1.063	WB 594	
08:00	2,181 1,879	1,212 1,258	522 416	406 324	928 740	65 66	174	689 487	273 570	506 905	779 1,475	389 738	390 737	2,048 2,201	1,196 1,671	1,707	1,659	806 934	
10:00 11:00	1,631 1,914	1,194 1,437	455 699	354 544	809 1,243	60 55	284 476	465 712	601 440	949 713	1,550 1,153	775 577	775 576	1,951 1,792	1,615 1,469	2,359 2,396	1,176 1,215	840 893	
12:00 13:00	2,252 2,166	1,752 1,641	999 906	777 704	1,776 1,610	45 16	696 469	1,035 1,125	375 272	447 245	822 517	411 258	411 259	1,664 1,518	1,386 1,196	2,598 2,127	1,253 1,260	975 937	
14:00 15:00	1,555 1,173	1,261 1,141	456 164 70	354 127	810 291	10 9 7	205 52	595 230	255 401	168 155 262	423 556	211 278	212 278	1,310 1,287	1,119 1,292	1,233 847	1,099	907 1,014	
17:00	1,001 873 1,432	1,295 1,466 1.271	70 39 25	30 19	124 69 44	3	9	99 57 37	822 307	262 268 100	944 1,090 407	472 545 203	472 545 204	1,403	1,981	1,008	834	1,239	
DAY TOTAL	23,954	19,935	5,576	4,331	9,907	406	2,752	6,749	5,618	4,944	10,562	5,276	5,286	23,654	20,890	20,469	18,378	15,604	
Vehicle Cl	ass 4 (Buse	s)																	
Ending Hour	SR 528 N 1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	Northbour 5 NB	d Movement Bridge NB	Across Basc 6.1 NB	ule Bridge 6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	hbound Mov 7 SB	ement Acros Bridge SB	s Bascule Brid 3 SB (to EB)	ige 9 SB (to WB)	SR 528 N 4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btv EB	v. Ramps WB	
07:00 08:00	36 25	14 11	29 14	8 4	37 18	1 0	22 11	14 7	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	7 11	6 7	37 18	7	6 7	
09:00 10:00	31 43	14 17	22 37	6 10	28 47	0 1	17 28	11 18	12 7	49 29	61 36	15 9	46 27	24 15	54 34	89 83	9	8 7	
11:00 12:00	14 36	8 15	7 29	2 8	9 37	1	5 22	3 14	5	19 29	24 36	6 9	18 27	13 16	24 34	33	7	6	
13:00	29 35 13	13	22 29 7	6 8 2	28 37 9	1	22	11	12	29 49	30 61 12	9 15 3	46	21	53 16	98	6	7	
16:00 17:00	5	8 11	, 0 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	0	5	8	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	7 317	7 166	0 217	0 60	0 277	0	0 164	0	0	0	0 278	0	0 209	7 169	7 315	0	7	7 106	
Vehicle Cl	asses 5-7 (S	Single-Uni	t TrucksLi	kely Provi	sioning Ve	hicles)													
Ending	SR 528 N 1	Mainline 4	2	Northboun 5	d Movement Bridge	Across Basc 6.1	ule Bridge 6.2	8	Sout 8	hbound Mov 7	ement Acros Bridge	s Bascule Bric 3	lge 9	SR 528 N 4	lainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	v. Ramps	
07:00	EB 91	WB 57	NB 22	NB 14	NB 36	NB 17	NB 19	NB 0	SB 3	SB 10	SB 13	SB (to EB) 1	SB (to WB) 12	EB 70	WB 55	Two-Way 49	EB 69	WB 43	
08:00 09:00	128 118	73 78	31 36	20 24	51 60	24 28	27 32	0 0	6 10	17 30	23 40	3 5	20 35	100 87	73 89	74 100	97 82	53 54	
10:00 11:00	91 103	63 72	27 38	18 25	45 63	21 30	24 33	0 0	15 11	45 32	60 43	7 5	53 38	71 70	98 85	105 106	64 65	45 47	
12:00 13:00	94 96	69 69	27 29	18 19	45 48	21 23	24 25	0	15 9	48 27	63 36	8	55 32	75 71	106 82	108 84	67 67	51 50	
14:00 15:00	73 69	56 62	17	10 12	27 30	13	14 16	0	6 9 6	17 27 20	23 36	3	20 32	59 55	66 82	50 66	56	46 50	
17:00 18:00	51 69	03 74 60	11 4	4 7 2	12	9	9	0	11	20 35 22	46 30	6	40 27	46 68	107 85	64	40	67 58	
DAY TOTAL	1,251	989	291	186	477	228	249	0	119	358	477	56	421	1,016	1,224	954	960	803	
Vehicle Cl	asses 8-15	(Heavy Tru	icks)	Northbou	d Movemo-	Across Para	ule Bridan		Co1	hbound Me	ement Acros	s Basculo Pri-	ize	SR 530 M	lainline				
Ending Hour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB)	9 SB (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btv EB	v. Ramps WB	
07:00 08:00	108 114	25 56	51 60	13 15	64 75	0	0	64 74	45 44	0	45 44	11 11	34 33	68 65	46 74	109 119	57 54	12 41	
09:00 10:00	104 115	55 55	62 72	15 17	77 89	1 1	0 0	76 88	89 103	1 1	90 104	23 26	67 78	65 69	107 116	167 193	42 43	40 38	
11:00 12:00	96 113	73 63	70 53	17 13	87 66	0 0	0 0	87 66	120 84	1 1	121 85	31 21	90 64	57 81	146 114	208 151	26 60	56 50	
13:00 14:00	98 77	53 56	40 51	9 12	49 63	1	0	48 62	60 97	0	60 98	15 25	45 73	73 51	89 117	109 161	58	44 44	
15:00 16:00	83 51 29	47 47 36	34 14 10	8 3 2	42 17 12	1	0	41 17 12	54 36	0	54 36 19	13 9 E	41 27	62 46 22	80 71 48	96 53 31	49 37 19	39 44 34	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	39 1 326	25	18	4	22	0	0	22	26	0	26 1 025	6	20	27	41	48	21	21	

with 4 Berths

All Vehicle	Classes																	2050
Ending	SR 528 N	Nainline		Northbour	nd Movement	Across Basc	ule Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 M	lainline		50 500 BL	Active
Hour	EB	4 WB	2 NB	NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	SB	3 SB (to EB)	9 SB (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	EB W	B
07:00	1,945 2.674	1,148 1.460	595 643	399 430	994 1.073	50 81	135 162	809 830	113 174	45 461	158 635	54 292	104 343	1,404 2.323	853 1.373	1,152	1,350 2.031	749 L.030
09:00	2,311	1,505	539	344	883	87	164	632	422	881	1,303	589	714	2,361	1,875	2,186	1,772	1,161
10:00	1,969	1,368	540	332	872	73	203	596 749	466	915	1,381	621	760	2,050	1,796	2,253	1,429	118
12:00	2,110	1,780	827	574	1,103	59	395	947	386	478	864	369	495	1,928	1,701	2,265	1,534	,206
13:00	2,279	1,679	740	524	1,264	33	282	949	311	281	592	251	341	1,790	1,496	1,856	1,539	1,155
15:00	1,454	1,402	225	135	360	20	54	286	483	189	672	243	303	1,534	1,480	1,032	1,229	1,228
16:00	1,286	1,547	99	61	160	10	20	130	724	275	999	476	523	1,663	2,009	1,159	1,187	1,486
17:00	1,055	1,738	62	40	90	5	6	79	351	122	473	216	257	1,538	1,726	563	1,640	1,469
DAY TOTAL	28,360	22,850	6,236	3,965	10,201	565	1,956	7,680	6,090	5,078	11,168	4,929	6,239	27,053	25,124	21,369	22,124 18	3,885
Vehicle Cl	assses 1-3 (	(Passenge	r Cars)															
Ending	SR 528 N	Mainline	-	Northbour	nd Movement	Across Basc	ule Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 M	lainline		50 500 Pi	
Hour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB)	9 SB (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	EB W	mps B
07:00	1,659	1,040	459	357	816	38	98	680	32	35	67	33	34	1,233	717	883	1,200	683
08:00	2,347 2,003	1,301 1,340	495 378	385 293	880 671	64 66	130 122	686 483	96 264	444 799	540 1,063	270 532	270 531	2,122 2,157	1,186 1,578	1,420	1,852	916 1,047
10:00	1,659	1,213	357	277	634	59	157	418	282	837	1,119	560	559	1,862	1,495	1,753	1,302	936
11:00 12:00	1,843 2.067	1,381 1.613	500 683	388 530	888 1.213	54 45	248 354	586 814	200 237	628 398	828 635	414 317	414 318	1,757 1.701	1,407 1,401	1,716 1.848	1,343	993 1,083
13:00	2,019	1,528	626	487	1,113	16	245	852	205	221	426	213	213	1,606	1,254	1,539	1,393	1,041
14:00	1,537 1,253	1,256 1,229	327 142	253 110	580 252	10 9	112 36	458 207	218 388	155 151	373 539	186 269	187 270	1,396 1,380	1,190 1.389	953 791	1,210	L,003
16:00	1,154	1,414	67	52	119	6	15	98	661	255	916	458	458	1,545	1,820	1,035	1,087	1,362
17:00 18:00	953 1.562	1,605 1,392	39 25	30 19	69 44	4	8	57 37	818 305	266 99	1,084 404	542 202	542 202	1,456 1.739	2,117 1.575	1,153 448	914 1.537	1,575 1,373
DAY TOTAL	24,746	20,742	4,447	3,447	7,894	403	1,593	5,898	4,277	4,473	8,750	4,371	4,379	24,670	21,674	16,644	20,299 1	7,295
Vehicle Cl	ass 4 (Buse	s)																
Ending	SR 528 N	Aainline		Northbour	nd Movement	Across Bascu	ule Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 M	lainline			
Hour	1 FB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to FR)	9 SB (to WB)	4 FB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btw. Ra	mps B
07:00	33	13	24	7	31	0	21	10	0	0	0	0	0	9	6	31	9	6
08:00	24	11	12	3	15	0	10 16	5	0	0	0 51	0	0	12	8	15	12	8
10:00	28 38	13	18	9	23 39	0	26	13	0	51 31	51 31	13	38 23	23 16	46 30	74	8	7
11:00	14	9	6	1	7	0	5	2	0	20	20	5	15	13	23	27	8	8
12:00	32	15 13	24 18	7	31 23	0	21 16	10 7	0	31 31	31 31	8	23	16 16	31	54	8	8
14:00	31	14	24	7	31	0	21	10	0	51	51	13	38	20	45	82	7	7
16:00	12	9	6 0	1	7	0	5	2	0	10 0	10 0	2	8	8	16 8	1/	6	8
17:00	11	11	6	1	7	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	7	5	10
18:00 DAY TOTAL	8 294	8 165	0 180	0 48	0 228	0	0 156	0 72	0	0 235	0 235	0 59	0 176	8 173	293	0 463	8 114	8 117
Vehicle Cl	asses 5-7 (S	Single-Uni	t TrucksL	ikely Prov	isioning Ve	hicles)												
	SR 528 N	Mainline		Northbour	nd Movement	Across Bascu	ule Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 M	ainline			
Ending Hour	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9 SD (6-14/0)	4	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw. Ra	mps
07:00	EB 92	WB 57	NB 16	NB 11	NB 27	NB 11	NB 16	NB	SB	SB 10	SB 10	5В (to EB) 1	oB (to WB) q	EB 77	WB 55	I WO-Way 37	<u>EB</u> W	<u>в</u> 46
08:00	130	73	24	15	39	17	22	0	0	17	17	2	15	108	73	56	106	58
09:00 10:00	117 90	77 63	28 21	18 12	46 34	20 14	26 20	0	0	30 46	30 46	4	26 40	93 75	85 90	76 80	89 69	59 50
11:00	100	71	29	19	48	20	28	0	0	33	33	4	29	75	81	81	71	52
12:00 13:00	94 95	70 69	21 23	13 14	34 37	14 16	20 21	0	0	48 29	48 29	6 3	42 25	79 75	99 80	82	73 72	57
14:00	74	58	12	8	20	8	12	0	0	17	17	2	15	64	65	37	62	50
15:00 16:00	70 58	64 69	14 6	9	23	10	13 E	0	0	28 20	28 20	3	25 19	59 54	80 83	51	56 52	55
17:00	52	78	8	5	13	5	8	0	0	35	35	4	31	48	104	48	44	73
18:00 DAY TOTAL	74 1.272	65 1.022	3	1	4	2 152	2 207	0 0	0 0	23 363	23 363	3	20 321	74 1.091	84 1,207	27 722	71 1,049	64 886
Vehicle Cl	asses 8-15	(Heavy Tru	icks)	100		1.92	207	3	5	505	505		521	_,				
. cincle ch	SR 528 M	Aainline		Northbour	nd Movement	Across Base	Je Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 M	ainline			_
Ending Hour	1 FB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NR	Bridge	6.1 NB	6.2	8 NB	8 58	7 58	Bridge	3 SB (to EP)	9 SB (to W/P)	4 FB	1 WB	Bridge	SR 528 Btw. Ra	mps B
07:00	161	38	96	24	120	1	0	119	81	0	81	20	61	85	75	201	65	14
08:00	173	75	112	27	139	0	0	139	78	0	78	20	58	81	106	217	61	48
10:00	163 182	75 76	115 132	28 33	143 165	1 0	0	142 165	158 184	1 1	159 185	40 47	119 138	88 97	166 181	302	48 50	47
11:00	159	97	130	32	162	1	0	161	212	2	214	54	160	83	225	376	29	65
12:00 13:00	168 139	82 69	99 73	24 18	123 91	0 1	0 0	123 90	149 106	1	150 107	38 27	112 80	107 93	170 131	273 198	69 66	58
14:00	124	74	94	23	117	1	0	116	172	1	173	44	129	74	180	290	30	51
15:00 16:00	119 68	61 57	63 26	15 6	78 32	1	0	77 32	95 63	0	95 63	24 16	71 47	80 58	117 98	173 95	56 42	46 51
17:00	39	44	18	4	22	0	0	22	34	0	34	8	26	29	66	56	21	40
18:00 DAY TOTAI	2 049	32	1 296	8 ^cc	42	0	0	42	46	0	1 920	11	35	35	1 950	3 540	24	24
	∠,048	921	1,386	334	1,720	10	U	1,/10	1,813	/	1,820	45/	1,303	1,119	1,950	3,540	002	J0/

### 2050 with 2 Active Berths
All Vehicl	e Classes																		20
Ending Hour	SR 528 M	Mainline 4	2 NP	Northbou 5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8 NP	Sou 8 CD	7 CD	Bridge	3 SP (to EP)	dge 9 5 P. (+ o. \\/P)	SR 528 N 4	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	v. Ramps	Ac
07:00	1,973	1,166	624	415	1,039	50	180	809	114	64	178	62	116	1,411	867	1,217	1,349	751	
08:00	2,714	1,489	686 595	458	1,144 979	54 43	260 304	830 632	174 423	722	896 1.770	420 813	476 957	2,448 2.585	1,507 2.118	2,040	2,028	1,031 1.161	
10:00	2,087	1,455	658	419	1,077	27	454	596	466	1,401	1,867	854	1,013	2,283	2,049	2,944	1,429	1,036	
11:00	2,332	1,724	882	607	1,489	35	705	749	411	1,049	1,460	654	806	2,104	1,923	2,949	1,450	1,117	
12:00	2,699	2,038	1,165	753	1,998	29 47	701	1,043	385	399	709	4/3	406	2,007	1,823	2,500	1,534	1,205	
14:00	1,911	1,510	603	399	1,002	31	329	642	390	301	691	277	414	1,585	1,525	1,693	1,308	1,111	
15:00	1,484	1,384	256	156	412	24	93 29	295	483	221	704 1 037	311	393 545	1,539	1,621	1,116	1,228	1,228	
17:00	1,060	1,741	77	43	120	10	29	81	851	322	1,173	559	614	1,542	2,312	1,293	983	1,698	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	1,703	1,498	63 7 537	29	92 12/478	5	4 220	79	351 6 088	134	485	218 5 930	267	1,858	1,736	25 791	1,640	1,469	
Vehicle C	assses 1-3	(Passenge	r Cars)	7,371	12,470		4,220	7,041	0,000	1,223	13,313	3,330	7,505	20,030	20,204	25,751	22,120	10,001	
Ending Hour	SR 528 M	Mainline 4	2	Northbou 5	nd Movemen Bridge	Across Bascu 6.1	lle Bridge 6.2	8	Sou 8	thbound Mov 7	vement Acros Bridge	is Bascule Bri 3	dge 9	SR 528 N 4	lainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	v. Ramps	
07.00	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way	EB	WB	
07:00	1,672 2,374	1,050	472	367 406	839 929	37	122 207	680 686	33 96	50 698	83 794	41 397	42 397	1,241 2,248	725	922	1,200	683 917	
09:00	2,042	1,371	417	324	741	22	236	483	265	1,236	1,501	751	750	2,376	1,797	2,242	1,625	1,047	
10:00	1,759	1,291	457	355 546	812	12 12	382 651	418 586	282	1,295 974	1,577	789	788 586	2,091	1,724	2,389	1,302	936	
12:00	2,389	1,864	1,004	781	1,785	13	958	814	236	597	833	417	416	1,802	1,499	2,618	1,385	1,083	
13:00	2,304	1,749	910	708	1,618	30	642	946	204	318	522	261	261	1,655	1,302	2,140	1,394	1,041	
14:00	1,009	1,339	456	127	292	12	278 64	216	388	208 169	420	215	215	1,424	1,210	1,240	1,211	1,005	
16:00	1,157	1,416	70	54	124	6	20	98	661	285	946	473	473	1,560	1,835	1,070	1,087	1,362	
17:00	953 1.562	1,605 1,392	39 25	30 19	69 44	3	9	57 37	817 305	273 102	1,090 407	545 203	545 204	1,459 1.740	2,120	1,159	914	1,575	
DAY TOTAL	25,897	21,640	5,596	4,344	9,940	241	3,640	6,059	4,275	6,398	10,673	5,335	5,338	25,636	22,634	20,613	20,301	17,296	
venicie C	SR 528 N	es) Mainline		Northbou	nd Movemen	t Across Bascu	le Bridge		Sou	thbound Mov	vement Acros	s Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	lainline				
Ending Hour	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	v. Ramps	
07:00	EB /1	WB 16	NB 33	NB	NB 42	NB 1	NB 31	NB 10	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB 7	Two-Way	EB	WB 7	
08:00	28	10	17	4	21	1	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	11	8	21	11	8	
09:00	34	15	24	7	31	1	23	7	0	68	68	17	51	27	59	99	10	8	
10:00	46	19	40	2	52 10	1	59	2	0	27	41	10	20	18	27	37	8	7	
12:00	40	17	33	9	42	1	31	10	0	41	41	10	31	17	39	83	7	8	
13:00	32	14	24	7	31	1	23 31	7 10	0	41 68	41	10 17	31	18	38 58	110	8	7	
15:00	14	10	8	2	10	1	7	2	0	13	13	3	10	9	18	23	6	8	
16:00	6	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	0	6	8	
17:00	13	12	8	2	10 0	1	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	10	5	10	
DAY TOTAL	354	182	244	67	311	11	228	72	0	312	312	77	235	187	350	623	110	115	
Vehicle C	lasses 5-7 (	Single-Uni	t TrucksL	ikely Prov	isioning Ve	hicles)													
	SR 528 M	Mainline		Northbou	nd Movemen	t Across Bascu	le Bridge		Sou	thbound Mov	vement Acros	is Bascule Bri	dge	SR 528 N	lainline				
Ending Hour	1	4	2	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	Bridge	3	9	4	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btv	v. Ramps	
07:00	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB 29	NB 11	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way	EB	WB	
07:00	139	62 79	23	21	38 55	11	38	0	0	24	14 24	3	21	108	79	79	105	58	
09:00	128	84	39	25	64	19	45	0	0	42	42	5	37	94	96	106	89	59	
10:00 11:00	98 111	69 79	29 41	19 27	48 68	15 21	33 47	0	0	64 46	64 46	8 6	56 40	77 76	106 92	112	69 70	50 52	
12:00	102	75	29	19	48	15	33	0	0	67	67	8	59	81	115	115	73	56	
13:00	104	75 61	31	20	51	15	36	0	0	39	39	5	34	78	89 71	90 E2	73	55	
14:00	75	67	20	11	29 32	9 10	20	0	0	24 39	24 39	5	34	64 60	89	71	55	55	
16:00	60	69	7	5	12	3	9	0	0	28	28	3	25	56	89	40	53	64	
17:00		66	4	2	19	2	4	0	0	49 32	32	4	43 28	49 75	92	38	71	64	
DAY TOTAL	1,358	1,079	311	196	507	155	352	0	0	508	508	61	447	1,108	1,330	1,015	1,047	883	
Vehicle C	lasses 8-15	(Heavy Tru	ucks)																
Ending	SR 528 M	Mainline A	2	Northbou 5	nd Movemen	Across Bascu	e Bridge	Q	Sour Q	thbound Mov 7	Rridgo	s Bascule Bri २	dge Q	SR 528 N	lainline 1	Bridge	SB 230 D+-	v Rampo	
Hour	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)	SB (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way	EB	WB	
07:00	161	38	96	24	120	1	0	119	81	0	81	20	61	85	75	201	65	14	
09:00	1/3	/5 75	112 115	27	139 143	0	0	139 142	/8 158	0	78 159	20 40	58 119	81 88	106	302	61 48	48	
10:00	182	76	132	33	165	0	0	165	184	1	185	47	138	97	181	350	50	43	
11:00	159 168	97 פא	130 99	32	162 123	1	0	161 123	212 149	2	214 150	54 २१	160 112	83 107	225 170	376	29	65 58	
13:00	139	69	73	18	91	1	0	90	106	1	107	27	80	93	131	198	66	51	
14:00	124	74	94	23	117	1	0	116	172	1	173	44	129	74	180	290	30	51	
16:00	68	57	26	6	78 32	1	0	32	95 63	0	95	24 16	/1 47	80 58	98	95	42	46	
17:00	39	44	18	4	22	0	0	22	34	0	34	8	26	29	66	56	21	40	
18:00 DAY TOTAL	58 2,048	32 921	34 1,386	334	42 1,720	0 10	0	42 1,710	46 1,813	0 7	46 1,820	11 457	35 1,363	35 1,119	59 1,950	88 3,540	24 662	24 587	

2050 with 3 Active Berths

l Vehicle	Classes	Aniuliu -		Martin -		A	de Deid					Based: D.1		CD 734	te in line				20
Ending Hour	SR 528 M	4	2	Northbour 5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8	8	7	ement Acros Bridge	3	9 9	SR 528 N	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw	/. Ramps	Act
07:00	EB 2,001	WВ 1,183	NB 652	NB 433	NB 1,085	NB 63	NB 180	NB 842	5B 133	5B 64	5B 197	SB (to EB) 5 71	B (to WB) 126	EB 1,420	WB 876	1wo-Way 1,282	EB 1,349	WB 750	
08:00	2,756	1,519	725	488	1,213	110	260	843	430	722	1,152	545	607	2,576	1,638	2,365	2,031	1,031	
09:00 10:00	2,425 2,205	1,585 1,540	654 777	424 504	1,078 1,281	126 108	304 454	648 719	882 944	1,347 1,401	2,229 2,345	1,034 1,084	1,195 1,261	2,805 2,512	2,356 2,297	3,307	1,771 1,428	1,161 1,036	
11:00	2,547	1,888	1,097	771	1,868	114	705	1,049	772	1,049	1,821	826	995	2,276	2,112	3,689	1,450	1,117	
12:00 13:00	3,033	2,293	1,499	1,088 979	2,587	89 50	1,022 701	1,476 1,562	612 427	706 399	1,318 876	576 354	742 472	2,110	1,947 1.625	3,905	1,534	1,205	
14:00	2,053	1,617	745	506	1,251	30	329	892	467	301	768	309	459	1,617	1,570	2,019	1,308	1,155	
15:00	1,515	1,405	285	179	464	31	93	340	517	221	738	322	416	1,552	1,642	1,202	1,230	1,226	
17:00	1,296	1,554	83	47	130	15	29	84	874	313	1,075	508	631	1,548	2,055	1,252	983	1,486	
18:00	1,705	1,498	64	30	94	7	8	79	364	134	498	221	277	1,862	1,745	592	1,641	1,468	
	30,951	24,788	8,827	5,908	14,735	817	4,220	9,698	8,216	7,225	15,441	6,915	8,526	29,039	27,406	30,176	22,124	18,880	
enicie Cla	SR 528 M	Nainline	r Cars)	Northbour	nd Movement	Across Bascu	Je Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bridg	ge	SR 528 N	lainline				
Ending Hour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB) S	9 B (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btw EB	v. Ramps WB	
07:00	1,685	1,060	485	377	862	37	122	703	48	50	98	49	49	1,249	732	960	1,200	683	
08:00	2,401	1,344 1 /01	549 457	427	976 811	75 84	207	694 491	344 693	698 1 236	1,042 1 929	521 965	521	2,373	1,438 2 011	2,018	1,852	917	
10:00	1,857	1,401	556	431	987	77	382	528	730	1,295	2,025	1,013	1,012	2,309	1,948	3,012	1,301	936	
11:00	2,245	1,694	903	701	1,604	70	651	883	538	974	1,512	756	756	2,098	1,749	3,116	1,342	993	
12:00 13:00	2,706 2 583	2,110 1 966	1,321	1,027 925	2,348	57 16	958 642	1,333 1 457	431 299	597 318	1,028 617	514 308	514 309	1,899 1 701	1,597	3,376	1,385	1,083	
14:00	1,798	1,500	588	456	1,044	10	278	756	255	208	478	239	239	1,449	1,242	1,522	1,353	1,041	
15:00	1,298	1,263	186	145	331	9	64	258	406	169	575	288	287	1,400	1,405	906	1,112	1,118	
16:00 17:00	1,159 953	1,418 1 606	72 40	56 30	128 70	7	20 0	101 57	690 824	285 273	975 1 097	488 549	487 548	1,575 1 462	1,849 2,124	1,103	1,087	1,362	
18:00	1,562	1,392	25	50 19	44	3	4	37	308	102	410	205	205	1,742	1,578	454	1,537	1,373	
TOTAL	27,028	22,519	6,730	5,223	11,953	476	3,640	7,837	6,160	6,398	12,558	6,277	6,281	26,575	23,577	24,511	20,298	17,296	
nicle Cla	ass 4 (Buse	s)																	
nding Hour	SR 528 M	Aainline 4	2	Northbour 5	nd Movement Bridge	Across Basco 6.1	6.2	8	Sout 8	hbound Mov 7	ement Acros Bridge	s Bascule Bridg	ge 9	SR 528 N	lainline 1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw	/. Ramps	
07:00	EB 49	WB 18	NB 40	NB 12	NB 52	NB 1	NB 31	NB 20	SB	SB	SB	SB (to EB)S	B (to WB)	EB	WB	Two-Way 52	EB	WB 6	
08:00	32	14	20	6	26	1	15	10	0	0	0	0	0	12	8	26	12	8	
09:00	40	17	30	9	39	1	23	15	17	68	85	22	63	32	71	124	10	8	
10:00 11:00	58 18	22 10	50 10	15 3	65 13	0 1	39 7	26	10 7	41 27	51 3/1	13 8	38 26	21 16	45	116 47	8	7	
12:00	48	20	40	12	52	1	31	20	10	41	51	13	38	21	46	103	8	8	
13:00	38	16	30	9	39	1	23	15	10	41	51	13	38	21	45	90	8	7	
14:00 15:00	47	19	40	12	52	1	31	20	17	68 12	85 17	22	63	29	70	137	7	7	
16:00	16 6	10 8	10	3	13	1	0	5	4 0	13	17	4	13	10	20	30	6	/	
17:00	15	13	10	3	13	1	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	13	5	10	
18:00 TOTAL	8	203	0 300	0	0 390	0	0 228	0	0	0 312	0 391	0	0	8 213	8	0 781	8	8	
hicle Cla	414 asses 5-7 (	203	t Trucks	ikely Provi	sioning Ve	hicles)	228	131	75	512	351	33	232	213	405	781	114	115	
	SR 528 M	Nainline		Northbour	nd Movement	Across Bascu	ule Bridge		Sout	hbound Mov	ement Acros	s Bascule Bridg	ge	SR 528 N	lainline				
lour	1 EB	4 WB	2 NB	5 NB	Bridge NB	6.1 NB	6.2 NB	8 NB	8 SB	7 SB	Bridge SB	3 SB (to EB) S	9 B (to WB)	4 EB	1 WB	Bridge Two-Way	SR 528 Btw EB	v. Ramps WB	
07:00	106	67	31	20	51	24	27	0	4	14	18	2	16	77	63	69	75	47	
08:00	150	86	44	28	72 05	34	38	0	8	24	32	4	28	110	86	104	106	58	
10:00	141	92 75	39	53 25	64	40	45	0	20	42 64	50 84	11	49 73	90 80	123	141	69	59	
11:00	125	87	54	35	89	42	47	0	15	46	61	8	53	79	105	150	71	52	
12:00	111	81	39	25	64	31	33	0	22	67	89	11	78	83	134	153	72	56	
14:00	84	65	23	15	38	52 18	20	0	8	59 24	32	4	45	65	78	70	61	54	
15:00	82	71	26	16	42	20	22	0	12	39	51	6	45	62	100	93	56	55	
16:00 17:00	63 50	71 92	11	6 10	17 25	8 12	9 12	0	9 16	28 10	37	4 9	33	56 52	98 130	54	52	65 72	
18:00	59 77	66 66	5	3	23	4	4	0	10	49 32	42	ہ 5	37	52 77	100	50	72	63	
TOTAL	1,461	1,145	411	261	672	320	352	0	164	508	672	82	590	1,132	1,474	1,344	1,050	884	
hicle Cla	asses 8-15	(Heavy Tru	icks)				4.844		_										
nding Hour	SR 528 M	4	2 ND	5	Bridge	6.1	6.2	8 NP	8 CD	7 CD	ement Acros Bridge	3 SB (to ED)	9 B (to M/D)	SR 528 N 4	1	Bridge	SR 528 Btw	/. Ramps	
07:00	161	38	96	24	120	סא <u>י</u> 1	0	119	81	35	<u>эр</u> 81	20	61	85	75	201	65	vvd 14	
08:00	173	75	112	27	139	0	0	139	78	0	78	20	58	81	106	217	61	48	
09:00	163	75	115	28	143	1	0	142	158	1	159	40	119	88	166	302	48	47	
11:00	182 159	76 97	132 130	33 २२	165 162	0	0	165 161	184 212	1	185 214	47 54	138 160	97 83	181 225	350	50 29	43	
12:00	168	82	99	24	102	0	0	123	149	1	150	38	112	107	170	273	69	58	
13:00	139	69	73	18	91	1	0	90	106	1	107	27	80	93	131	198	66	51	
14:00 15:00	124	74 61	94 62	23	117 70	1	0	116 77	172	1	173 oc	44 24	129	74 20	180 117	290	30	51	
16:00	68	57	26		32	0	0	32	63	0	63	16	47	58	98	95	42	51	
7:00	39	44	18	4	22	0	0	22	34	0	34	8	26	29	66	56	21	40	
8:UÜ	58	32	1 200	8	42	0	0	42	46	0	46	11	35	35	1 950	3 540	24	24	
OTAL	2.04×	971	1.38h		1.770	10.0	1.1	1.4.44	T			47/	T H	1	1	J			

### 2050 with 4 Active Berths

APPENDIX C

Existing HCS Analysis

### **Project Information**

i roject information								
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022					
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing					
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM					
Project Description	SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary					
Geometric Data								
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level					
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-					
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-					
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00					
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0					
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10							
Adjustment Factors								
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000					
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000					
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000					
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001					
Demand and Capacity								
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2300	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.917					
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.86	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1458					
Total Trucks, %	9.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300					
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302					
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.63					
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00							
Speed and Density								
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0					
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	24.3					
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	С					
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0							

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

d. HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 08:50:05

AM Existing SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401.xuf

### **Project Information**

r roject information								
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022					
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing					
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12 PM					
Project Description	SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary					
Geometric Data								
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level					
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-					
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-					
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00					
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0					
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10							
Adjustment Factors								
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000					
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000					
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000					
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001					
Demand and Capacity								
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1600	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.885					
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.91	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	994					
Total Trucks, %	13.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300					
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302					
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.43					
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00							
Speed and Density								
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0					
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	16.6					
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В					
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0							

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

d. HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 08:51:40

MD Existing SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401.xuf

### HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ee i i ee i i e			
Project Information					
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022	
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing	
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM	
Project Description	SR 528 EB Ramp	to SR 401 NB off Loop	Units	U.S. Custo	mary
Geometric Data					
			Freeway	Ramp	
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0	
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration L	_ength (LD)	, ft	1500	320	
Terrain Type			Level	Level	
Percent Grade, %			-	-	
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	re Weather
Incident Type			No Incident	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream			0	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)			1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCA	AV		1.000	-	
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			2300 650		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86 0.89		
Total Trucks, %			9.00 6.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.917	0.943	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2916	774	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.63	0.39	
Speed and Density					
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ	), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freev	vay (No), In	0
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	t	-	Speed Index (DS)		0.498
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (I	LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	DWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed	(SR), mi/h	51.0
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO)	mi/h	65.8
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2916	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 51.0		51.0

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	28.6
Level of Service (LOS)	С	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	26.4
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ger	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:50:29

AM Existing SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ee i i ee may i	enge kepere		
Project Information					
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022	
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing	
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11 AM- 12	PM
Project Description	SR 528 EB <sup>:</sup> Ramp	to SR 401 NB off Loop	Units	U.S. Custo	mary
Geometric Data					
			Freeway	Ramp	
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0	
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration L	.ength (LD),	ft	1500	320	
Terrain Type			Level	Level	
Percent Grade, %			-	-	
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather
Incident Type			No Incident	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream			0	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)			1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCA	AV		1.000	-	
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1600		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91		
Total Trucks, %			13.00 16.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fH	IV)		0.885	0.862	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1987	319	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.43	0.16	
Speed and Density					
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ)	), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft	t	-	Speed Index (DS)		0.457
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (L	_EQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	WN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	51.8
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	65.8
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1987	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 51.8		51.8

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	19.2
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	18.5
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:51:31

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022 MD Existing SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

			<u> </u>				
Project Information			1				
Analyst	HA		Date	6/14/2022			
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing			
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM			
Project Description	SB 401 SB	On Ramp to EB SR 528	Units	U.S. Custo	mary		
Geometric Data							
			Freeway	Ramp	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0			
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	760			
Terrain Type			Level	Level			
Percent Grade, %			-	-			
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane		
Adjustment Factors							
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather		
Incident Type			No Incident	-			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream			0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)			1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFcAV			1.000	-			
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (C	CAF)		1.000	1.000 1.000			
Demand and Capacity							
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1650				
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.76				
Total Trucks, %			9.00 0.00				
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-			
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.917	1.000			
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2368	1			
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000			
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000			
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.52	0.00			
Speed and Density			^				
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	ב), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Free	way (No), In	0		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.309		
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-		
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (SR), mi/h		54.4		
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), mi/h		60.0		
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 2368		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		54.4			

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	2369	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	21.8
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	19.3
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 06/16/2022 16:49:51

AM Existing SR 401 SB On Ramp to EB SR 528 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information							
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022			
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing			
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11:00 AM-	12:00 PM		
Project Description	SB 401 SB	On Ramp to EB SR 528	Units	U.S. Custo	mary		
Geometric Data							
			Freeway	Ramp	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0			
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	760			
Terrain Type			Level	Level			
Percent Grade, %			-	-			
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane		
Adjustment Factors							
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather		
Incident Type			No Incident	-			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream			0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)			1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFcav			1.000	-			
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	CAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand and Capacity			^				
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1350				
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91 0.86				
Total Trucks, %			13.00	5.00			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-			
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	fHV)		0.885	0.952			
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1676	244			
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000			
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000			
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.42	0.12			
Speed and Density							
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LE	Q), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	/ay (NO), In	0		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.294		
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-		
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (SR), mi/h		54.7		
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), mi/h		60.0		
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h	nes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 1676		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h	54.7			

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1920	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	17.6
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	15.6
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 06/14/2022 16:18:40

MD Existing SB 401 SB On Ramp to EB SR 528 Merge.xuf

Generated: 06/14/2022 16:18:40

### **Project Information**

r roject information				
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022	
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing	
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM	
Project Description	SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd	Units	U.S. Customary	
Geometric Data				
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00	
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10			
Adjustment Factors				
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000	
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001	
Demand and Capacity				
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1650	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.76	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1194	
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.52	
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00			
Speed and Density				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	19.9	
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	С	
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0			

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 08:55:43

AM Existing SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd.xuf

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM- 12:00 PM		
Project Description	SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1550	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.901		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.93	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	925		
Total Trucks, %	11.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.40		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	15.4		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 08:57:21

MD Existing SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd.xuf

### **Project Information**

НА	Date	6 112 12 22 2		
	Date	6/13/2022		
FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing		
	Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary		
2	Terrain Type	Level		
-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
10				
Adjustment Factors				
All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
1200	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.926		
0.89	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	728		
8.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.32		
2.00				
Speed and Density				
0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.1		
0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В		
60.0				
	FDOT       FDOT       SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401       SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401       2       -       Base       60.0       12       0.0       All Familiar       Non-Severe Weather       No Incident       1200       0.89       8.00       -       2.00       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0	FDOTAnalysis YearFDOTAnalysis YearTime AnalyzedSR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401Units2Terrain Type-Percent Grade, %BaseGrade Length, mi60.0Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi12Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h102Terrain Type11Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h10Capacity Adjustment Factor (SAF)Non-Severe WeatherDemand Adjustment Factor (DAF)No IncidentCapacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)1Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV1200Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)0.89Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln8.00Capacity (c), pc/h/ln-Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln-Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)2.00Density (D), pc/mi/ln0.0Average Speed (S), mi/h0.0Level of Service (LOS)60.0Ievel of Service (LOS)		

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 08:37:36

AM Existing SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401.xuf

### **Project Information**

roject mornation					
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM - 12:00 PM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1200	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.926		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.91	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	712		
Total Trucks, %	8.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.31		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	11.9		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 08:59:39

MD Existing SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401.xuf

### HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		The streeway	Diverge Report			
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022	2	
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB Ramp	to SR 401 NB off	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration Le	ength (LD),	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	ed Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Seve	re Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCA	V		1.000	-		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1200	350		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.89	0.89	0.89	
Total Trucks, %			8.00	6.00	6.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fH	V)		0.926	0.943	0.943	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1456	417	417	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h		4600	4000	4000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)		0.32	0.10	0.10		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft -		Number of Outer Lanes on Free	way (NO), In	0		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft -		Speed Index (DS)		0.466		
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (L	.EQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDO	WN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed	(SR), mi/h	51.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (So	), mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1456 Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 51.6		51.6		

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	14.1
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	3.3
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:58:47

AM Existing SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i co i i co vay	Diverge Report			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-12F	M	
Project Description SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB off Ramp		to SR 401 NB off	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration I	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1200	200		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.79	0.79	
Total Trucks, %			8.00	10.00	10.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fi	HV)		0.926	0.909	0.909	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1424	279	279	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h		4600	4000	4000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)		0.31	0.07	0.07		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft -		Number of Outer Lanes on Freev	vay (NO), In	0		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft -		Speed Index (Ds)	x (Ds) 0.453			
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed	(SR), mi/h	51.8	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	d 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO)	, mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 1424		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		51.8		

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.7
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	3.0
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:59:28

erved. HCS TMM Freeways Version 2022 MD Existing SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Applyst			Data	6/14/2022	,	
Analyst F			Date Analysis Veen	6/14/2022	<u>-</u>	
Agency F	-DOI			Existing		
				7-8 AM		
528		On Ramp to WB SR	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration Le	ength (LA),	ft	1500	550		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	ed One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Seve	Non-Severe Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Zapacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV		1.000	-	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CA	νF)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity			·			
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			850	150	150	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.89	0.60	0.60	
Total Trucks, %			8.00	20.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fн\	v)		0.926	0.833	0.833	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1031	300	300	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.29	0.15		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ),	, ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft		-	Speed Index (MS)		0.297	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (Le	EQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDOV	wn), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	54.7	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	2 (Pfm)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), mi/h 60.0		60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1031	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 54.7		54.7	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1331	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.2
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	12.3
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 06/14/2022 16:42:11

AM Existing SB 401 SB On Ramp to WB SR 528 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Applied Information	10		Data	6/14/2022	,	
Analyst				6/14/2022	-	
Agency F	DOT			Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11 AM- 12	2 PM	
528		On Ramp to WB SR	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration Le	ngth (LA),	ft	1500	550		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	ed One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Seve	re Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV		1.000	-	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CA	F)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1000	200	200	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.94	0.94	
Total Trucks, %			8.00	30.00	30.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV	/)		0.926	0.769	0.769	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1187	277	277	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4600	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.32	0.14		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ),	ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft		-	Speed Index (MS)		0.299	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (LE	Q), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDOW	VN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	54.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	2 (Pfm)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), mi/h 60.0		60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1187	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 54.6		54.6	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1464	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.4
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/ln	13.4
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ger	nerated: 06/16/2022 14:37:52

MD Existing SR 401 SB On Ramp to WB SR 528 Merge.xuf

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1000	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.901		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.85	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	653		
Total Trucks, %	11.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.28		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	10.9		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:03:15

AM Existing SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr.xuf

I. HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

#### **Project Information**

r roject information						
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022			
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing			
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM 0 12:00 PM			
Project Description	SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr	Units	U.S. Customary			
Geometric Data	Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00			
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0			
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10					
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000			
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001			
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1200	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.893			
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.93	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	722			
Total Trucks, %	12.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.31			
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00					
Speed and Density	Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0			
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.0			
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В			
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0					

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCSTM Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:06:01

MD Existing SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		, see the entry	- 31			
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 401 NB	off Ramp to Charles	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration L	ength (LD),	ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	•	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream			0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCA	V		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1000	60		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.90	0.57	0.57	
Total Trucks, %			6.00	33.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fH	IV)		0.943	0.752	0.752	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1178	140	140	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.26	0.04		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ)	Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft -		Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft	:	-	Speed Index (DS) 0.4		0.441	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (L	.EQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDO	WN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	43.7	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	49.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1178	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 43.7		43.7	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.5	
Level of Service (LOS)	А	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	0.9	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:38:35	

AM Existing SR 401 NB Off Ramp to Charles.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		,	- 31			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-!2P	M	
Project Description	SR 401 NB	off Ramp to Charles	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	re Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Strean	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			450	120		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.84	0.50	0.50	
Total Trucks, %			13.00	33.00	33.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.885	0.752		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			605	319	319	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.13	0.08		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	ג), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	ft	-	Speed Index (Ds)		0.457	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	43.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	49.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		605	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 43.6		43.6	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	6.9
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	0.0
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:39:27

MD Existing SR 401 NB Off Ramp to Charles.xuf

Generated: 07/27/2022 09:39:27

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

			<b>3</b> 1			
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	Charles SB	on Ramp to SB SR 401	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration I	_ength (LA),	ft	1500	925		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	re Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	11		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF	-)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAV	/s, CAFCAV		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (C	AF)		1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			100	50		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86	0.51		
Total Trucks, %			30.00	0.00	0.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fi	HV)		0.769	1.000	1.000	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			151	98	98	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.06	0.05		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	٤), ft		Number of Outer Lanes on Free	eway (No), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	ť	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.261	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/lr	1	-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	JWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed	l (SR), mi/h	44.2	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	ıd 2 (Рғм)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (Sc	), mi/h	45.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		151	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 44.2		44.2	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	249	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	2.8
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	1.6
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:24:56

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022 AM Existing Charles SB on Ramp to SB SR 401 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	Existing		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-12P	М	
Project Description	Charles SB	on Ramp to SB SR 401	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data			_			
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	925		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	vs, CAFcav		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (C	CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			300	100		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.93	0.83	0.83	
Total Trucks, %			17.00	20.00	20.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.855	0.833	0.833	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			377	145	145	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.12	0.07		
Speed and Density			•			
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ຊ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (No), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.263	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln -		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	44.2	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	45.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		377	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 44.2		44.2	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	522	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	5.9
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	3.8
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:27:49

MD Existing Charles SB on Ramp to SB SR 401 Merge.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	Units	U.S. Customary

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

0.0

0.8

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.3		
Direction 1 Adjustment Fact	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	pacity		
Volume (V) veh/h	1000	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.943
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	Flow Rate (V <sub>p</sub> ), pc/h/ln	393
Total Trucks, %	6.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.21
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	8.9

Level of Service (LOS)

А
Direction 2 Geometric Data			
Direction 2	SB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	2.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.5		
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 2 Demand and Capacity			
Volume (V) veh/h	150	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.833
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	70
Total Trucks, %	20.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.04
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.5
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	1.6
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.5		
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS			
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	370	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	3.75
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	D
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 09:22:13

Existing AM SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

# **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12PM
Project Description	SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	Units	U.S. Customary

#### Direction 1 Geometric Data

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.3		
Direction 1 Adjustment Factor	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	acity		
Volume (V) veh/h	450	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.885
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	202
Total Trucks, %	13.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.11
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2

Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	4.6
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	А
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8		

Direction 2 Geometric Data			
Direction 2	SB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	2.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.5		
Direction 2 Adjustment Factor	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 2 Demand and Capacity			
Volume (V) veh/h	400	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.847
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	Flow Rate (V <sub>p</sub> ), pc/h/ln	169
Total Trucks, %	18.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.09
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.5
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	3.8
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.5		
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS			
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	179	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ine Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 09:28:21

Existing MD SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

# **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North	Units	U.S. Customary

#### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

1.6

0.8

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7		
Direction 1 Adjustment Factors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	acity		
Volume (V) veh/h	940	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.952
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	548
Total Trucks, %	5.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.29
Direction 1 Speed and Densit	ţy		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.9

Level of Service (LOS)

В

Direction 2 Geometric Data			
Direction 2	SB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7		
Direction 2 Adjustment Factor	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 2 Demand and Capacity			
Volume (V) veh/h	100	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.769
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	76
Total Trucks, %	30.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.04
Direction 2 Speed and Densi	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	1.8
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	1.6	Level of Service (LOS)	A
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8		
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS			
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	522	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 10:19:24

Existing AM SR 401 from Charles Rowland to North Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	Existing
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12PM
Project Description	SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North	Units	U.S. Customary

#### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

0.0

1.6

0.8

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7		
Direction 1 Adjustment Factors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Capa	Direction 1 Demand and Capacity		
Volume (V) veh/h	330	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.870
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	226
Total Trucks, %	15.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.12
Direction 1 Speed and Density			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6

Density (D), pc/mi/ln

Level of Service (LOS)

5.3

А

Direction 2 Geometric Data			
Direction 2	SB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7		
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	Direction 2 Demand and Capacity		
Volume (V) veh/h	300	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.855
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	188
Total Trucks, %	17.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.10
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	4.4
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	1.6	Level of Service (LOS)	A
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8		
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS			
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	196	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights I	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 10:25:04

Rights Reserved. HCS www Wulliance version 2022 Existing MD SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North Multi.xuf

APPENDIX D

Alternatives Layouts







# MERCHER RECEIPTION OF THE CONT

(<u>0-</u>)

-

CEED.

State State State

1000111

# LEGENDPROPOSED BRIDGEPROPOSED ROADWAYEXIST LA R/W LINEEXIST R/W LINE

0.00

Constant of the second of the



# A CONTRACT

(<u>0-</u>)

1000

CEED.

2. The grant have

-----

# LEGEND PROPOSED BRIDGE PROPOSED ROADWAY EXIST LA R/W LINE EXIST R/W LINE

0.00

Sector and Sector of





# A CONTRACT

(<u>0-</u>)

-

CEED.

2 Stargene hundred

1000111

# LEGEND PROPOSED BRIDGE PROPOSED ROADWAY EXIST LA R/W LINE EXIST R/W LINE

0.00

Constant of the second of the

#### STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# CONTRACT PLANS

INDEX OF SIGNING AND

#### PAVEMENT MARKING PLANS

SHEET DESCRIPTION SHEET NO.

5-1	KEY SHEET
5-2	SIGNATURE SHEET
5-3 - 5-18	TABULATION OF QUANTITIES
5-19	GENERAL NOTES
5-20 -5-24	SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKING PROJECT LAYOUT
S-25 - S-76	SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKING PLAN
S-77 - S-87	GUIDE SIGN WORKSHEET

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID 407402-4-52-01

BREVARD COUNTY (70070/70080)

STATE ROAD NO. 528

## SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKING PLANS

NOTE TO REVIEWERS:

PHASE II DESIGN AND PLANS WERE DEVELOPED, THEN PLACED ON HOLD PER DEPARTMENT DIRECTION SINCE APRIL 30, 2020. THESE PLANS WERE NOT PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED. RECENTLY, THE DEPARTMENT HAS DECIDED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH PERMITTING OF THE PROJECT THAT REQUIRES SUBMITTAL AND REVIEW OF PHASE II PLANS INCLUDING ALL PLAN COMPONENTS.

> PHASE II SUBMITTAL APRIL 30, 2020



#### SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKING PLANS ENGINEER OF RECORD:

OLGA P. MENDOZA, P.E. NO. 87335 RS&H, INC. 301 E. PINE ST., SUITE 350 ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32801 (407) 893-5800 CONTRACT NO. C-8Q05 VENDOR ID NO. 59-2986466-002 CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION NO. 00005620

#### FDOT PROJECT MANAGER:

TALEB SHAMS, P.,E.

CONSTRUCTION	FISCAL	SHEET
CONTRACT NO.	YEAR	NO.
	N/A	

X:\P\1070038000 SR 528 (SR 3 to CPA)\40740245201\signing\KEYSSP01.dar



mendozao















APPENDIX E

2030 No Build/Build HCS Analysis

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary
Geometric Data			
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10		
Adjustment Factors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001
Demand and Capacity			
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2400	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.86	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1023
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.44
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00		
Speed and Density			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	17.0
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0		

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/21/2022 09:51:26

2030 AM SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401.xuf

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12 PM
Project Description	SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary
Geometric Data			
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10		
Adjustment Factors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001
Demand and Capacity			
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2500	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.91	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1007
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.44
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00		
Speed and Density			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	16.8
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0		

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:43:48

2030 MD SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ee i i ee ii ay i	energe Report			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	Project Description SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB off Loc Ramp		Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration I	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	320		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	ו		0	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF	·)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			2400	650		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86	0.89	0.89	
Total Trucks, %			10.00	17.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fi	⊣∨)		0.909	0.855		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			3070	854		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h		6900	2000	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)		0.44	0.43	0.43		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ	)), ft	7822.1	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	t	1400	Speed Index (DS)		0.505	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		789	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	50.9	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	d 2 (Pfd)	0.644	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2281	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 54.0		54.0	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	19.0
Level of Service (LOS)	С	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	21.0
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ger	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:52:20

2030 AM SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

# HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ee i i ee ii ay				
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11 AM-12	PM	
Project Description	SR 528 EB Ramp	to SR 401 NB off Loop	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	320		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Strean	n		0	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			2500	1100	1100	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.91		
Total Trucks, %			10.00	10.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.909	0.909		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			3022	1330		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.44	0.67		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (Leo	ב), ft	60011.2	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), t	ft	1400	Speed Index (DS)		0.548	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		638	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	50.1	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFD)	0.623	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2384	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 52.8		52.8	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	19.1
Level of Service (LOS)	С	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	21.9
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:53:12

2030 MD SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

# HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SB 401 SB	On Ramp to EB SR 528	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	760		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFCAV		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (C	CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1750	400		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86	0.70	0.70	
Total Trucks, %			10.00	5.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.909	0.952		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2239	600		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.41	0.30		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ວ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (Ms) 0.301		0.301	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	4054.1	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		685	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	1400	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	54.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	0.694	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	mi/h	59.3	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1554	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 55.7		55.7	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	2154	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	17.0
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	17.3
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 12:39:58

2030 AM SR 401 SB On Ramp to EB SR 528 Merge.xuf

Generated: 07/27/2022 12:39:58

# HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11:00 AM-	12:00 PM	
Project Description	SB 401 SB	On Ramp to EB SR 528	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	760		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors			-	_		
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAI	F)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	√s, CAFCAV		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (C	CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1400	450		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.86	0.86	
Total Trucks, %			10.00	11.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.909	0.901		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1692	581	581	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.33	0.29		
Speed and Density			•			
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (Leo	ລຸ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.287	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	1670.9	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		662	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	1400	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	54.8	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	าd 2 (PFM)	0.609	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	59.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1030	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 56.1		56.1	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1611	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.5
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	13.1
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 12:40:45

2030 MD SR 401 SB On Ramp to EB SR 528 Merge.xuf

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd	Units	U.S. Customary
Geometric Data			
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10		
Adjustment Factors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001
Demand and Capacity			
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2150	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.935
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.76	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1009
Total Trucks, %	7.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.44
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00		
Speed and Density			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	16.8
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0		

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:47:45

2030 AM SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd.xuf

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022			
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030			
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM- 12:00 PM			
Project Description	SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd	Units	U.S. Customary			
Geometric Data						
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00			
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0			
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10					
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000			
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001			
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1850	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909			
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.93	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	729			
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.32			
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00					
Speed and Density						
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0			
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.2			
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В			
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0					

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:52:54

2030 MD SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd.xuf

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1400	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.901		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.89	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	582		
Total Trucks, %	11.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.25		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	9.7		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 11:28:31

2030 AM SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401.xuf

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

#### **Project Information**

i roject information						
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022			
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030			
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM - 12:00 PM			
Project Description	SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary			
Geometric Data						
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00			
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0			
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10					
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000			
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001			
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1900	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.926			
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.91	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	752			
Total Trucks, %	8.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.33			
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00					
Speed and Density						
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0			
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.5			
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В			
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0					

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/21/2022 09:48:24

2030 MD SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401.xuf

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022
# HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ce	Diverge Report			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB Ramp	8 to SR 401 NB off	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population		All Familiar	All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type		Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather		
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	CAV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1400	450		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.89	0.89		
Total Trucks, %			11.00	9.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.901	0.917		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1746	551	551	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.25	0.28		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ຊ), ft	12023.3	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (NO), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	2200	Speed Index (DS)		0.478	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		369	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	51.4	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFD)	0.691	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 1377		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		53.9		

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	10.8
Level of Service (LOS)	А	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	2.6
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 10:00:07

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022 2030 AM SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

### HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		incorrection y	energenepone			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-12P	M	
Project Description	SR 528 WE Ramp	3 to SR 401 NB off	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data	-					
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population		All Familiar	All Familia	All Familiar		
Weather Type		Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather		
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1900	800		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.79	0.79	
Total Trucks, %			8.00	5.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.926	0.952	0.952	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2255	1064		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	4000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.33	0.27		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	2), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	ft	2200	Speed Index (DS)		0.524	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		655	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	50.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	nd 2 (PFD)	0.450	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1600	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 54.2		54.2	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.9
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	4.5
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 10:00:46

2030 MD SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

### HCS Freeway Merge Report

			merge kepere			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SB 401 SB 528	On Ramp to WB SR	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	550		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population		All Familiar	All Familiar			
Weather Type		Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather		
Incident Type		No Incident	-			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFCAV		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity				_		
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			950	450		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.89	0.60		
Total Trucks, %			11.00	11.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (	fH∨)		0.901	0.901		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1185	832		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.29	0.42		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LE	Q), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (NO), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.301	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	3271.0	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		456	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	2200	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	54.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 a	nd 2 (PFM)	0.615	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	ni/h	60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 729		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		55.7		

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1561	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.1
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	13.9
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 15:34:38

2030 AM SR 401 SB On Ramp to WB SR 528 Merge.xuf

### HCS Freeway Merge Report

		<b>,</b>				
Project Information	-		1			
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11 AM-12	PM	
Project Description	SB 401 SB 528	On Ramp to WB SR	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	550		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population		All Familiar	All Familiar			
Weather Type		Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather		
Incident Type		No Incident	-			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFcAv		1.000	-			
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1100	550		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.94		
Total Trucks, %			8.00	27.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (	fHV)		0.926	0.787		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1305	743		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.30	0.37		
Speed and Density			·	<u>.</u>		
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft -		Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (Ms)		0.302	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	6310.5	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		423	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	oown), ft	2200	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	54.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 a	nd 2 (PFM)	0.676	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	mi/h	60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		882	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 55.6		55.6	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1625	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.3
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	14.4
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 15:35:45

2030 MD SR 401 SB On Ramp to WB SR 528 Merge.xuf

# HCS Basic Freeway Report

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022	
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030	
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM	
Project Description	SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr	Units	U.S. Customary	
Geometric Data				
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00	
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10			
Adjustment Factors				
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000	
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001	
Demand and Capacity				
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1400	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.901	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.85	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	609	
Total Trucks, %	11.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.26	
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00			
Speed and Density				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	10.2	
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A	
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0			

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 11:36:39

2030 AM SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr.xuf

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

# HCS Basic Freeway Report

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022	
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030	
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM 0 12:00 PM	
Project Description	SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr	Units	U.S. Customary	
Geometric Data				
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00	
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10			
Adjustment Factors				
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000	
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001	
Demand and Capacity				
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1650	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.862	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.93	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	686	
Total Trucks, %	16.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.30	
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00			
Speed and Density				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	11.4	
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В	
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0			

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 11:42:31

2030 MD SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr.xuf

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

			<u> </u>			
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 401 NB	off Ramp to Charles	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD),	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population		All Familiar	All Familiar			
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1100	290		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.90	0.57	0.57	
Total Trucks, %			14.00	33.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fi	HV)		0.877	0.752		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1394	677	677	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.31	0.17		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	2), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (No), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	ft	-	Speed Index (Ds)		0.489	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	43.5	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	nd 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	49.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1394	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 43.5		43.5	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	16.0
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	2.7
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	d. HCS TM Freewa 2030 AM SR 401 NB C	ys Version 2022 Ge ff Ramp to Charles.xuf	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:40:04

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5 1			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-!2P	Μ	
Project Description	SR 401 NB	off Ramp to Charles	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	re Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	CAV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1900	820		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.84	0.50		
Total Trucks, %			8.00	29.00	29.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.926	0.775		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2443	2116		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.54	0.53		
Speed and Density			-			
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ວ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (DS)		0.618	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	43.1	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	49.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2443	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 43.1		43.1	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	28.3
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	11.8
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCS TM Freewa 2030 MD SR 401 NB C	ys Version 2022 Ge ff Ramp to Charles.xuf	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:41:39

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information			1	-		
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	Charles SB	on Ramp to SB SR 401	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	925		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Strear	n		0	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFcav		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			300	550		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86	0.51		
Total Trucks, %			17.00	4.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	fhv)		0.855	0.962		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			408	1121		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.34	0.56		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LE	Q), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft -		Speed Index (MS)		0.274		
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln -		
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	44.2	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	45.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		408	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 44.2		44.2	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1529	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	17.3
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	11.2
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:31:42

HCS T Freeways Version 2022 2030 AM Charles SB on Ramp to SB SR 401 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2030		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-12P	M	
Project Description	Charles SB	on Ramp to SB SR 401	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	925		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Strear	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	vs, CAFcav		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			450	550		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.93	0.83	0.83	
Total Trucks, %			27.00	15.00	15.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.787	0.870		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			615	762		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.31	0.38		
Speed and Density			•			
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ຊ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (No), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.272	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	44.2	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	45.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		615	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 44.2		44.2	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1377	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	15.6
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	10.1
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:32:53

HCS M Freeways Version 2022 2030 MD Charles SB on Ramp to SB SR 401 Merge.xuf

## HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	Units	U.S. Customary

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.3		
Direction 1 Adjustment Fact	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	pacity		
Volume (V) veh/h	1100	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.877
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	Flow Rate (V <sub>p</sub> ), pc/h/ln	465
Total Trucks, %	14.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.24
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	10.5

Level of Service (LOS)

А

0.0

0.8

Direction 2 Geometric Data				
Direction 2	SB			
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	2.0	
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6	
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.5			
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Driver Population CAF	1.000			
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity			
Volume (V) veh/h	850	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.926	
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	356	
Total Trucks, %	8.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.19	
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.5	
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	8.0	
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A	
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.5			
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	407	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62	
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-	
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 09:24:46	

Rights Reserved. HCS was initiating version 2022 2030 AM SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12PM
Project Description	SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	Units	U.S. Customary

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

0.0

0.8

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.3		
Direction 1 Adjustment Fact	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	pacity		
Volume (V) veh/h	1900	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.926
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	Flow Rate (V <sub>p</sub> ), pc/h/ln	814
Total Trucks, %	8.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.43
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	18.4

Level of Service (LOS)

С

Direction 2 Geometric Data				
Direction 2	SB			
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	2.0	
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6	
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.5			
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Driver Population CAF	1.000			
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity			
Volume (V) veh/h	1000	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.833	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	430	
Total Trucks, %	20.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.23	
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.5	
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	9.7	
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A	
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.5			
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	754	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62	
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-	
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights I	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 09:29:33	

Rights Reserved. HCS www.iviutinance.version.cocc 2030 MD SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr Multi.xuf

## HCS Multilane Highway Report

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North	Units	U.S. Customary

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

1.6

0.8

Direction 1	NB				
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0		
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6		
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7				
Direction 1 Adjustment Factor	ors				
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Driver Population CAF	1.000				
Direction 1 Demand and Capacity					
Volume (V) veh/h	810	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909		
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	495		
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.26		
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6		
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	11.6		

Level of Service (LOS)

В

Direction 2 Geometric Data				
Direction 2	SB			
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0	
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6	
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7			
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Driver Population CAF	1.000			
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity			
Volume (V) veh/h	300	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.855	
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	204	
Total Trucks, %	17.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.11	
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6	
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	4.8	
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	1.6	Level of Service (LOS)	A	
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8			
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	450	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62	
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-	
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights I	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 10:20:51	

2030 AM SR 401 from Charles Rowland to North Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2030
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12PM
Project Description	SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North	Units	U.S. Customary

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

1.6

0.8

Direction 1	NB		
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7		
Direction 1 Adjustment Factor	ors		
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Driver Population CAF	1.000		
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	pacity		
Volume (V) veh/h	1080	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.935
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	Flow Rate (V <sub>p</sub> ), pc/h/ln	688
Total Trucks, %	7.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.36
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty		
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	16.2

Level of Service (LOS)

В

Direction 2 Geometric Data				
Direction 2	SB			
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0	
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6	
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7			
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	rs			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Driver Population CAF	1.000			
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity			
Volume (V) veh/h	450	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.787	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	308	
Total Trucks, %	27.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.16	
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6	
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	7.2	
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	1.6	Level of Service (LOS)	A	
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8			
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	643	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62	
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-	
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights I	Reserved. HCSTM Multila	ne Version 2022	Generated: 06/17/2022 10:27:33	

Rights Reserved. TICS we include version 2022 2030 MD SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North Multi.xuf

APPENDIX F

2050 No Build/Build HCS Analysis

# HCS Basic Freeway Report

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2800	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.885		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.86	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1226		
Total Trucks, %	13.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.53		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	20.4		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	C		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:23:03

2050 AM SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401.xuf

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

# HCS Basic Freeway Report

### **Project Information**

r roject information					
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12 PM		
Project Description	SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	3000	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.893		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.91	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1231		
Total Trucks, %	12.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.53		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	20.5		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	C		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:45:40

2050 MD SR 528 EB from N. Banana River Dr to SR 401.xuf

### HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ee i i ee ii ay				
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 EB Ramp	to SR 401 NB off Loop	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD)	, ft	1500	320		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	•	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type		No Incident	-			
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV		1.000	-	-		
Demand and Capacity			-	-		
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h		2800	750			
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)		0.86	0.89			
Total Trucks, %			13.00	25.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.885	0.800	0.800	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			3679	1053		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.53	0.53		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft 11562.5		Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	1400 Speed Index (Ds)			0.523	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		998	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	50.6	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFD)	0.620	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2681	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 54.0		54.0	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	22.7
Level of Service (LOS)	С	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	24.4
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:54:02

2050 AM SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

# HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ce				
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11 AM-12	PM	
Project Description	SR 528 EB Ramp	to SR 401 NB off Loop	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data			• •			
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration L	ength (LD)	, ft	1500	320		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV		1.000	-			
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h		3000	1500	1500		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)		0.91	0.91			
Total Trucks, %			12.00	13.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	+∨)		0.893	0.885		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			3692	1863	1863	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.54	0.93	0.93	
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ	), ft	182998.3	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	t	1400	Speed Index (DS)		0.596	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (l	LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		765	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	own), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	49.3	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	0.582	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), 1	ni/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2927	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 52.0		52.0	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	23.7
Level of Service (LOS)	С	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	26.5
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ger	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:54:44

2050 MD SR 528 EB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

			<b></b>			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022	6/14/2022	
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SB 401 SB	On Ramp to EB SR 528	Units	U.S. Custor	U.S. Customary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1	1	
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration Length (LA), ft			1500	760		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Sided One-Lane		
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Severe Weather		
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream			0	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV			1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			2050	600		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86	0.70		
Total Trucks, %			13.00	2.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.885	0.980		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2693	875		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.52	0.44		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft -		Number of Outer Lanes on Freeway (NO), In		1		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.338	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	5515.4	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		684	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	1400	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (SR), mi/h		53.9	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and 2 (PFM) 0.746		0.746	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), mi/h		59.3	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		2009	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 54		54.9	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	2884	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	21.7	
Level of Service (LOS)	С	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	22.9	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	Generated: 07/27/2022 15:48:54	

2050 AM SR 401 SB On Ramp to EB SR 528 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11:00 AM-	12:00 PM	
Project Description	SB 401 SB	On Ramp to EB SR 528	Units	U.S. Custor	U.S. Customary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration Length (LA), ft			1500	760		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Sided One-Lane		
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Severe Weather		
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Strear	n		0	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CAVs, CAFcav			1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1500	550		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.86		
Total Trucks, %			12.00	9.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)			0.893	0.917		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1846	697		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.37	0.35		
Speed and Density				<u>.</u>		
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ), ft -		Number of Outer Lanes on Freeway (NO), In		1		
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.309	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	9758.0	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		188	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDOWN), ft 1400 On-Ramp Influ		On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	Influence Area Speed (SR), mi/h			
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and 2 (PFM) 0.898		0.898	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), mi/h		60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 1658		1658	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		54.8	
Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	2355	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	15.5			
--	------------------	---	------------------------------			
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	18.8			
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ger	nerated: 07/27/2022 15:49:56			

2050 MD SR 401 SB On Ramp to EB SR 528 Merge.xuf

#### **Project Information**

i roject information					
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2650	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.943		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.76	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	1233		
Total Trucks, %	6.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.54		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density	Speed and Density				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	20.6		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	С		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:49:22

2050 AM SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd.xuf

### **Project Information**

i roject information					
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM- 12:00 PM		
Project Description	SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2050	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.93	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	808		
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.35		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.5		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 09:57:16

2050 MD SR 528 EB from SR 401 to George King Blvd.xuf

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

### **Project Information**

i roject information					
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1500	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.885		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.89	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	635		
Total Trucks, %	13.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.28		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density	Speed and Density				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	10.6		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	A		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/14/2022 11:26:45

2050 AM SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401.xuf

#### **Project Information**

i roject information				
Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022	
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050	
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM - 12:00 PM	
Project Description	SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401	Units	U.S. Customary	
Geometric Data				
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level	
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-	
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-	
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00	
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10			
Adjustment Factors				
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000	
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000	
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001	
Demand and Capacity				
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	2300	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.917	
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.91	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	919	
Total Trucks, %	9.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.40	
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00			
Speed and Density				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0	
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	15.3	
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В	
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0			

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

Generated: 06/21/2022 11:36:32

2050 MD SR 528 WB from George King Blvd to SR 401.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		i i ee i i ee i i e				
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB Ramp	to SR 401 NB off	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration L	ength (LD)	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCA	٩V		1.000	-		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1500	450		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.89	0.89	0.89	
Total Trucks, %			13.00	13.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fH	IV)		0.885	0.885		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1904	571	571	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	4000	4000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.28	0.14	0.14	
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ)	), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft	:	2200	Speed Index (Ds)		0.479	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (L	_EQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		733	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDO	WN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	51.4	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	0.450	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 1171 Ram		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		56.1		

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	11.3
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	0.8
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 10:03:52

2050 AM SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

		ricerreeway	Briege Report			
Project Information						
Analyst	HA		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-12P	M	
Project Description	t Description SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB off Ramp		Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration L	.ength (LD),	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCA	AV.		1.000	-		
Demand and Capacity			_			
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			2300	1100		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.79		
Total Trucks, %			9.00	5.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fH	IV)		0.917	0.952		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			2756	1463	1463	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	4000	4000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)		0.40	0.37	0.37		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEQ)	), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (NO), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), ft	:	2200	Speed Index (DS)		0.560	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (L	.EQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		711	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDO	WN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	49.9	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 and	d 2 (PFD)	0.450	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	65.8	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 2045 Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 53.		53.2				

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	17.3
Level of Service (LOS)	A	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	8.3
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ger	nerated: 07/27/2022 10:07:40

2050 MD SR 528 WB to SR 401 NB Off Ramp Diverge.xuf

Generated: 07/27/2022 10:07:40

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SB 401 SB 528	On Ramp to WB SR	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data	Geometric Data					
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	550		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFcav		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	CAF)		1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity			-	-		
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1050	600		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.89	0.60		
Total Trucks, %			13.00	15.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (	fн∨)		0.885	0.870		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1333	1149		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.36	0.57		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LE	Q), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS) 0.310		0.310	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	3389.7	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		511	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	2200	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	54.4	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 a	nd 2 (PFM)	0.617	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	mi/h	60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		822	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 55.5		55.5	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1971	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	14.9
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	16.9
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 16:04:37

2050 AM SR 401 SB On Ramp to WB SR 528 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

			5 1			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11 AM-12	PM	
Project Description	SB 401 SB 528	On Ramp to WB SR	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			3	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			60.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	550		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	Non-Severe Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-			
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFCAV		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	CAF)		1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity			1			
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1200	750		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.91	0.94		
Total Trucks, %			9.00	33.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.917	0.752		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1438	1061		
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			6900	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.36	0.53		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ຊ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	1	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.314	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	8679.1	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		398	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	2200	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	54.3	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	0.723	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), r	mi/h	60.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h 1040 Ramp		Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h		55.1		

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	2101	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	15.1
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	18.0
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 16:05:26

2050 MD SR 401 SB On Ramp to WB SR 528 Merge.xuf

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr	Units	U.S. Customary		
Geometric Data					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00		
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10				
Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000		
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001		
Demand and Capacity					
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1650	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.877		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.85	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	738		
Total Trucks, %	14.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.32		
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00				
Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0		
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.3		
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В		
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0				

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 11:38:46

2050 AM SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr.xuf

HCS TM Freeways Version 2022

### **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/13/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11:00 AM 0 12:00 PM
Project Description	SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr	Units	U.S. Customary
Geometric Data			
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level
Segment Length (L), ft	-	Percent Grade, %	-
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	60.0	Total Ramp Density (TRD), ramps/mi	0.00
Lane Width, ft	12	Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance, ft	10		
Adjustment Factors			
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000
Weather Type	Non-Severe Weather	Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)	1.000
Incident Type	No Incident	Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	1	Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFCAV	1.001
Demand and Capacity			
Demand Volume (V), veh/h	1950	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.833
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	0.93	Flow Rate (vp), pc/h/ln	839
Total Trucks, %	20.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	2300
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	2302
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.36
Passenger Car Equivalent (ET)	2.00		
Speed and Density			
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	60.0
Right-Side Lateral Clearance Adj. (fRLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	14.0
Total Ramp Density Adjustment	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В
Adjusted Free-Flow Speed (FFSadj), mi/h	60.0		

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 06/14/2022 11:41:33

2050 MD SR 528 WB from SR 401 to N. Banana River Dr.xuf

HCS T Freeways Version 2022

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

			<u> </u>			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 401 NB	off Ramp to Charles	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration I	Length (LD),	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type	Incident Type		No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream	ו		0	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF	-)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			1200	350		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.90	0.57	0.57	
Total Trucks, %			14.00	40.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fi	HV)		0.877	0.714		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			1520	860	860	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.34	0.22		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	)), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	ť	-	Speed Index (Ds)		0.505	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDC	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	43.5	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	d 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	49.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		1520	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 43.5		43.5	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	17.5
Level of Service (LOS)	А	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	3.8
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCS TM Freewa 2050 AM SR 401 NB C	ys Version 2022 Ge ff Ramp to Charles.xuf	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:42:58

## HCS Freeway Diverge Report

			- 31			
Project Information						
Analyst	НА		Date	6/16/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-!2P	M	
Project Description	SR 401 NB	off Ramp to Charles	Units	U.S. Custo	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	2		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Deceleration	Length (LD),	, ft	1500	1500		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d Two-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familia	r	
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	re Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-		
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)		1.000	1.000			
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)			1.000	1.000	1.000	
Capacity Adj. Factor for CAVs, CAFC	AV		1.000	-	-	
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			2600	1090		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.84	0.50	0.50	
Total Trucks, %			10.00	33.00	33.00	
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fi	HV)		0.909	0.752	0.752	
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			3405	2899	2899	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000	4000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	4000		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.76	0.72		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEC	ג), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP), f	ft	-	Speed Index (DS)		0.689	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance (	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LDG	OWN), ft	-	Off-Ramp Influence Area Speed (	SR), mi/h	42.9	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 an	nd 2 (PFD)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	49.4	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		3405	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 42.9		42.9	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	-	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	39.7	
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/ln	20.0	
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:43:47	
2050 MD SR 401 NB Off Ramp to Charles.xuf				

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information				-		
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	Charles SB	on Ramp to SB SR 401	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data						
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	925		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Stream		0	-	-		
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000		
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000			
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFCAV		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)		1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			400	800		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.86	0.51		
Total Trucks, %			20.00	3.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-		
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (1	fHV)		0.833	0.971		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			558	1615	1615	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.48	0.81		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LE	Q), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freewa	ay (No), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.291	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	R), mi/h	44.1	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 a	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO), 1	mi/h	45.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		558	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 44.1		44.1	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	2173	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	24.6
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	16.0
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:33:50

HCS M Freeways Version 2022 2050 AM Charles SB on Ramp to SB SR 401 Merge.xuf

## HCS Freeway Merge Report

Project Information			1			
Analyst	НА		Date	6/14/2022		
Agency	FDOT		Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction			Time Analyzed	11AM-12P	Μ	
Project Description	Charles SB	on Ramp to SB SR 401	Units	U.S. Custor	mary	
Geometric Data			-			
			Freeway	Ramp		
Number of Lanes (N), In			2	1		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h			45.0	35.0		
Segment Length (L) / Acceleration	Length (LA),	ft	1500	925		
Terrain Type			Level	Level		
Percent Grade, %			-	-		
Segment Type / Ramp Type			Freeway	Right-Side	d One-Lane	
Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population			All Familiar	All Familiar		
Weather Type			Non-Severe Weather	Non-Sever	e Weather	
Incident Type			No Incident	-	-	
Proportion of CAVs in Traffic Strear	n		0	-	-	
Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SA	F)		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Demand Adjustment Factor (DAF)		1.000	1.000	1.000		
Capacity Adjustment Factor for CA	Vs, CAFcav		1.000	-		
Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)		1.000	1.000		
Demand and Capacity						
Demand Volume (Vi), veh/h			600	700		
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)			0.93	0.83		
Total Trucks, %			33.00	14.00		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %			-	-	-	
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %			-	-	-	
Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (f	HV)		0.752	0.877		
Flow Rate (vi), pc/h			858	962	962	
Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Adjusted Capacity (cmd), pc/h			4500	2000	2000	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)			0.40	0.48		
Speed and Density						
Upstream Equilibrium Distance (LEG	ຊ), ft	-	Number of Outer Lanes on Freew	ay (NO), In	0	
Distance to Upstream Ramp (LUP),	ft	-	Speed Index (MS)		0.280	
Downstream Equilibrium Distance	(LEQ), ft	-	Flow Outer Lanes (vOA), pc/h/ln		-	
Distance to Downstream Ramp (LD	OWN), ft	-	On-Ramp Influence Area Speed (S	SR), mi/h	44.2	
Prop. Freeway Vehicles in Lane 1 ar	nd 2 (PFM)	1.000	Outer Lanes Freeway Speed (SO),	mi/h	45.0	
Flow in Lanes 1 and 2 (v12), pc/h		858	Ramp Junction Speed (S), mi/h 44.2		44.2	

Flow Entering Ramp-Infl. Area (vR12), pc/h	1820	Average Density (D), pc/mi/ln	20.6
Level of Service (LOS)	В	Density in Ramp Influence Area (DR), pc/mi/In	13.5
Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserve	ed. HCSTM Freewa	ys Version 2022 Ge	nerated: 07/27/2022 09:34:34

HCS 1 Freeways Version 2022 2050 MD Charles SB on Ramp to SB SR 401 Merge.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM
Project Description	SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	Units	U.S. Customary

## **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

0.0

0.0

0.8

Direction 1	NB					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0			
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6			
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h						
Direction 1 Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Driver Population CAF	1.000					
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	acity					
Volume (V) veh/h	1200	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.826			
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	538			
Total Trucks, %	21.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	), % - Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)					
Direction 1 Speed and Densit	Direction 1 Speed and Density					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2			

Density (D), pc/mi/ln

Level of Service (LOS)

12.2

В

Direction 2 Geometric Data						
Direction 2	SB					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	2.0			
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6			
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.5					
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Driver Population CAF	1.000					
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity					
Volume (V) veh/h	1200	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.926			
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	502			
Total Trucks, %	8.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.26			
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.5			
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	11.3			
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В			
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.5					
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS		-				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	444	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62			
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-			
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F			
ppyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TM Multilane Version 2022 Generated: 06/17/2022 09:26:06						

Rights Reserved. HCS was initiative version 2022 2050 AM SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date			
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12PM		
Project Description	SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr	Units	U.S. Customary		

## **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

0.0

0.0

0.8

Direction 1	NB						
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level				
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-				
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-				
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0				
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6				
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12				
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.3	4.3					
Direction 1 Adjustment Factors							
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000				
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000				
Driver Population CAF	1.000						
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	acity						
Volume (V) veh/h	2600	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.909				
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	1135				
Total Trucks, %	10.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900				
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900				
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)					
Direction 1 Speed and Density							
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.2				

Density (D), pc/mi/ln

Level of Service (LOS)

25.7 C

Direction 2 Geometric Data						
Direction 2	SB					
Number of Lanes (N), In	3	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	2.0			
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6			
Median Type	Divided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	44.5					
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Driver Population CAF	1.000					
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity					
Volume (V) veh/h	1300	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.813			
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	573			
Total Trucks, %	23.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.30			
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	44.5			
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	12.9			
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	0.0	Level of Service (LOS)	В			
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.5					
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS						
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	1032	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62			
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-			
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F			
pyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS T Multilane Version 2022 Generated: 06/17/2022 09:30:43						

2050 MD SR 401 from SR 528 to Charles M Rowland Dr Multi.xuf

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	7-8 AM		
Project Description	SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North	Units	U.S. Customary		

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

1.6

0.8

Direction 1	NB					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0			
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6			
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7	2.7				
Direction 1 Adjustment Factors						
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Driver Population CAF	1.000					
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	acity					
Volume (V) veh/h	850	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.840			
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	562			
Total Trucks, %	19.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.30			
Direction 1 Speed and Densi	ty					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6			
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	13.2			

Level of Service (LOS)

В

Direction 2 Geometric Data						
Direction 2	SB					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0			
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6			
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7					
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Driver Population CAF	1.000					
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity					
Volume (V) veh/h	400	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.833			
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	279			
Total Trucks, %	20.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.15			
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6			
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	6.5			
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	1.6	Level of Service (LOS)	A			
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8					
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS		-				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	472	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62			
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-			
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F			
pyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCSTM Multilane Version 2022 Generated: 06/17/2022 10:22:27						

 HCS INFIDING Reserved.
 HCS INFIDING RESERVED.<

# HCS Multilane Highway Report

## **Project Information**

Analyst	НА	Date	6/17/2022		
Agency	FDOT	Analysis Year	2050		
Jurisdiction		Time Analyzed	11AM-12PM		
Project Description	SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North	Units	U.S. Customary		

### **Direction 1 Geometric Data**

Median Type Adjustment (fM)

Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)

1.6

0.8

Direction 1	NB				
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level		
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-		
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-		
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0		
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6		
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12		
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7				
Direction 1 Adjustment Factors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000		
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000		
Driver Population CAF	1.000				
Direction 1 Demand and Cap	acity				
Volume (V) veh/h	1510	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.901		
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	Flow Rate (Vp), pc/h/ln	998		
Total Trucks, %	11.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900		
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900		
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.53		
Direction 1 Speed and Densit	ty				
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6		
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	23.4		

Level of Service (LOS)

С

Direction 2 Geometric Data						
Direction 2	SB					
Number of Lanes (N), In	2	Terrain Type	Level			
Segment Length (L), ft	5280	Percent Grade, %	-			
Measured or Base Free-Flow Speed	Base	Grade Length, mi	-			
Base Free-Flow Speed (BFFS), mi/h	45.0	Access Point Density, pts/mi	3.0			
Lane Width, ft	12	Left-Side Lateral Clearance (LCR), ft	6			
Median Type	Undivided	Total Lateral Clearance (TLC), ft	12			
Free-Flow Speed (FFS), mi/h	42.7					
Direction 2 Adjustment Facto	ors					
Driver Population	All Familiar	Final Speed Adjustment Factor (SAF)	1.000			
Driver Population SAF	1.000	Final Capacity Adjustment Factor (CAF)	1.000			
Driver Population CAF	1.000					
Direction 2 Demand and Cap	acity					
Volume (V) veh/h	600	Heavy Vehicle Adjustment Factor (fHV)	0.752			
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	Flow Rate (V <sub>P</sub> ), pc/h/ln	429			
Total Trucks, %	33.00	Capacity (c), pc/h/ln	1900			
Single-Unit Trucks (SUT), %	-	Adjusted Capacity (cadj), pc/h/ln	1900			
Tractor-Trailers (TT), %	-	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c)	0.23			
Direction 2 Speed and Densit	у					
Lane Width Adjustment (fLW)	0.0	Average Speed (S), mi/h	42.6			
Total Lateral Clearance Adj. (fLLC)	0.0	Density (D), pc/mi/ln	10.1			
Median Type Adjustment (fM)	1.6	Level of Service (LOS)	A			
Access Point Density Adjustment (fA)	0.8					
Direction 2 Bicycle LOS		-				
Flow Rate in Outside Lane (vOL), veh/h	899	Effective Speed Factor (St)	4.62			
Effective Width of Volume (Wv), ft	18	Bicyle LOS Score (BLOS)	-			
Average Effective Width (We), ft	24	Bicycle Level of Service (LOS)	F			
ppyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS TM Multilane Version 2022 Generated: 06/17/2022 10:28:55						

Rights Reserved. TICS we include version 2022 2050 MD SR 401 from Charles M Rowland Dr To North Multi.xuf

APPENDIX G

Crash Analysis

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

COLLISION SUMMARY															
Section:	70070000 / 70080000 State Road: 528							County:	Brevard						
Intersectin	ersecting route: S.R. 401 Milepost:							Data by:	TSH						
Study perio	od:	1/1/2016 -	12/31/2	2020						Date:	6/29/202	1			
NO.	DATE	DAY	TIME	FATAL	INJURY	INJURY SEVERITY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	HARMFUL	EVENT	DUI	DAY / NIGHT	WET / DRY	CONT	RIBUTING	CAUSE
1	01/09/17	Monday	15:19	0	0	1-None	\$1,000	Side-Sv	wipe	No	Day	Dry	Impr	oper Lane Cl	nange
2	01/14/17	Saturday	10:06	0	2	2-Possible	\$3,000	Rear-I	End	No	Day	Dry	C	areless Drivi	ng
3	04/12/17	Wednesday	13:16	0	0	1-None	\$3,100	Rear-F	End	No	Day	Dry	Disrega	arded Traffic	Control
4	01/03/18	Wednesday	2:15	0	1	2-Possible	\$24,470	Off-R	oad	No	Night	Wet		Medical	
5	01/03/18	Wednesday	2:37	0	0	1-None	\$500	Object-in	n-Road	No	Night	Wet	C	areless Drivi	ng
6	02/01/18	Thursday	12:41	0	0	1-None	\$5,000	Rear-I	End	No	Day	Dry	C	areless Drivi	ng
7	05/18/19	Saturday	15:53	0	0	1-None	\$2,500	Fixed-O	bject	No	Day	Dry	C	areless Drivi	ng
8	06/18/19	Tuesday	11:08	0	0	1-None	\$11,000	Fixed-Object		No	Day	Dry	Disregarded Traffic Control		
9	08/07/19	Wednesday	23:11	0	0	1-None	\$8,000	Object-in	n-Road	No	Night	Dry	Careless Driving		
10	08/09/19	Friday	17:23	0	0	1-None	\$500	Fixed-O	bject	No	Day	Wet	Disregarded Traffic Control		
11	09/18/19	Wednesday	11:07	0	1	3-Non-Incapacitating	\$1,000	Fixed-O	bject	No	Day	Wet		Lost Contro	
12	07/11/20	Saturday	14:45	0	0	1-None	\$8,350	Fixed-O	bject	No	Day	Wet	Lost Control		
13	11/04/20	Wednesday	8:22	0	0	1-None	\$500	Rear-I	End	No	Day	Day Dry Careless Driving		ng	
TOTAL				0	4		\$68,920								
TOTAL		Injury Sev	erity			Fixed-Object	Side-Swine	Object-in	n-Road	Rear-End	Off-Road				
NO.	Property D	amage Only	Injury	Fatality		Tixed-Object	Side Swipe	object in	r reoud	Real-Lind	OII-Road				
13	1	0	3	0	0	5	1	2		4	1	0	0	0	0
Percent	77	7%	23%	0%	0%	38%	8%	15%	6	31%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CONTRIB- CAUSE	Time Day	of Day Night	Paveme Dry	nt Cond. Wet		Improper Lane Change	Disregarded Traffic Control	Careless Driving	Medical	Lost Control					
Total	10	3	8	5	0	1	3	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	77%	23%	62%	38%	0%	8%	23%	46%	8%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%





#### **Calculations:**

#### **Provide Lighting:**

# of crashes reduced per year = (1 - CMF) \* # of crashes per year # of crashes reduced per year = (1 - 0.68) \* (3/5)# of crashes reduced per year = 0.19

#### **Positive Grade Change:**

# of crashes reduced per year =  $(100 * (1 - e^{(-0.0535*(G_2 - G_1))}) * \#$  of crashes per year # of crashes reduced per year =  $(100 * (1 - e^{(-0.0535*(0.06 - 0.04))}) * (6/5)$ # of crashes reduced per year = 0.13

#### **Negative Grade Change:**

# of crashes reduced per year =  $(100 * (1 - e^{(-0.0396*(G_2 - G_1))}) * \#$  of crashes per year # of crashes reduced per year =  $(100 * (1 - e^{(-0.0396*(0.04 - 0.06))}) * (1/5)$ # of crashes reduced per year = -0.02


# **CMF / CRF Details**

CMF ID: 7776

**Install lighting** 

**Description:** 

Prior Condition: Roadways without street lighting

**Category: Highway lighting** 

Study: <u>Validation and Application of Highway Safety Manual (Part D) in Florida</u>, <u>Abdel-Aty et al., 2014</u>

Star Quality Rating:	☆☆☆☆☆☆ [View score details]

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	
Value:	0.68
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	0.09

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)	
Value:	32 (This value indicates a <b>decrease</b> in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	

9

Applicability	
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	All
Number of Lanes:	
Road Division Type:	All
Speed Limit:	
Area Type:	All
Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	Night

#### If countermeasure is intersection-based

Intersection Type:	
Intersection Geometry:	
Traffic Control:	
Major Road Traffic Volume:	
Minor Road Traffic Volume:	

Development Details	
Date Range of Data Used:	2006 to 2010
Municipality:	
State:	FL

Country:	USA
Type of Methodology Used:	2
Sample Size Used:	

Other Details	
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Mar-08-2016
Comments:	CMFs of adding lighting on all roads types with all number of lanes. The number of crashes in the after period were not reported in this study, however, they have been recorded as 300 to give 10 points as a beneift of doubt for one or more of the following: (1) number of miles/sites in the reference/treatment group, (2) number of crashes in the references/treatment group, (3) reporting AADTs for the aggregate dataset but not for the disaggragate dataset used for CMF development.

### This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.



# **CMF / CRF Details**

CMF ID: 7565

Change positive vertical grade from G1 to G2

Description: Change positive vertical grade from G1 to G2 in percent.

Prior Condition: No Prior Condition(s)

**Category: Alignment** 

Study: <u>Development of Crash Modification Factors of Alignment Elements and</u> <u>Safety Countermeasures for Korean Freeways</u>, Choi et al., 2015



**Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)** 

Value:	$CRF = 100 * (1 - e^{(-0.0535 * (G2 - G1))})$
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	

Applicability	
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Principal Arterial Other Freeways and Expressways
Number of Lanes:	
Road Division Type:	
Speed Limit:	
Area Type:	
Traffic Volume:	4263 to 57699 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)
Time of Day:	
If countermeasure is intersection-based	

Intersection Type:
Intersection Geometry:
Traffic Control:
Major Road Traffic Volume:
Minor Road Traffic Volume:

Development Details	
Date Range of Data Used:	2001 to 2005
Municipality:	
State:	
Country:	Korea
Type of Methodology Used:	7
Sample Size Used:	

Other Details			
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	Νο		
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Nov-01-2015		
Comments:			

## This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.



# **CMF / CRF Details**

CMF ID: 7564

Change negative vertical grade from G1 to G2

Description: Change negative vertical grade from G1 to G2 in percent.

Prior Condition: No Prior Condition(s)

**Category: Alignment** 

Study: <u>Development of Crash Modification Factors of Alignment Elements and</u> <u>Safety Countermeasures for Korean Freeways</u>, Choi et al., 2015



Crash Modification Factor (CMF)				
Value:	$CMF = e^{(-0.0396*(G2-G1))}$			
Adjusted Standard Error:				
Unadjusted Standard Error:				

**Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)** 

Value:	$CRF = 100 * (1 - e^{(-0.0396 * (G2 - G1))})$
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	

	Applicability
Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	All
Roadway Types:	Principal Arterial Other Freeways and Expressways
Number of Lanes:	
Road Division Type:	
Speed Limit:	
Area Type:	
Traffic Volume:	4263 to 57699 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)
Time of Day:	
If c	countermeasure is intersection-based
Intersection Type:	
Intersection Geometry:	
Traffic Control:	

Major Road Traffic Volume:

Minor Road Traffic Volume:

### **Development Details**

APPENDIX H

FDOT Comment-Responses

### Submittal Report

Financial Project:	444787-1-22-01	Submittal Type:	OTHER
Submittal Phase:	PD&E	Submittal Staff Type:	CONSULTANT
Received Date:	4/29/2022	Response Due Date:	5/20/2022
Grace Period:	0	District:	FIFTH
Status:	OPEN	Create Date:	4/29/2022
Create User Id:	EN501MM	Last Update:	4/29/2022
		Last Update User Id:	EN501MM

Description:

SR 401 PD&E Study (FM #444787-1) DRAFT Project Traffic Analysis Report (April 2022)

#### Threads:

Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Catheri	ne Bradley	LEAD REVIEWER		5/13/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Denise	Rach	LEAD REVIEWER		5/13/2022	ACTIVE	0*
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Hari Sa	Ikapuram	REVIEWER		5/13/2022	ACTIVE	9
No	Status		Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
1	COMMENT SUBMITTED	FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Title/Cover Page	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	
	Created By		Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Hari Salkapuram		5/13/2022	1		

a. Change the project title on the cover page to be consistent with the report footer.

b. Please include Technical Report Cover Page (Form No. 650-050-38) and Project Traffic Assumption Form (Form No. 650-050-39) with a sign and seal consistent with FDOT PTAR requirements.

a. Title will be changed from SR 401 PD&E Study to SR 401 Bridge Replacement Project

b. Technical Report Cover Page (Form No. 650-050-38) will be included. Project Traffic Assumption Form (Form No. 650-050-39) with a sign and seal consistent will be included.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
2	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Executive Summary	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For

a. Change terminology for LOS standard to Target throughout the report consistent with FDOT Policy # 000-525-006c.

b. The approved Traffic Analysis Methodology included analysis for AM and PM peak hours. However, PTAR provides results for AM and MD for typical weekday. Please compare volumes between MD and PM peak hours to demonstrate the need for this deviation.

c. It is stated that SR 528 will be improved from four lanes to six lanes. Please include FM # and funding years for this improvement.

a. Terminology for LOS standard will be changed to LOS targets.

b. Correct. This statement was provided in the body of the report to explain the need for the MD and the PM deviation and it was provided in the approved Forecast Memo: "The afternoon (PM) volumes were less than the MD that is why the analysis included the MD peak as provided in the Approved Forecast Memorandum. The approved Traffic Analysis Methodology included analysis for AM and PM peak hours."

c. Correct It is stated that SR 528 will be improved from four lanes to six lanes and will include FM #407402-4-52-01 and funding years was not determined yet for this improvement.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
3	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	General	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For

a. Include "FDOT" and publication year for all FDOT documents referenced in this PTAR.

b. Observation: The approved Traffic Methodology indicated the use of the latest HCS. It is noted that the operational analysis was performed using HCS 2010 which was not the latest version at the time of approved methodology.

a. "FDOT" will be included for the referenced documents. Years are included under section 2.

b. The latest HCS 2022 will be used.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
4	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Introduction	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For

Introduction, first paragraph, last sentence - It is stated that "the methodology is included in Section 7....". Please update this reference as appropriate.

Section 7 will be revised to Section 6.

No			Reference		
5	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Created On	Version		
	Hari Salkanuram	5/13/2022	1	Delegate i ol	

The third paragraph states that the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum is approved by FDOT. Consider changing this to "approved by FDOT D5" unless it was also approved by "FDOT CO OEM"?

D5 will be included after FDOT. However, D5 PM conferred with OEM staff who indicated they did not need to review the methodology

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
U	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Hari Salkapuram	5/13/2022	1	

Please provide all MOEs, including V/C ratios and travel speed, as discussed in the approved methodology.

It was shown in the methodology because it was anticipated to do on intersection analysis and that intersection was later not included so no V/C ratio and no travel speed for the analysis are provided. The language will be removed from the report.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
7	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Table 7-1	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Hari Salkapuram	5/13/2022	1		

a. Consider adding "location ID" matching volumes figures next to the location in Table 7-1 and Tables 8-1 through 8-4.

b. Separate freeway/ramp analysis from multilane analysis in the table as HCSM uses different procedures.

a. "location ID" will be added on in Table 7-1 and Tables 8-1 through 8-4.

b. Freeway/ramp analysis will be in one table and multilane analysis will be in one table.

No 3	Status COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Current Holder ODALYS DELGADO	Reference Section 8 Future	Categories TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Hari Salkapuram	5/13/2022	1	

a. Please discuss considered alternatives and document methods used to select the Build Alternative in detail.

b. Tables 8-1 through 8-4 show an improvement along SR 528 between the Build and the No-Build alternatives due to the bridge project. It is noted that HCS analysis includes six lanes in the Build Alternative and four lanes in the No-Build. SR 528 should have the same number of lanes for the two alternatives. Please update the analysis for both 2030 and 2050 No-Build analysis to include cost feasible SR 528 improvements.

c. Table 8-2 and Table 8-4: Multilane segment analysis results for the Build Alternative for both 2030 and 2050 analysis years couldn't be verified as they are not included in the appendices.

d. Please include AM/MD in all columns in Table 7-1 and Tables 8-1 through 8-4.

a. Considered alternatives and methods used to select the Build Alternative will be documented in more detail.

b. This indicates that the improvements for SR 528 will be implemented by 2030 that is why this comment indicate that the 2030 and 2050 analysis build and no build will be the same considering the improvements to SR 528 was the only difference in the analysis. Tables will be revised accordingly.

c. The results for build and no build are the same no changes to geometry along SR 401 for the analysis. Copies will be included for the Multilane segment analysis results for the Build Alternative for both 2030 and 2050 analysis years in the appendices.

d. AM/MD is included in the title of the each table as "Year 2050 Build AM/MD HCS Summary" in Table 7-1 and Tables 8-1 through 8-4. So it is assumed no need to do so unless the department wants that.

<b>No</b> 9	Status COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Current Holder ODALYS DELGADO	Reference Appendices/HCS	Categories TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Hari Salkanuram	5/13/2022	1	

a. FFS is assumed to be the same as speed limit. Please update FFS consistent with the HCM methodology.

b. PHF of 0.94 was used for freeway/ramp analysis and 0.92 for multilane lane analysis. Please discuss the basis for PHFs.

c. Access points per mile input is assumed as "zero" for all multilane segments. Please update this value per the HCM methodology.

d. Review the input for "Type of adjacent ramp." For example, SR 401 NB off Loop Ramp includes an off-ramp for this input. Should this be on-ramp as opposed

to off-ramp? Please review all inputs and update as needed. Also, provide a source for George King Blvd ramp volumes used for adjacent ramp inputs.

a. FFS will be revised to be 5 mph over the advisory speed which will be 60 mph based on the "Estimation Based" on the Posted Speed Limits in the HCM. b. These values were the default from the HCS. We will calculate the PHF for existing (each segment) and weigh the appropriateness of carrying those PHF

forward to the 2030 and 2050 analyses given the expected changes in traffic composition.

c. Access points per mile input will be revised to include a value for all multilane segments.

d. Review will be made for the input for "Type of adjacent ramp." and revised accordingly. This location was the only one to be updated "SR 401 NB off Loop Ramp." No volume is available for George King Blvd as it was not used for adjacent ramp inputs.

Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Jason L	earned	LEAD REVIEWER		5/13/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Jeanett	e Maldonado	LEAD REVIEWER		5/13/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Mary M	cGehee	IN-HOUSE PROJEC	T MANAGER	5/13/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Mike Pe	ennington	LEAD REVIEWER		5/13/2022	ACTIVE	1
No	Status		Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
10	COMMENT SUBMITTED	FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	PTAR	ENVIRONMENTAL	MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By		Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Mike Pennington		5/13/2022	1	-	

Please see attachment for OEM comments.

#### See responses in email:

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
ODALYS DELGADO	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	5/20/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Surai Pamulapati	LEAD REVIEWER	5/13/2022	ACTIVE	0

#### Submittal Report

Financial Project:	444787-1-22-01	Submittal Type:	OTHER
Submittal Phase:	PD&E	Submittal Staff Type:	CONSULTANT
Received Date:	7/1/2022	Response Due Date:	7/29/2022
Grace Period:	0	District:	FIFTH
Status:	OPEN	Create Date:	7/1/2022
Create User Id:	EN501TM	Last Update:	7/1/2022
		Last Update User Id:	EN501TM

Description:

444787-1-22-01 -- SR 401 Bridge Replacement PD&E: Review of the Draft Project Traffic Analysis Report (PTAR)

#### Threads:

Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Hari Sa	lkapuram	LEAD REVIEWER		7/22/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Jason L	earned	LEAD REVIEWER		7/22/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Tr	ebitz	IN-HOUSE PROJEC	T MANAGER AST.	7/22/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Mary M	cGehee	IN-HOUSE PROJEC	T MANAGER	7/22/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
ODALY	S DELGADO	CONSULTANT PRO	JECT MANAGER	7/29/2022	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment		Due Date	Status	Comments
Suraj Pa	amulapati	LEAD REVIEWER		7/22/2022	ACTIVE	19
No	Status		Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
1	COMMENT SUBMITTED	FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Project Traffic	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	
	Created By		Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Surai Pamulapati		7/11/2022	1		

93% D factor shown is inconsistent with the recent submittal. Please review the information and update it appropriately.

Response: 93% D factor will be changed to 66.67% from the recent submittal.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
2	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Section 9	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For

1. The first paragraph appears to stop abruptly. Please review and update.

2. Consider summarizing safety benefits in a tabular format and provide calculations in appendices.

3. High-Level Fixed Span Bridge Alternative: Clarify if the grade change CMF applied for each approach in both directions? Or one-directional only?

Response:

1. Will revised the first paragraph accordingly.

2. The requested tabular summary and calculations will be provided in the revised document.

3.Yes, grade change CMF applies to each approach in both directions.

<b>No</b> 3	Status COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Current Holder ODALYS DELGADO	Reference Appendix F	Categories TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	

Please review FFS values of 54.2 and 39.2 mph for freeway segments and update the analysis as needed.

Response: FFS will be revised to 60 mph and 45 mph at some locations .

<b>No</b> 4	Status COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Current Holder ODALYS DELGADO	Reference Pg 1 of 375	Categories TRAFFIC ANALYSIS		
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For		
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	Delegate i ol		
	Place NEPA Assignment language on the cove	er of the report (first page)				
	Response: This will be added to the first page: The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. & 327 and Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.					
No	Status	Current Holder	Poforonco	Catagorias		
<b>NO</b> 5	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pa 2	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS		
-			Name'en	Delevate For		
	Created By Surai Pamulapati	Created On 7/11/2022	version	Delegate For		
	Undate the MOLL date in the NEPA Assignment	t to May 26, 2022				
		11 10 May 20, 2022				
	Response: This date will be revised to May 26,	, 2022.				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories		
6	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 3, Traffic Data &	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS		
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For		
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1			
	Add "N/A" under Interim Year					
	Response: N/A will be provided.					
			- /			
<b>NO</b> 7		ODAL YS DEL GADO	Reference			
'		ODALIO DELOADO	r y 7, zhu sentence			
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For		
		1/11/2022	I			
	Reference FDOT LOS Procedure on LOS targo	ets				
	Response: the sentence will be revised to reac	I "The acceptable FDOT LOS Procedure	e on LOS targets for the	study is 'LOS D'. "		
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories		
8	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 8, 2nd paragraph	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS		
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For		
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1			
	Add the version of the HCM. There at least two	o more instances this comment applies i	n the PTAR			
	Response: HCM 7th edition will be added in the	e PTAR.				
No 0			Reference			
9	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALIS DELGADO	rgo, 40 paragraph			
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For		
	Suraj Famulapali	1111/2022	I			
	Delete matrix from "evaluation matrix metrics"					
	Response: Matrix will be deleted from "evaluat	ion matrix metrics"				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories		
10	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Section 4 Heading	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS		
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For		
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1			
	Delete "Anticipated"					
	Response:Anticipated will be deleted					
11	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 11, 1st paragraph	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS		
	Created Du		Vereier	Delevate For		
	Surai Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	Delegate For		
	Delete "proposed" from the sentence that start	with "Figure 2-1 shows the project locat	tion and proposed area			

Response: proposed will be deleted.

10			Fig. 0.4 three 0.0	
12	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Fig 6-1 thru 6-3	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
		//11/2022	1	
	Removed "Recommended" from the caption			
	Response: Recommended will be deleted fro	m figures.		
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
13	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 20	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	
	Delete "control" fromcontrol density results			
	Response: Control will be deleted.			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
14	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 21	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	
	Delete preferred in the opening sentence. Pre	ferred has different connotation in the F	PD&E process.	
	Response: preferred in the opening sentence	will be deleted.		
No	Status	Current Holder	Poforonco	Catagorias
15	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 21	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	Delegate i ol
	It appears the first paragraph attempted to su context of future operational analysis. Since F separate heading/subheading in the PTAR. Al	mmarized how the "preferred" build alter TAR presents operational analysis of th Iternatively the discussion may be merg	rnative was derived. How ne HLFS bridge against i ed with the Appendix that	wever, it is confusing and seems out of place in the no-build, this discussion should be placed on at presents the three build concepts.
16	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE		Pa 26 1st Paragraph	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
10				
	Created By Surai Pamulapati	Created On 7/11/2022	1	Delegate For
	Replace "and other crash reduction calculatio Response: The requested change will be mad	ns for this unique section including the l le.	oridge" with "for replacin	g drawbridges or bridge replacements"
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
17	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Section 9	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	
	Similar to what was done in operational analy	sis, only HLFS bridge against no-build w	ould need to be reporte	d here.
	Response: Other alternatives will be taken ou	t. Only HLFS bridge alternativewill be in	cluded in the safety ana	lysis
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
18	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Pg 17, 1st paragraph	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	
	7 crashes occurred along the grade portion of	the roadway. However the estimated C	RF was applied to only	5 crashes (3 on the positive and 2 on the negative)
	Response:The analysis will be updated based	l on 7 crashes.		
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
19	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	ODALYS DELGADO	Conclusion	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Suraj Pamulapati	7/11/2022	1	
	The ES states that funding for FPID 407402-4 implemented by 2030. Please verify and update	improvements are not determined yet. te accordingly.	However the conclusior	a states that these improvements will be

Response:Conclusion will be revised to .The year for these improvemnts along SR 528 has not been determined yet.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Victor Muchuruza	LEAD REVIEWER	7/22/2022	ACTIVE	0